

Report to the Auburn City Council

Action Item 8 Agenda Item No.

City Manager Approval

To:

Mayor and City Council Members

From:

Bernie Schroeder, Director of Public Works

Megan Siren, Administrative Analyst (1952)

Date:

June 23, 2014

Subject:

Sewer Rate Study Update Adoption

The Issue

Shall the City of Auburn adopt the Sewer Rate Study Update, supporting the improvements to the Auburn Wastewater Treatment Plant and collection system and the Regional Sewer Common Pipeline Project?

Conclusion and Recommendation

Staff recommends that the City Council,

- 1. Conduct a Public Hearing with respect to proposed sewer service charges as set forth in the notice of public hearing.
- 2. BY MOTION introduce and hold a first reading for an ORDINANCE amending Title V, Chapter 52 to the Auburn Municipal Code.
- 3. BY MOTION adopt an urgency ORDINANCE amending Title V, Chapter 52 to the Auburn Municipal Code.

<u>Background</u>

On April 14, 2014, staff brought forth a status up regarding the Sewer Rate Study & Analysis update being completed by G Aronow Consulting. The Analysis identifies needed improvements to keep the wastewater treatment plant and sewer collection system in compliance with the California State Water Quality Control Board and the Federal Environmental Protection Agency requirements along with operating system improvements designed to avoid future system costs.

On April 28, 2014, the City Council preliminarily accepted the Sewer Rate Study Update produced by G Aronow Consulting and also directed staff to give notice to the residents of the City of Auburn regarding the proposed rate increase. The Sewer Rate Study Update shows the increase to the monthly Sewer Service Charge to be \$5.63 per Equivalent Dwelling Unit (EDU) over the existing rate for fiscal year 2014/15 with a rate adjustment of approximately 4.04% per year over the 5 year period. As required by Proposition 218 (Article XIIID of the California Constitution) City Staff mailed out the notices to the owners of each parcel in the City of Auburn. A copy of the notice is included as Attachment A.

Analysis

The City last conducted a Sewer Rate Study in 2007 where the Council approved a 5 year rate schedule. Proposition 218 requires a Sewer Rate Study Update prior to any future Sewer Service Charges changes. The automatic rate adjustment to the current Sewer Service Charges expired on June 30, 2013. By not replacing the sewer rate necessary repayment of projected annual debt service related to capital projects will go unfunded. In particular, the Oxidation Ditch Improvement Project and the City's contribution to the Regional Sewer Common Pipeline Project, as well as other ongoing improvements to lift stations and collection system projects will not have a designated funding source. The Sewer Service Charges also needs to be adjusted for increased costs of operating and maintaining the sewer system (estimated at approximately 3-4% per year).

Sewer Billing Methodology

There are a number of methodologies the City can utilize to assign sewer rates to its customers. Sewer rates are currently a flat rate based on an equivalent dwelling unit (EDU) which refers to a unit of measure that standardizes all land use types (housing, retail, office, etc.) to the level of demand created by one single-family housing unit. In the case of Auburn, 1 EDU is a single family home having 2.3 people per single family home (developed from 2012 City of Auburn census data) and each person is assumed to generate 80 gallons of wastewater per day (developed from WWTP flow records). One EDU also assumes wastewater strength of around 300 mg/L of biochemical oxygen demand which is a measure of the organic content of the water. EDU factors are assigned to customer classifications based on their relative flow and waste-strength compared to those EDU numbers. The City has 14 types of residential classifications (single family home, apartment, duplex, etc.) and 30 non-residential classifications (office, restaurant, car wash, etc.). classification list and EDU factors were initially established in 1995 and have been modified slightly at each rate study. The EDU factors were originally based on guidelines from the State Water Resources Control Board Revenue Program Guidelines, last updated in March 1998. The cities of Roseville, SPMUD, Placer County, Folsom, and Sacramento all utilize an EDU basis for billing their customers.

Since around 2010 water providers have been required to install water meters and bill customers based on consumption of their <u>potable</u> water usage. Some cities have also charged wastewater rates based on water consumption (typically February meter data is used when outdoor water usage is minimized). The City of Davis charges all its customers based on the previous year's water meter data. The Cities of Woodland and Grass Valley charge its non-residential customers based on water meter data and residential customers are charged a flat rate. Many cities in the Bay Area utilize this approach using the water meter data. About half the cities in California utilize a flat rate EDU billing methodology and the other half base sewer rates on water meter records.

The City could utilize any of these approaches for billing its customers. However none are perfect. The flat rate "EDU methodology" has worked well for the City, is commonly used; allows the users to know their annual costs for sewer service, provides a stable revenue base, and represents the typical water use in Auburn. Most of the wastewater in town is generated by single family homes and the EDU calculation does not allow the City to distinguish between one or several people generating wastewater in each house. In contrast, utilizing winter water meter data to base wastewater rates is a better indication of actual water use, but is complicated to determine and implement and will increase costs to administer the collection of sewer rates. Each year the City would need to receive previous year's winter meter data from PCWA and recalculate each user's monthly wastewater rate. Residential water meters include both indoor and outdoor water use. So, as

an example, households that watered their yards this last February because of the drought would see an increase in their next year's sewer rates.

At the April 28, 2014 City Council meeting, the Council considered options associated with transitioning to consumption based system and acted to move forward with the existing sewer billing methodology.

Review of Restaurant EDU Factor

For this update to the sewer rates, staff recommends to continue to utilize the EDU methodology for the reasons described above. However, the sewer rate study recommends a modification to the current rate structure for restaurants that was adopted in 2007 to reflect recent industry practices for EDU calculation. This restaurant rate is similar to that used by SPMUD, Roseville, and Sacramento. Revised EDU's assigned to non-residential uses are shown below.

		Proposed	Old
**	Restaurant	2.0 per 1,000 sq. ft.	2.5 per 1,000 sq. ft.
**	Restaurant Take Out Only	1.7 per 1,000 sq. ft.	2.0 per 1,000 sq. ft.

This change reduces restaurant revenue received and, as a result, shifts some costs over to the other categories. For instance, single family homes rates are impacted by this change by about 25 cents per month.

Monthly Sewer Use Charges

The projected increase in sewer rates is dependent on the availability of reserves in the City's Sewer Enterprise Fund and how much of the reserves the City decides to use toward the upgrade project. As a means to minimize the initial increase to monthly sewer rates while maintaining sufficient reserves in the City's Sewer Fund, staff recommends using \$5.1 million of the \$7.6 million currently held in reserves. The fund will maintain a \$1 million in operating reserves towards unanticipated capital requirements, higher-than-expected increases in operating costs and future regulatory permit requirements. The projected total increase to the City's sewer rates are \$5.63 per month per EDU making the sewer rates \$67.01 per month per EDU. The rate study recommends a five year rate schedule for fiscal year 2014/15 through fiscal year 2018-19 as shown in Attachment D. Additionally, as set forth in the notice of public hearing, the Council may, in future years, adjust the rates by up to the change in the consumer price index, capped at 5%. This CPI-based adjustment would require adoption of an ordinance, after a hearing, but would not require that the City send full mailed notice pursuant to Proposition 218 as it did this year. The City Council annually reviews the Monthly Sewer Use Charges during the budget approval process.

Response to Notice

The City mailed out approximately 4,800 notices to all parcels connected to the Sewer within the City pursuant to State Law, Proposition 218 which requires that each property owner that is receiving sewer service from the City be noticed 45 days prior to the public hearing. The notice that was mailed to all sewer customers is attached to the staff report as Attachment A.

The notice invited written protests and comments and the City has received phone calls and letters from concerned citizens requesting more information regarding the sewer rate increase or opposing the sewer rate increase. The City has received 115 letters to date (June 18, 2014) with the majority

opposing the rate increase due to being on a fixed income; we received 4 protest letters from parcels that are not served by City sewer. See Attachment G for a summary of the letters.

The majority of the letters received oppose the rate increase because the individuals are retired on a fixed income and the rate would cause a hardship for the individual. Senior citizens were curious if the City was going or does offer a senior citizen discount. Proposition 218 requires each person's rate to be proportionate to the cost of serving them and therefore the City cannot fund a senior citizen discount with sewer rates paid by others out of the enterprise fund.

Capital Projects

The projected increase in the sewer rates will fund the Oxidation Ditch Improvement Project and the City's contribution to the Regional Sewer Common Pipeline Project, as well as other on-going improvements to lift stations and collection system projects and operating expenses. The following chart shows the components of the projected monthly sewer rate and how the use of the recommended reserve funding affects the monthly sewer rate.

Breakdown of Calculated Rate by Cost Component for FY 2014-15

	FY 2014-15 Monthly Rate/EDU <u>Equivalent</u>
Operations & Maintenance	\$31.61
Existing Debt Service	\$10.39
General Reserve	\$2.17
Subtotal	\$44.16
Capital	
Depreciation/Capital Projects	\$17.46
Oxidation Ditch Project - Debt Service [1] [3]	\$6.44
Regional Pipeline Contribution - Debt Service [2] [3]	\$3.44
Subtotal	\$71.50
Reserve Fund Drawdown- Debt Service Equivalent [4]	(\$4.49)
Total	\$67.01
Total Estimated EDUs	6,849

- [1] Assumed Project Cost \$6.9M plus \$400K for other Capital Projects
- [2] Assumed Project Cost \$3.9M
- [3] Assumes loan terms of 30 years and 5% interest rate
- [4] Assumes Reserve Fund drawdown of \$5.1M

The Regional Sewer Common Pipeline Project contributes to \$3.44 per month, but collectively with the use of reserves and the other capital projects, the total rate is recommended to increase by \$5.63. The Regional Sewer Common Pipeline Project is not eligible for State Revolving Loan Fund monies as the State only funds whole projects and not portions of projects.

Enterprise Fund

The Sewer Fund is an enterprise fund in the City's Annual Budget. An enterprise fund is a fund established to account for operations that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises, where the intent of the governing body is that the costs of providing goods and services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges.

Ordinance and Urgency Ordinance

The mechanism for adopting the rate increase is the adoption of an ordinance setting the rates. The recommended ordinance sets the rates. In order to have the new rates be effective as of the start of fiscal year 2014-15, the ordinance is before the City Council as an urgency ordinance that will not require two readings and a thirty-day waiting period before effectiveness. It is also before the Council as a traditional ordinance. Aside from the urgency language and findings, both versions of the ordinance are identical.

Alternatives Available to Council; Implications of Alternatives

- 1. Proceed with the Staff Recommendation: Assumes \$5.1M in Reserve Drawdown, \$6.1M in bond financing, defers a portion of the capital projects to collection system until 2016 or later, revises the EDU for restaurants, provides for \$175,000 in additional operational costs = \$5.63/month increase
- 2. Direct staffs to continue with Staff Recommendation, but in addition, return to Council prior to July 2015 with costs associated with changing the methodology of billing sewer to consumption based.
- 3. Adopt an amendment ordinance as proposed by City Council.
- 4. Do not proceed with staff recommendation and provide specific direction to the City Manager how to proceed in funding the wastewater operation sans a dedicated revenue source.

Adoption of the ordinance and of the urgency ordinance each require four affirmative votes.

Fiscal Impact

At the point of approval, the Sewer Rate will fund the Capital and Operational needs for the Sewer Fund over the next 5 years. The rate, if approved will fund approximately \$3.0 million in annual operating costs and \$3.0 million annually in capital costs including depreciation and existing debt and estimated future debt service, adjusted for inflation until 2018/19. After 2018/19 no further rate increases are scheduled and any future rate increases will require the City Council to undertake an updated rate study. The recommended increases to the sewer use charges are necessary to provide funding for such improvements as the Oxidation Ditch Improvement Project, the City's contribution to the Regional Sewer Common Pipeline Project, as well as other on-going improvements to lift stations and collection system projects, annual operating costs and ongoing future capital requirements. Absent increases to the use charges and connection fees, the City would be unable to perform necessary facility improvements, ultimately exposing the City to financial

sanctions. Additionally, existing Sewer Fund reserves will be eroded by ongoing operating and capital costs, potentially rendering the Sewer Fund insolvent.

Attachments:

Attachment A: Proposition 218 Notice

Attachment B: 2014 Sewer Rate Study Update

Attachment C: 2007 Sewer Rate Study

Attachment D: Schedule of Sewer Service Charges

Attachment E: Ordinance

Attachment F: Urgency Ordinance

Attachment G: Summary of Protest Letters



SPECIAL NOTICE

A Special Legal Notice in Conformance with Proposition 218

ON JUNE 23, 2014 AT 6:00 P.M. IN THE CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS AT 1225 LINCOLN WAY, AUBURN, CA 95603 THE CITY COUNCIL WILL HOLD A PUBLIC HEARING ON SEWER RATE INCREASES

Introduction

The City of Auburn is proposing to increase sewer rates to maintain, repair and upgrade the sewer system needed for proper operations and regulatory compliance, and to meet operational and capital requirements. The rate increase, proposed to be a five year rate schedule is depicted in this notice for your reference.

This Notice of Public Hearing provides information regarding proposed rate adjustments to property owners served by the City of Auburn pursuant to the requirements of Proposition 218. The proposed rate adjustments will be presented to the City Council for adoption on June 23, 2014 at 6:00 p.m. in the City Council Chambers. This Notice also provides information on how rates are calculated, the reasons for the required rate adjustments, and how customers can file a protest against the proposed rate adjustments.

The City hired an independent consulting firm (G Aronow Consulting) to provide a comprehensive rate analysis that provides sufficient revenue to meet operating and capital improvement needs for the sewer utility.

Background

The City's sewer systems are operated in conformance with Federal, State and Local regulations and permits. To meet current and anticipated regulatory requirements the City's sewer infrastructure must be upgraded and funds established to replace or repair assets used in providing these essential services in a safe, healthy and reliable manor.

Sewer rates are the primary source of revenue to operate the City's sewer systems; reliance on General Fund subsidies is discouraged. These rates generate the revenue to operate the systems and invest in necessary upgrades. The City last conducted a sewer rate study in 2007. The proposed increases are necessary to pay for (i) new state and federal regulatory requirements for the protection of the environment, (ii) repair and replacement of aging sewer lines and treatment plant improvements, (iii) purchase of capacity in the Regional Sewer Common Pipeline Project and (iv) inflation since 2013.

CAPITAL PROJECTS

The following are the main projects that the City will be completing over the next five years: Regional Sewer Common Pipeline Project, New Oxidation Ditch at the Wastewater Treatment Plant, Lift Station Upgrades and Sewer Collection System upgrades.

SEWER SERVICE CHARGES

The proposed sewer service charges are for fiscal year 2014-15 and further increases are proposed for each year through 2018-19. The following are the basic rates for FY 2014-15 for residential customers who do not require a lift station:

Proposed Monthly Charge

Single Family Home (No Lift Station) \$67.01

Duplex/Triplex/Condo (Per Dwelling Unit) \$51.60

Multiple Dwelling (Apartments (Per Dwelling Unit) \$47.58

In Fiscal Year 2015-16 and future years, the City Council may increase the proposed rates for inflation by the change in the Consumer Price Index, but capped at 5% per year.

		EDU		Current					lcu	lated Ra	tes			
Residential		Factor	1	Rate	20	14-15	201	15-16	20	16-17	20	17-18	20	18-19
Single Family	per unit	1.00									ļ			
Single Family w/ 1 Sewer Lift Station	per unit	1.00	\$. \$	67.01	\$	69.81	\$	72.56	\$	75.49	\$	78.6
Single Family w/2 Sewer Lift Stations		1.22	\$		\$	81.75	\$	85.16	\$	88.52	\$	92.09	\$	95.9
Duplex/Triplex/Condo/Townhome	per unit	1.43	- \$	87.77	\$	95.83	\$	99.83		103.75	\$	107.95	\$	112.4
Duplex/Triplex/Condo/Townhome w/ 1 Sewer Lift Station	per unit	0.77	\$	47.26	\$	51.60	\$	53.75	\$	55.87	\$	58.12	\$	60.5
Duplex/Triplex/Condo/Townhome w/ 2 Sewer Lift Station	per unit	0.99	\$	60.77	\$	66.35	\$	69.12	\$	71.84	\$	74.74	\$	77.8
Multiple Dwelling	per unit	1.20	\$	73.66	\$	80.42	\$	83.78	\$	87.07	\$	90.59	\$	94.3
Multiple Dwelling w/ 1 Sewer Lift Station	per unit	0.71	\$	43.58	\$	47.58	\$	49.57	\$	51.52	\$	53.60	\$	55.8
Single Family w/ CDBG Reduction*	per unit	0.99	\$	60.77	\$	66.35	\$	69.12	\$	71.84	\$	74.74	\$	77.8
Single Family w/ 1 Sewer Lift Station w/ CDBG Reduction*	per unit	0.79	\$	48.38	\$	52.82	\$	55.02	\$	57.19	\$	59.50	\$	61.9
Single Family w/ 2 Sewer Lift Station w/ CDBG Reduction*	per unit	1.01	: \$	61.88	, \$	67.56	\$	70.38	\$	73.15	s	76.10	\$	79.2
Duplex/Triplex/Condo/Townhome w/ CDBG Reduction*	per unit	1.22	\$	74.77	, \$	81.63	\$	85.04	\$	88.39	\$	91.96	\$	95.7
Single Family w/o CDBG & w/ CDBG Reduction*	per unit	0.56	\$	34.26	\$	37.41	\$	38.97	\$	40.50	\$	42.14	\$	43.8
Multiple Dwelling w/ CDBG Reduction*	per unit	1.79	\$	109.76	\$	119.84	\$:	124.84	\$	129.75	\$	134.99	\$	140.5
	per unit	0.50	\$	30.58	\$	33.39	\$	34.78	\$	36.15	\$	37.61	\$	39.1
Properties receive a \$13/month reduction from previously receive Non-Residential	d Community Developm	ent Block	Gra	nt (CDBG) f	funds	. Current	prog	ram fund	inge	nds in FY	201	9/2020.		
Auto Dealership		1												
Bank/Financial Institution	per 1,000 SF	0.20	\$	12.28	\$	13.40	\$	13.96	\$	14.51	\$	15.10	\$	15.7
akery	per 1,000 SF	0.30	\$	18.41	\$	20.10	\$	20.94	\$	21.77	\$	22.65	\$	23.5
ar	per 1,000 SF	1.70	\$	104.35	\$	113.92	\$ 1	118.68	\$	123.35	\$	128.33	\$	133.6
	per 1,000 SF	0.70	\$	42.97	\$	46.91	\$	48.87	\$	50.79	\$	52.84	\$	55.0
Ory Cleaner	per 1,000 SF	1.70	\$	104.35	\$	113.92	\$ 1	118.68	\$	123.35	\$	128.33		133.6
fall, Lodge, Auditorium	per 1,000 SF	0.30	\$	18.41	\$	20.10	\$	20.94	\$	21.77	s	22.65	\$	23.5
lealth Studio, Gym	per 1,000 SF	0.30	\$	18.41	\$	20.10	\$	20.94	\$	21.77	s	22.65	\$	23.5
Market w/ Disposal Unit	per 1,000 SF	1.00	\$	61.38	\$	67.10	\$	69.81	\$	72.56	s	75.49	\$	78.6
Market w/o Disposal Unit	per 1,000 SF	0.20	\$	12.28	\$	13.40	\$	13.96	\$	14.51	s	15.10	\$	15.7
Medical/Dental Office	per 1,000 SF	0.40	\$	24.55	\$	26.81		27.92	\$	29.02	\$	30.20	\$	31.4
Business Office	per 1,000 SF	0.20	\$	12.28	\$	13.40		13.96	\$	14.51	\$	15.10	٠ \$	15.7
lace of Worship	per 1,000 SF	0.20	\$	12.28	\$	13.40			\$	14.51	\$	15.10	\$	15.7
ublic Agency	per 1,000 SF	0.60	\$	36.83	\$	40.21	\$	41.89	\$	43.53	\$	45.29	s	47.1
Nortuary	per Slumber Room	1.30	\$	79.79	\$	87.12		90.75	\$	94.32	\$	98.14	-	102.2
estaurant	per 1,000 SF	2.00	\$	153.45		134.03		39.62		145.12		150.98		157.2
estaurant, Take Out Only	per 1,000 SF	1.70	Ś	122.76		113.92		18.68		123.35		128.33	- 1	
etail	per 1,000 SF	0.20	\$	12.28	\$	13.40	-	13.96	\$	14.51	\$	15.10	ş.	133.6 15.7
Varehouse	per 1,000 SF	0.10	\$	6.14	\$	6.70	\$		Ś	7.26	S	7.55		
sed Car Lot	per 10 fixtures	0.20	Ś	12.28	\$	13.40			\$	14.51	\$		\$	7.8
ar Wash	per Stall	0.70	\$	42.97	\$	46.91		48.87	₹ \$	50.79		15.10	\$	15.7
arber/Beauty Shop	per chair	0.20	\$	12.28	\$	13.40		13.96			\$	52.84	\$	55.0
otel, Motel	per sleeping room		\$	18.41	\$	20.10			\$	14.51	\$		\$	15.72
elf Service Laundry	per machine	0.50	s	30.69	\$	33.51		20.94	\$	21.77	\$	22.65	\$	23.59
arage	per Bay	0.10	ج ج	6.14	\$		-	1.0	\$	36.28	\$	37.74	\$	39.3
est Home/Boarding House	per Bed	0.30	\$		- 6	6.70	\$	6.98	\$	7.26	\$	7.55	\$	7.86
chool	<u> </u>	1.00	s	18.41	,\$	20.10	-		\$	21.77	\$	22.65	\$	23.59
ervice Station	Per Pump	0.10		61.38		67.10			\$	72.56	\$		\$	78.62
heatre	Per 100 Seats		\$	6.14	\$	6.70	\$		\$	7.26	\$	7.55	\$	7.86
rewery	per 1,000 SF	0.30	\$	18.41	\$	20.10		20.94	\$	21.77	\$	22.65	\$	23.59
ction	her 1,000 2h	4.80	\$	294.62	\$ 3	321.67	\$ 3	35.09	\$ 3	48.28	\$ 3	362.35	\$ 3	377.3

Action

In compliance with Proposition 218, the City of Auburn is hereby notifying all affected property owners and customers of the proposed sewer rate increase effective July 1, 2014, as follows:

- ✓ Affected property owners and other customers are notified by mail about the proposed rate increase. This notice outlines the public protest process (see below) and publishes the public hearing date and time.
- If written protests are presented by a majority of affected property owners prior to the close of the public hearing, the proposed rate increase will be rejected.
- ✓ This notice provides information relating to the proposed rate increase.

Process

If you wish to oppose the proposed sewer rate increase, your protest must be submitted in writing to be considered, even if you plan to attend the public hearing. If written protests are submitted by a majority of affected property owners, the proposed sewer rate increase will not be imposed. Written protest can be submitted to the City Council prior to the close of the public hearing. Written protests must contain a description of the property, including the parcel number. Please indicate you are protesting and send your written protest to: City Clerk, City of Auburn, 1225 Lincoln Way, Auburn, CA 95603.

Please note that the City of Auburn requires that rate increase protests be submitted in writing, and that **email protests will not be accepted.** If you have any questions about this notice, please call Bernie Schroeder, Public Works Director, City of Auburn at 530-823-4211 x 144.



Memorandum

To: Bernie Schroeder, City of Auburn

Megan Siren, City of Auburn

From: Georgette Aronow

CC: Dan Rich, Nexgen

Date: April 7, 2014, revised April 24, 2014

RE: City of Auburn Sewer Rate Update, DRAFT

This memo provides an overview of the updated sewer rate analysis for the City of Auburn that was last done in April 2007. With this current analysis, rates have been updated to reflect current assumptions, detailed below, and have been projected for the next five years. The following describes the major assumptions and updates:

- Updated revenue and expense data for the Sewer Enterprise Fund. The budgeted 2013-14 sewer expenses are used as the baseline for projecting costs over the next five years.
- Updated customer account information (number of customers and billing units).
- Updated schedule of capital improvement projects (CIP) over the next ten years including two major projects, the monetary contribution toward the Regional Pipeline project and the Oxidation Ditch project.
- □ Assumptions regarding how the City will likely fund the major CIP projects (contribution to Regional Pipeline and Oxidation Ditch improvements) which include a combination of financing/loans and pay-as-you-go (PAYG) funding.

The following describes the key tables and rate calculations.

Table 1: Rate Summary – Current vs. Calculated Rates

Table 1 summarizes the calculated rates and also provides the current rates for the customer categories. Rates are projected through 2018-19.

Capital project costs, i.e., the Regional Contribution and Oxidation Ditch projects, which are scheduled to occur in the next two years, are largely driving the need for rate increases.

Page 2

Table 2: Historical Summary of Revenues and Expenses

Table 2 shows the historical sewer fund revenues and expenses through 2012-13. The budgeted revenues and expenses are shown for 2013-14. The budgeted 2013-14 expenses are used as the basis from which future year expenses are projected.

Table 3: Summary of Wastewater User Characteristics

The wastewater customers and user characteristics are summarized in Table 3. The City bills its sewer service charge annually with the property tax assessment rolls. The City charges residential parcels based on the number of units associated with each parcel. However, the basis for charge for non-residential uses varies from a per square foot (sqft) basis, to students, to chairs, etc. Customer accounts and units were updated based on current information.

The wastewater characteristics are based on previous rate studies, but were reviewed to assess whether they continue to reflect industry standards for flow and strength, as incorporated by the EDU Factor shown on Table 3. All but the Restaurant and Restaurant Take Out EDU Factors were found to be equitable representations for wastewater flow and strength. Restaurants and Take Out Restaurants' EDU factors were lowered from 2.5 and 2.0 to 2.0 and 1.7 respectively. These reduced characteristics are more consistent with industry standards for restaurant uses today.

It should be clarified that in some cases, the EDU factor not only represents the flow/strength factors, but also may represent additional allocations, such as in the case of a residential parcel associated with a lift station(s) or where there is a CDBG designation.

Table 4: Summary of Projected Expenses

Table 4 summarizes the projected expenses on an annual basis through 2018-19. The annual cost adjustment factors are also noted at the bottom of the table.

Salaries and Benefits are assumed to increase by approximately 5% a year and all other operating costs are assumed to increase by approximately 3% a year. However, in FY14-15, an additional \$175,000 in salaries and benefits is assumed to fill positions that were previously eliminated due to lack of funding, but that are deemed necessary.

The capital project expenses reflect significant costs over the next three to four years, due to the Regional Sewer contribution and the Oxidation Ditch project as well as ongoing annual capital project improvements. The projected annual capital expenses are detailed in the appendix, Table A-1. It is assumed that these capital project expenses will be funded by a combination of current capital reserves/set-asides, rate increases, and financing/loan.

Table 5: Project Loan/Bond Sizing and Debt Service

The City does not have the capacity to fully fund the two major capital projects out of reserves as they total a combined \$10.8 million, plus fund the nearly \$1.5 to \$2 million in annual capital projects. The City has approximately \$5.1 million in capital reserves to apply towards these projects. To pay

the remaining solely via rates greatly increases monthly rates, so it is assumed that the City will borrow money or finance a portion of the project costs for the major improvements.

Table 5 calculates the annual debt service for an assumed \$6.1 million loan. Conventional bond terms are assumed, however, the City may pursue CWSRF funding for the Oxidation Ditch Project. Therefore, the loan terms assumed in the rate analysis may be conservative, but prudent since all options are still currently being explored.

Table 6: Summary of Annual Revenue Requirement through FY 2018-19

Table 6 brings forward the projected annual expenses from Table 4 and includes offsetting revenues for a projection of the total annual revenue requirement necessary from annual sewer rate revenues. Offsetting revenues are based on other non-sales related revenue from the current budget year. One of these line items is Sewer Connection Fee revenue of \$36,000, representing approximately 11 new connections a year.

Table 7: Projected Cash Flow

Table 7 shows the projected cash flow for the 5 year period FY 2014-15 through FY 2018-19. The revenues, including the projected sewer rate revenues, are shown at the top. Below the total revenues, the projected expenses are shown. Net revenue, before debt service and depreciation/facility replacement are shown next.

The debt service coverage ratio is calculated by dividing the net revenues by the estimated debt service. Coverage ratios are relatively high at approximately 1.5x debt service.

Table 8: Capital Improvement Project (CIP) Pro Forma Cash Flow

Table 8 shows a projected cash flow for capital improvement fund. Revenues include transfers from the operating fund (Table 7) to the capital fund for depreciation and for capital projects, interest income, as well as bond/loan proceeds in years 2 and 3.

Expenses are based on the City's ten year projection of capital projects shown in Table A-1.

Beginning and ending balances are shown at the bottom of the table. As mentioned above, it is assumed that approximately \$5.1 million of current reserves (beginning balance) is applied toward the expenses over the first few years. After year 5, FY 2018-19, it is projected that the City will begin to once again accumulate capital reserves that can be applied to future major capital improvements to the sewer system.

Table 9: Projected Costs and Distribution between Collection and Treatment System

Table 9 provides the allocation of the projected costs (from Table 6) between collection and treatment. This calculation is repeated for each of the fiscal years through 2018-19. However, only the 2014-15 fiscal year is shown.

Page 4

Table 9 also shows the cost adjustment factors used to project future costs. Salaries and benefits are projected to increase by 5% per year, all other costs, excluding debt service which are typically fixed or have a set schedule, are projected to increase by 3% per year.

CIP expenses are an exception however. The FY 2014-15 CIP cost allocation in rates is assumed to be \$970,000, which is then escalated by 12% for FY15-16 and 10% per year thereafter. The cost allocation for FY 2014-15 is less than the projected costs for that year, as shown in Table 8 and based on Table A-1, under expenses. A lower starting assumption for CIP costs in the revenue requirement was used to minimize the rate impacts in the earlier years of the projection period. It is assumed that the additional capital costs will be funded through the loan/financing as opposed to pay-as-you go funding.

Table 10: Unit Cost Determination

The distributed annual revenue requirement from Table 9 is brought forward into Table 10. These costs are allocated to flow and infiltration (I&I). These unit allocations are the same assumptions that were used in the previous sewer rate analysis.

The projected costs are multiplied by the flow and I&I unit allocations and then divided by the total annual capacity amounts from Table 3. The result is a unit cost per million gallons of flow and I&I.

Table 11: Allocation of Costs to Flow, BOD, and SS by Customer Category

In Table 11, the unit costs, calculated in Table 10, are used to allocate costs back to customer categories based on the customer group's estimated flow. For example, for the single-family customer group, the collection (flow) unit cost of \$1,585.01 is multiplied times 181.63 MG/yr of flow, resulting in \$287,881 costs being allocated to this customer group for this category. The total cost allocated to single-family residential users is estimated at \$2.1 million approximately. This calculation is repeated for each cost type and customer type, so that the total costs for the fiscal year are fully allocated.

Table 12: Detailed Sewer Rate Calculation

Table 12 shows the calculation of the monthly sewer rate for residential and non-residential customers. To calculate the rates, the allocated cost from Table 11 is brought forward and divided by the number of billing units to get the annual cost allocated. The annual cost is divided by 12 to determine the monthly charge. The basis of the charge whether residential unit, square feet, beds, etc. is also shown.

Appendix A: Sewer Program Projects

Table A-1 shows the historical and projected capital improvement projects for the sewer fund through FY 2023-24. The project costs total approximately \$28.7 million from FY 2013-14 through FY 2023-24.

Table 1 City of Auburn Sewer Enterprise Fund Rate Summary - Current vs. Calculated Rates

		Sewer	Current			Calculated R	ates		Avg. Annu
		Unit	Rate	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	% Increas
Residential									
Single Family	per Unit	1.00	\$61.38	\$67.01	\$69.81	£70 FF	C75 40	670.00	4.040
Multiple Dwelling	per Unit	0.71	\$43.58	\$47.58	\$49.57	\$72.56 \$51.52	\$75.49	\$78.62	4.04
Duplex / Triplex / Condo / Townhome	per Unit	0.77	\$47.26	\$51.60	\$53.75		\$53.60	\$55.82	4.04
Duplex/Triplex/Condo/Townhome W/2 Lift Station	per Unit	1.20	\$73.66	\$80.42	\$83.78	\$55.87 \$87.07	\$58.12	\$60.53	4.04
Duplex/Triplex/Condo/Townhome W/1 Lift Stations	per Unit	0.99	\$60.77	\$66.35	\$69.12		\$90.59	\$94.35	4.04
Multiple Dwelling W/ Lift Station	per Unit	0.99	\$60.77	\$66.35		\$71.84	\$74.74	\$77.84	4.04
CDBG \$13.00/Mo. Reduction	per Unit	0.79			\$69.12	\$71.84	\$74.74	\$77.84	4.04
CDBG - Duplex /Triplex/Condo/ Townhome	per Unit	0.79	\$48.38	\$52.82	\$55.02	\$57.19	\$59.50	\$61.97	4.04
CDBG 1SFD W/DISC 1SFD W/O DISC	per Unit	1.79	\$34.26	\$37.41	\$38.97	\$40.50	\$42.14	\$43.88	4.04
CDBG \$13.00-MO W/ 2 Lift Stations	per Unit		\$109.76	\$119.84	\$124.84	\$129.75	\$134.99	\$140.59	4.04
CDBG \$13.00-/MO W/Lift Station	per Unit	1.22	\$74.77	\$81.63	\$85.04	\$88.39	\$91.96	\$95.77	4.04
CDBG 1 APT W/DISC		1.01	\$61.88	\$67.56	\$70.38	\$73.15	\$76.10	\$79.26	4.04
SFD W/2 Lift Stations	per Unit	0.50	\$30.58	\$33.39	\$34.78	\$36.15	\$37.61	\$39.17	4.04
SFD with Sewer Lift Station	per Unit	1.43	\$87.77	\$95.83	\$99.83	\$103.75	\$107.95	\$112.42	4.04
ord with sewer the station	per Unit	1.22	\$74.88	\$81.75	\$85.16	\$88.52	\$92.09	\$95.91	4.04
lon-Residential									
Auto Dealership	per 1,000 SF	0.20	\$12.28	\$13.40	\$13.96	\$14.51	\$15.10	\$15.72	4.04
Bank/Financial Inst.	per 1,000 SF	0.30	\$18.41	\$20.10	\$20.94	\$21.77	\$22.65	\$23.59	4.04
Bakery	per 1,000 SF	1.70	\$104.35	\$113.92	\$118.68	\$123.35	\$128.33	\$133.65	4.04
Bar	per 1,000 SF	0.70	\$42.97	\$46.91	\$48.87	\$50.79	\$52.84	\$55.03	4.04
Ory Cleaner	per 1,000 SF	1.70	\$104.35	\$113.92	\$118.68	\$123.35	\$128.33	\$133.65	4.04
fall, Lodge, Auditorium	per 1,000 SF	0.30	\$18.41	\$20.10	\$20.94	\$21.77	\$22.65	\$23.59	4.04
lealth Studio, Gym	per 1,000 SF	0.30	\$18.41	\$20.10	\$20.94	\$21.77	\$22.65	\$23.59	
Market w/Disposal Unit	per 1,000 SF	1.00	\$61.38	\$67.01	\$69.81	\$72.56	\$75.49	\$78.62	4.04 4.04
Market w/o Disposal Unit	per 1,000 SF	0.20	\$12.28	\$13.40	\$13.96	\$14.51	\$15.10		
Medical/Dental Office	per 1,000 SF	0.40	\$24.55	\$26.81	\$27.92	\$29.02	\$30.20	\$15.72	4.04
Business Office	per 1,000 SF	0.40	\$12.28	\$13.40	\$13.96			\$31.45	4.04
Place of Workship	per 1,000 SF	0.20	\$12.28			\$14.51	\$15.10	\$15.72	4.04
Public Agency	per 1,000 SF			\$13.40	\$13.96	\$14.51	\$15.10	\$15.72	4.04
Nortuary		0.60	\$36.83	\$40.21	\$41.89	\$43.53	\$45.29	\$47.17	4.04
Restaurant	per Slumber Room	1.30	\$79.79	\$87.12	\$90.75	\$94.32	\$98.14	\$102.21	4.04
Restaurant, Take-out Only	per 1,000 SF	2.00	\$153.45	\$134.03	\$139.62	\$145.12	\$150.98	\$157.24	0.48
Retail	per 1,000 SF	1.70	\$122.76	\$113.92	\$118.68	\$123.35	\$128.33	\$133.65	1.58
Varehouse	per 1,000 SF	0.20	\$12.28	\$13.40	\$13.96	\$14.51	\$15.10	\$15.72	4.04
	per 1,000 SF	0.10	\$6.14	\$6.70	\$6.98	\$7.26	\$7.55	\$7.86	4.04
Jsed Car Lot	per 10 fixtures	0.20	\$12.28	\$13.40	\$13.96	\$14.51	\$15.10	\$15.72	4.04
Car Wash	per Stall	0.70	\$42.97	\$46.91	\$48.87	\$50.79	\$52.84	\$55.03	4.04
Barber/Beauty Shop	per Chair	0.20	\$12.28	\$13.40	\$13.96	\$14.51	\$15.10	\$15.72	4.04
lotel, Motel	per Steeping Room	0.30	\$18.41	\$20.10	\$20.94	\$21.77	\$22.65	\$23.59	4.04
elf Service Laundry	per Machine	0.50	\$30.69	\$33.51	\$34.91	\$36.28	\$37.74	\$39.31	4.04
Sarage	per Bay	0.10	\$6.14	\$6.70	\$6.98	\$7.26	\$7.55	\$7.86	4.04
lest Home/Boarding House	per Bed	0.30	\$18.41	\$20.10	\$20.94	\$21.77	\$22.65	\$23.59	4.04
ichool	per 100 Students	1.00	\$61.38	\$67.01	\$69.81	\$72.56	\$75.49	\$78.62	4.04
ervice Station	per Pump	0.10	\$6.14	\$6.70	\$6.98	\$7.26	\$7.55	\$7.86	4.04
heatre	per 100 Seats	0.30	\$18.41	\$20.10	\$20.94	\$21.77	\$22.65	\$23.59	4.04
Brewery	per 1,000 SF	4.80	\$294.62	\$321.67	\$335.09	\$348.28	\$362.35	\$377.38	4.04
JPPR - Multi User	per EDU	1.00	\$61.38	\$67.01	\$69.81	\$72.56	\$75.49	\$77.36 \$78.62	4.04

Table 2 City of Auburn Sewer Enterprise Fund Summary of Revenues and Expenses

2010 \$4,606,461 \$29,081 \$84,169	Actual 2011 \$4,581,146 \$54,707	2012 \$4,802,110	2013 \$5,019,446	Budgeted 2014	Avg. Annual % Change 2010-2014
\$4,606,461 \$29,081	\$4,581,146 \$54,707	\$4,802,110			
\$29,081	\$54,707		\$5,019,446		
\$29,081	\$54,707		\$5,019,446		
				\$5.069,872	2.43%
\$84,169		\$97,476	\$369,225	\$36,000	5.48%
	\$88,527	\$97,476	\$57,493	\$75,000	(2.84%)
	\$1,050	\$1,553	401,100	\$0	(2.0-770)
	, ,	4.1,			
\$4,719,711	\$4,725,430	\$4,998,614	\$5,446,163	\$5,180,872	2.36%
\$223,404	\$262,793	\$347,932	\$386.561	\$379 596	14.17%
3,260,787	\$2,563,645	\$2,498,331			(12.36%)
		,,	+-,, -		(12.0070)
\$387,947	\$402,670	\$978.732	\$1,054,472		40.81%
\$374,797	\$430,764	\$432,483			4.75%
4,246,936	\$3,659,872	\$4,257,477	\$4,374,035	\$4,320,853	0.43%
\$472,775	\$1,065,557	\$741,137	\$1,072,128	\$860,019	16.14%
\$155,731	\$47,040	\$65,583	\$725,499	\$853,734	53.02%
3.04	22.65	11.30	1 48	1.01	
		, 1.50	1.70	1.01	
4,402,667	\$3,706,912	\$4,323,059	\$5,099,534	\$5,174,587	4.12%
\$317,044	\$1,018,517	\$675,554	\$346,629	\$6,285	
	\$223,404 33,260,787 \$387,947 \$374,797 44,246,936 \$472,775 \$155,731 3.04	\$223,404 \$262,793 \$3,260,787 \$2,563,645 \$387,947 \$402,670 \$374,797 \$430,764 \$3,659,872 \$472,775 \$1,065,557 \$155,731 \$47,040 \$3.04 \$2.65 \$4,402,667 \$3,706,912	\$223,404 \$262,793 \$347,932 \$3,260,787 \$2,563,645 \$2,498,331 \$387,947 \$402,670 \$978,732 \$374,797 \$430,764 \$432,483 \$4,246,936 \$3,659,872 \$44,257,477 \$472,775 \$1,065,557 \$741,137 \$155,731 \$47,040 \$65,583 3.04 22.65 11.30 \$4,402,667 \$3,706,912 \$4,323,059	\$223,404 \$262,793 \$347,932 \$386,561 \$3,260,787 \$2,563,645 \$2,498,331 \$2,481,746 \$387,947 \$402,670 \$978,732 \$1,054,472 \$374,797 \$430,764 \$432,483 \$451,257 \$4,246,936 \$3,659,872 \$4,257,477 \$4,374,035 \$472,775 \$1,065,557 \$741,137 \$1,072,128 \$155,731 \$47,040 \$65,583 \$725,499 3.04 22.65 11.30 1.48	\$4,719,711 \$4,725,430 \$4,998,614 \$5,446,163 \$5,180,872 \$223,404 \$262,793 \$347,932 \$386,561 \$379,596 \$3,260,787 \$2,563,645 \$2,498,331 \$2,481,746 \$1,924,000 \$41,000 \$387,947 \$402,670 \$978,732 \$1,054,472 \$1,525,000 \$374,797 \$430,764 \$432,483 \$451,257 \$451,257 \$4246,936 \$3,659,872 \$4,257,477 \$4,374,035 \$4,320,853 \$472,775 \$1,065,557 \$741,137 \$1,072,128 \$860,019 \$155,731 \$47,040 \$65,583 \$725,499 \$853,734 \$3.04 \$22.65 \$11.30 \$1.48 \$1.01

Source: City of Auburn

"hist_budget"

Table 3 City of Auburn Sewer Enterprise Fund Summary of Wastewater User Characteristics

Customer	Basis of	Parcel Units/	Dillia	EDU	Wastewater (ADWF/User				Annual Ca	
			Billing			BOD	SS	Flow	BOD	SS
Category	Charge	Accounts	Units	FACTOR [1]	GPD	MG/L	MG/L	MG		Lbs/Year
		(A)			(B)	(C)	(D)	(K)=(E)x365	(L)=(F)x(K)x8.34	(M)=(G)x(K)x8.3
Residential										
Single Family	per Unit	2,644	2,661	1.00	187			181.63		
Multiple Dwelling	per Unit	124	1,493	0.71	133			72.35	•	-
Duplex / Triplex / Condo / Townhome	per Unit	446	617	0.77	144			32.43	-	-
Duplex/Triplex/Condo/Townhome W/2 Lift Station	per Unit	31	31	1.20	224			2.54	-	-
Duplex/Triplex/Condo/Townhome W/1 Lift Stations	per Unit	23	23	0.99	185			1.55	-	
Multiple Dwelling W/ Lift Station	per Unit	1	1	0.99	185			0.07	-	_
CDBG \$13.00/Mo. Reduction	per Unit	109	109	0.79	147			5.86	-	
CDBG - Duplex /Triplex/Condo/ Townhome	per Unit	15	15	0.56	104			0.57	-	_
CDBG 1SFD W/DISC 1SFD W/O DISC	per Unit	1	1	1.79	334			0.12	_	
CDBG \$13.00-MO W/ 2 Lift Stations	per Unit	2	2	1.22	228			0.17	_	
CDBG \$13.00-/MO W/Lift Station	per Unit	6	6	1.01	189			0.41	_	_
CDBG 1 APT W/DISC	per Unit	l ĭ	1	0.50	93			0.03	_	_
SFD W/2 Lift Stations	per Unit	393	393	1.43	267			38.36	_	-
SFD with Sewer Lift Station	per Unit	616	617	1.22	228			51.38		-
Subtotal - Residential	per one	4,412	5,970	1.22	220			387.47		-
Oubtotal - Residential		4,412	3,310					387.47	-	•
Non-Residential								l		
Auto Dealership	per 1,000 SF	2	3.85	0.20	37			0.05		
Bank/Financial Inst.	per 1,000 SF	11	22.60	0.30	56			0.46	-	•
Bakery	per 1,000 SF	';	10.30	1.70	318			1.20	•	
Bar	per 1,000 SF	10	8.61	0.70	131			0.41		•
Dry Cleaner	per 1,000 SF	3	13.94						-	-
Hall, Lodge, Auditorium		8		1.70	318			1.62	-	-
	per 1,000 SF		11.56	0.30	56			0.24	-	-
Health Studio, Gym	per 1,000 SF	9	10.81	0.30	56			0.22	-	-
Market w/Disposal Unit	per 1,000 SF	3	37.40	1.00	187			2.55	-	-
Market w/o Disposal Unit	per 1,000 SF	2	5.22	0.20	37			0.07	-	-
Medical/Dental Office	per 1,000 SF	52	46.09	0.40	75			1.26	-	-
Business Office	per 1,000 SF	350	169.34	0.20	37			2.31	-	-
Place of Workship	per 1,000 SF	21	41.31	0.20	37			0.56	-	-
Public Agency	per 1,000 SF	30	195.98	0.60	112			8.03	-	-
Mortuary	per Slumber Room	2	13.00	1.30	243			1.15	-	-
Restaurant	per 1,000 SF	52	272.48	2.00	374			37.20	-	-
Restaurant, Take-out Only	per 1,000 SF	8	19.11	1.70	318			2.22	-	-
Retail	per 1,000 SF	207	134.25	0.20	37			1.83	-	-
Warehouse	per 1,000 SF	25	12.86	0.10	19			0.09	_	_
Used Car Lot	per 10 fixtures	3	1.10	0.20	37			0.02	_	_
Car Wash	per Stall	1	2.80	0.70	131			0.13	_	_
Barber/Beauty Shop	per Chair	37	32.80	0.20	37			0.15	-	•
Hotel, Motel	per Sleeping Room	4	42.60	0.30	56			0.43	-	-
Self Service Laundry	per Machine	2	27.50	0.50	94				-	-
Garage								0.94	•	-
Rest Home/Boarding House	per Bay	27	11.80	0.10	19			0.08	-	-
	per Bed	9	76.50	0.30	56			1.57	-	•
School	per 100 Students	7	29.15	1.00	187			1.99	-	-
Service Station	per Pump	8	4.40	0.10	19			0.03	-	-
Theatre	per 100 Seats	2	5.45	0.30	56			0.11	-	-
Brewery	per 1,000 SF	1	2.42	4.80	898			0.79	-	
UPPR - Multi User		l	29.41	1.00	187			2.01	-	-
Subtotal Non-Residential		901	1,295					70.46	-	
SUBTOTAL								457.92		
									-	•
Infiltration/Inflow										
Infiltration/Inflow TOTAL								50.0		

"user_characteristics"

^[1] The equivlent dwelling unit (EDU) factors reflect the relative use of all categories in relation to a single family unit (SFU = 1 EDU). The factors are mulitiplied times the flow for a SFU which is assumed to be 187 gallons per day to determine the wastewater flow for all other customer categories.

Table 4
City of Auburn
Sewer Enterprise Fund
Summary of Projected Expenses through 2018-19

	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Expenditures						2010 10
Salaries and benefits	\$379,596	\$398,576	\$418,505	\$439,430	\$461,401	\$484.471
Additional Salaries and Benefits		\$175,000	\$183,750	\$192,938	\$202,584	\$212,714
Services and Supplies	\$1,924,000	\$1,981,720	\$2,041,172	\$2,102,407	\$2,165,479	\$2,230,443
Maintenance	\$41,000	\$42,230	\$43,497	\$44,802	\$46,146	\$47,530
Capital Projects	\$1,525,000	\$970,000	\$1,086,400	\$1,195,040	\$1,314,544	\$1,445,998
Depreciation	\$451,257	\$464,795	\$478,739	\$493,101	\$507,894	\$523,131
Subtotal	\$4,320,853	\$4,032,321	\$4,252,062	\$4,467,717	\$4,698,049	\$4,944,288
Current Debt Service	\$853,734	\$853,734	\$853,734	\$853,734	\$853,734	\$853,734
New Debt Service	\$0	\$442,000	\$442,000	\$442,000	\$442,000	\$442,000
Subtotal	\$853,734	\$1,295,734	\$1,295,734	\$1,295,734	\$1,295,734	\$1,295,734
General Reserve	\$173,000	\$178,190	\$183,536	\$189,042	\$194,713	\$200,554
Total	\$5,347,587	\$5,506,245	\$5,708,749	\$5,927,875	\$6,161,645	\$6,411,277
Percent Increase		3.0%	3.7%	3.8%	3.9%	4.1%

Table 5
City of Auburn
Sewer Enterprise Fund
Project Loan/Bond Sizing and Debt Service

DRAFT

Item	Assumptions	Estimated Bond Sizing Local Project Oxidation Ditch/ Regional Project
Total Loan/Bonds		
Total Estimated Project Costs Proceeds		\$6,100,000 \$6,100,000
Capitalized Interest Issuance Costs Bond Reserve Fund	0 Months 4.0%	\$0 \$244,000 \$442,000
Loan/Bond Size Adjustment for Rounding		\$6,786,000 (\$3,000)
Total Loan/Bond Size		\$6,783,000
Annual Costs		
Estimated Gross Debt Service Estimated Gross Debt Service	- Rounded	\$441,244 \$442,000
Assumptions [1]		
Interest Rate Term Bond Load Factor		5.00% 30 Years 1.1120

^[1] Bond issuance assumptions are estimates only. Actual bond pricing will be determined based on market conditions at the time of bond issuance.

Table 6 City of Auburn

Sewer Enterprise Fund Summary of Annual Revenue Requirement through FY 2018-19

			Projected			
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Revenue Requirement						
Salaries and benefits	\$379,596	\$398,576	\$418,505	\$439,430	\$461,401	\$484,471
Additional Salaries and Benefits	\$0	\$175,000	\$183,750	\$192.938	\$202,584	\$212,714
Services and Supplies	\$1,924,000	\$1,981,720	\$2.041,172	\$2,102,407	\$2,165,479	\$2,230,443
Maintenance	\$41,000	\$42,230	\$43,497	\$44,802	\$46,146	\$47,530
Capital Projects	\$1,525,000	\$970,000	\$1,086,400	\$1,195,040	\$1,314,544	\$1,445,998
Depreciation	\$451,257	\$464,795	\$478,739	\$493,101	\$507,894	\$523,131
Subtotal	\$4,320,853	\$4,032,321	\$4,252,062	\$4,467,717	\$4,698,049	\$4,944,288
Current Debt Service	\$853,734	\$853,734	\$853,734	\$853,734	\$853,734	\$853,734
New Debt Service	\$0	\$442,000	\$442,000	\$442,000	\$442,000	\$442,000
Subtotal	\$853,734	\$1,295,734	\$1,295,734	\$1,295,734	\$1,295,734	\$1,295,734
Plus General Reserve	\$173,000	\$178,190	\$183,536	\$189,042	\$194,713	\$200,554
Subtotal Expenses	\$5,347,587	\$5,506,245	\$5,731,332	\$5,952,493	\$6,188,496	\$6,440,576
Less Revenues Met from Other Sources						
Interest Income	(\$75,000)	(\$75,000)	(\$75,000)	(\$75,000)	(\$75,000)	(\$75,000)
Other Revenues	(\$36,000)	(\$36,000)	(\$36,000)	(\$36,000)	(\$36,000)	(\$36,000)
	(450,000)	(\$30,000)	(ψου,υου)	(450,000)	(450,000)	(436,000)
Revenue Requirement	\$5,236,587	\$5,395,245	\$5,620,332	\$5,841,493	\$6,077,496	\$6,329,576

Table 7 City of Auburn Sewer Enterprise Fund Projected Cash Flow

		Inflation	Budget			Projected		
		Assumption	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Revenues								
Current Budgeted Sewer Sales			\$5,069,872	\$5,069,872	\$5,069,872	\$5,069,872	\$5,069,872	\$5,069,872
Additional Revenue Required:			4-11	+-,,	V 0,000,01.	40,000,012	40,000,0.2	40,000,0.2
Year	Effective Months							
2014-15	12			\$325,373	\$325,373	\$325,373	\$325,373	\$325,373
2015-16	12				\$225,087	\$225,087	\$225,087	\$225,087
2016-17	12					\$221,161	\$221,161	\$221,161
2017-18	12							\$488,084
2018-19	12							
Total			\$5,069,872	\$5,395,245	\$5,620,332	\$5,841,493	\$6,077,496	\$6,329,576
Other Revenues								
Sewer Connection Charges [1]			\$36,000	\$36,000	\$36,000	\$36,000	\$36,000	\$36,000
Interest Income			\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000
Other Revenues			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal			\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000	\$111,000
Subtotal Revenues			\$5,180,872	\$5,506,245	\$5,731,332	\$5,952,493	\$6,188,496	\$6,440,576
Expenses								
Salaries and Benefits		5.0%	\$379,596	\$398,576	\$418,505	\$439,430	\$461,401	\$484,471
Additional Salaries and Benefits		5.0%	\$0	\$175,000	\$183,750	\$192,938	\$202,584	\$212,714
Services and Supplies		3.0%	\$1,924,000	\$1,981,720	\$2,041,172	\$2,102,407	\$2,165,479	\$2,230,443
Maintenance		3.0%	\$41,000	\$42,230	\$43,497	\$44,802	\$46,146	\$47,530
Capital Projects			\$1,525,000	\$970,000	\$1,086,400	\$1,195,040	\$1,314,544	\$1,445,998
Subtotal Expenses			\$3,869,596	\$3,567,526	\$3,773,323	\$3,974,616	\$4,190,154	\$4,421,157
Net Revenue Before D/S & Depreciation	1		\$1,311,276	\$1,938,719	\$1,958,009	\$1,977,877	\$1,998,341	\$2,019,419
Depreciation		3.0%	\$451,257	\$464,795	\$478,739	\$493,101	\$507,894	\$523,131
Debt Service								
Current Debt Service			\$853,734	\$853,734	\$853,734	\$853,734	\$853,734	\$853,734
Addl' Debt Service				\$442,000	\$442,000	\$442,000	\$442,000	\$442,000
Total Debt Service			\$853,734	\$1,295,734	\$1,295,734	\$1,295,734	\$1,295,734	\$1,295,734
Debt Service Coverage			1.54	1.50	1.51	1.53	1.54	1.56
Total Expenses			\$5,174,587	\$5,328,055	\$5,547,796	\$5,763,451	\$5,993,783	\$6,240,022
Net Revenue After D/S			\$6,285	\$178,190	\$183,536	\$189,042	\$194,713	\$200,554
Net Revenue as % of Total Expenses				3.3%	3.3%	3.3%	3.2%	3.2%
Beginning Operating Balance [2]			\$1,000,000	\$1,006,285	\$1,184,475	\$1,368,010	\$1,557,052	\$1,751,765
Net Revenues			\$6,285	\$178,190	\$183,536	\$189,042	\$194,713	\$200,554
Ending Operating Balance			\$1,006,285	\$1,184,475	\$1,368,010	\$1,557,052	\$1,751,765	\$1,952,319
Target Operating Balance [3]			\$1,934,798	\$1,783,763	\$1,886,662	\$1,987,308	\$2,095,077	\$2,210,578

"proj_cashflow"

Table 8 City of Auburn Sewer Enterprise Fund Capital Improvement Project (CIP) Pro Forma Cash Flow

Attachment B

	0 2013-14	1 2014 16	2 2015 45	3	4 4 20	5	9	7	8	6	10	
	11-0107	01-4-107	2013-10	/L-9107	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	Total
Revenues:												
Transfer from Operating Fund:												_
Depreciation 3%	\$451,257	\$464,795	\$478.739	\$493 101	\$507 894	\$523 131	\$523 131	EE73 434	4502 404	401		
Capital Projects	\$1,525,000	\$970,000	\$1 086 400	\$1 195 040	£1 314 544	£1 445 000	61,445,101	101,020	41,000	4523,131	\$523,131	\$5,534,573
Interest Income 1.5%		08	\$87,574	\$54,892	40,514,544	00000	000,044,14	91,440,888	\$1,445,998	\$1,445,998	\$1,445,998	\$14,766,974
Bond/Loan Proceeds		\$5,000,000	\$1,100,000		2017	44,320	100	a /oʻne	410,361	\$21,627	\$32,546	\$219,284
Subtotal	\$1,976,257	\$6,434,795	\$2,752,713	\$1,743,033	\$1,825,269	\$1,972,050	\$1,969,983	\$1,974,809	\$1,979,490	\$1,990,757	\$2,001,676	\$6,100,000 \$26,620,832
Expenses: see Appendix_												
j - NPC	\$300,000	\$1,100,000	\$2,200,000	\$3,300,000								
Regional Sewer Project	\$300,000	\$3,600,000										\$6,900,000
Other Annual CIP Projects	\$925,000	\$1,447,791	\$2,731,500	\$1,913,800	\$1,819,300	\$2,109,800	\$1 648 300	\$1,662,700	\$1 22B ADD	£4 757 000	200 000	93,900,000
Subtotal	\$1,525,000	\$6,147,791	\$4,931,500	\$5,213,800	\$1,819,300	\$2,109,800	\$1,648,300	\$1,662,700	\$1,228,400	\$1,262,800	\$1,262,800	\$28,812,191
Net Income (Loss)	\$451,257	\$287,004	(\$2,178,787)	(\$3,470,767)	\$5,969	(\$137,750)	\$321,683	\$312,109	\$751,090	\$727,957	\$738,876	(\$2,191,359)
THE PARTY OF THE P							-					
Beginning Balance Net Income (Loss)	\$5,100,000 \$451,257	\$5,551,257 \$287,004	\$5,838,262 (\$2.178,787)	\$3,659,475 (\$3,470,767)	\$188,708 \$5,969	\$194,677 (\$137,750)	\$56,926 \$321,683	\$378,610	\$690,718	\$1,441,808	\$2,169,765	\$5,100,000
						(0011014)	200,1204	601,210	060'10'4	166,1214	4/38,4/6	(\$2,191,359)
Ending Balance	\$5,551,257	\$5,838,262	\$3,659,475	\$188,708	\$194,677	\$56,926	\$378,610	\$690,718	\$1,441,808	\$2,169,765	\$2,908,641	\$2,908,641

Table 9
City of Auburn
Sewer Enterprise Fund
Projected Costs and Distribution between Collection and Treatment System

DRAFT

	Inflation	Budget		Allocation	-	3	Collection System	Ę	Trea	Treatment System	E
	Adjustment	2013-14	2014-15	Collection Treatment	atment	Cost	Fixed	Variable	Cost	Fixed	Variable
		Years Inflated:	-								
Expenditures		J	***************************************	beminso	ار						
Salariae and Ranafite	2.00%	\$379 596	\$398.576	20%		\$79.715	\$79.715		\$318,861	\$318,861	
Additional Salaries & Benefits	5.00%		\$175,000	20%	80%	\$35,000	\$35,000		\$140,000	\$140,000	
Services and Supplies	3.00%	\$1,924,000	\$1,981,720	20%	80%	\$396,344	\$396,344		\$1,585,376	\$1,268,301	\$317,075
Maintenance	3.00%	\$41,000	\$42,230	20%	80%	\$8,446	\$8,446		\$33,784	\$33,784	
Capital Projects		\$1,525,000	\$970,000	20%	80%	\$194,000	\$194,000		\$776,000	\$776,000	
Denreciation	3.00%	\$451,257	\$464,795	20%	80%	\$92,959	\$92,959		\$371,836	\$371,836	
Subtotal		\$4,320,853	\$4,032,321			\$806,464	\$806,464		\$3,225,857	\$2,908,782	\$317,075
Current Debt Service	0.00%	\$853,734	\$853,734	20%	80%	\$170,747	\$170,747		\$682,987	\$682,987	
Additional Debt Service	0.00%	0\$	\$442,000	20%	80%	\$88,400	\$88,400		\$353,600	\$353,600	
General Reserve	3.00%	\$173,000	\$178,190	20%	80%	\$35,638	\$35,638		\$142,552	\$142,552	
Subtotal		\$5,347,587	\$5,506,245			\$1,101,249	\$1,101,249	0\$	\$4,404,996	\$4,087,921	\$317,075
Less Other Revenues		(\$111,000)	(111,000)								*
Total		\$5,236,587	\$5,395,245								

Table 10 City of Aubum Sewer Enterprise Fund Unit Cost Determination

DRAFT

2014-15

Attachment B

	Allocated		Percent Allocation	location			Cost			ř	Total Influent			Unit Cost Per:	Per:	
Cost Category	Operating	Flow	800	SS	5	Flow	вор	SS	S	Flow	BOD	SS	Mgal of Flow Kib of BOD Kib of SS	Klb of BOD	Kib of SS	III.
Collection System O&M Costs [1] [2])	(2)		(wingan)
Fixed Variable	\$806,464	%06			10.00%	\$725,818 \$0	08 80 80	90	\$80,646	457.92	0.00	0.00	\$1,585.01			\$176.11
Total Collection	\$806,464					\$725,818	S	8	\$80,646			3	\$1,585.01	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$176.11
Treatment O&M Costs Fixed	\$2,908,782	%06			10.00%	\$2,617,903	\$0	9	\$290,878	457.92	00.00	000	\$5.716.88			\$635.21
Variable Total Treatment	\$317,075	%06			10.00%	\$285,368	S 5	G 5	\$31,708	457.92	0.00	0.00	\$623.18	9	9	\$69.24
Debt Service	\$1,295,734	%06			10.00%	\$1,166,161	\$ 0\$		\$129,573	457.92	0.00	0.00	\$2,546.62	20.50	000	\$282.96
SUBTOTAL	\$5,328,055												\$10,471.69			\$1,163.52
Other Cost Impacts General Reserve	\$178,190	%06			10.00%	\$160,371	\$0	0\$	\$17,819	457.92	00'0	00:00	\$350.21			\$38.91
Routing Credit/Offset for Other Revenues Total	\$111,000) \$67,190	%06			10.00%	\$0 (\$99,900) \$60,471	0 0 3	8 8 8	\$0 (\$11,100) \$6,719	457.92 457.92	0.00	00:00	\$0.00 (\$218.16) \$132.06	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 (\$24.24) \$14.67
TOTAL	\$5,395,245					\$4,855,720	0\$	0\$	\$539,524				\$10,603.75	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,178.19

S	
~	

AUBR_Sewer_2013 v8/CostAlloc 4/24/2014

The controlled by Controlled	Sewer Enterprise Fund Allocation of Costs to Flow, BOD, and SS by Customer Category	d SS by C	ustomer	Categon	>															
## 1950 SSP Continue Contin				Γ			Operating (Capital Co	sts	П		•				
March Marc	10 E C 2 E C	L	000	20	묏		Flow	Treatme	!	S	┢	Bob Serv	SS	5	Subtotal	-	ROD H	ue Impacts	s	Total
The continue of the continue	Complete			KIB/Yr	(\$/Mgal)	(\$/Mgal)	(\$/Mgal)	(\$/KIb)	\dashv	(\$/Mgal)		(dlX/s)	ᆸ	\$/Mgal)			(\$/KGb)	(\$/K(b)	(\$/Mgal)	
The continue was a	Unit Cost				\$1,585.01	\$176.11	\$6,340.06	\$0.00			\$2,546.62	- 1	1	282.96	\$11,635	\$132.05	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$14.67	\$11,782
11 12 13 13 13 13 13 13	Residential									•										
Control Cont	Single Family	181.63	•	•	\$287,881		\$1,151,523			\$127,947	\$462,534	8			\$2,113,264	\$23,985	S 5	9	\$2,665	\$2,139,913
Control Cont	Multiple Dwelling	72.35	•	•	\$114,680		\$458,720			\$50,969	\$184,255	2 S			\$377,277	\$4,004) S	G 59	\$1,062	5382.035
The continue of the continue	Duplex / Triplex / Condo / Townhome W/2	2.54	, ,		\$4.025		\$16,099			\$1,789	\$6,466	S 53	8		\$29,544	\$335	S	S	\$37	\$29,917
Mathematical Math	Duplex/Triplex/Condo/Townhome W/1	1.55		•	\$2,464		\$9,854			\$1,095	\$3,958	S	05		\$18,084	\$205	0\$	0\$	\$23	\$18,312
Freedoment of SS	Multiple Dwelling W/ Lift Station	0.07	•	,	\$107		\$428			\$48	\$172	G (<u> </u>		\$786	6€	S (2	5	\$796
March State	CDBG \$13.00/Mo. Reduction	5.86	•	·	\$9,295		\$37,179		9	54,131	\$14,934	2 5	2 5	6469	\$68,230	4//4	2 5	2 5	0 0	269,030
With Claim September Colin	CDBG - Duplex / Triplex/Condo/ Towns	0.57		: 1	3900		\$3,023	e e	e G	288	\$311	2 5	9 9	\$35	\$1,420	516	3 5	2 6	22	51.438
No.	CDBG 1310 WOUSD 1310 W/O DISC	2 17			\$264	625	\$1.054	S C		\$117	\$423	8	S	247	\$1.935	\$22	2	S	22	\$1,959
Strate S	CDRG \$13 00-/MO W/! iff Station	0.4	•	•	3654	573	\$2.618	S		\$291	\$1,051	0\$	8	\$117	\$4,804	\$55	200	S	95	\$4,864
1,10 1,10	CDBG 1 APT W/DISC	0.03	•	•	\$54	95	\$216	05		\$24	\$87	2			\$396	\$4	S	\$	25	\$401
Strict		38.36	•	٠	\$60,797		\$243,187	0\$		\$27,021	\$97,681	S			\$446,294	\$5,065	%	\$0	\$563	\$451,922
1.00 1.00		51.38	٠	,	\$81,431		\$325,726	0\$		\$36,192	\$130,835	S			\$597,768	\$6,784	S	S (\$754	\$605,307
Color Colo		387.47	•	,	\$614,145		\$2,456,579	0\$		\$272,953	\$986,737	S			\$4,508,290	\$51,167	9	9	\$5,685	\$4,565,142
1.00 1.00	Non Begidential																			
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	Auto Dealership	0.05	٠	•	\$83	6\$	\$333	\$0	80	\$37	\$134	%	S,	\$15	\$612	27	\$	\$0	25	\$620
1, 120 1	Bank/Financial Inst.	0.46	•	•	\$733		\$2,933	20	20	\$326	\$1,178	S :	S:	\$131	\$5,383	\$61	S	S	25	\$5,451
1.	Bakery	1.20	•	•	\$1,895		\$7,579	G (200	\$842	53,044	2	2 6	2554	313,906	9014	2 6	2	9	\$14,064
thirty (1.24) (1.25) (1	Bar	0.41	•	•	\$652		\$2,507	Z 5	2	2530	104/	2 6	2 5	2458	44,704	\$214	2 5	2 5	\$24	\$19.067
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	Log Cleaner	70.1	•	•	\$2,304		\$1501	9 9	3 5	\$167	\$603	3 5	2 5	267	\$2,754	S	8	S	S	\$2,789
Lange Color Colo	Health Studio, Gym	0.22		•	\$351		\$1,404	S	S	\$156	\$564	Ş	2	\$63	\$2,577	\$29	0\$	8	83	\$2,609
1.00 1.00	Market w/Disposal Unit	2.55	•	•	\$4,047		\$16,186	0\$	8	\$1,798	\$6,502	9	9	\$722	\$29,705	\$337	S	8	\$37	\$30,078
CLE 2.15 - 1 51,5164 5 502 5 1,517 5 50 5 1,512 5 1,512 5 50 5 1,512 5 1,512 5 50 5 1,512 5 50 5 1,512 5 50 5 1,512 5 50 5 1,512 5 50 5 1,512 5 50 5 1,512 5 50 5 1,512	Market w/o Disposal Unit	0.07	•	•	\$113		\$452	0,5	S 5	\$20	\$181	2 6		\$20	\$829	. 12 12 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	2 5	9 5	2	4638 644 827
Color Colo	Medical/Dental Office	2,75		•	\$3,995		\$1,979	Q (S	2 8	\$1.628	\$5.887	2 G		\$654	\$26.897	\$305	3 5	9 9	\$34	\$27.236
8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Place of Workship	0.56	•	•	\$894		\$3,575	S	S	\$397	\$1,436	S		\$160	\$6,562	\$74	S	S.	85	\$6,644
11.5	Public Agency	8.03	•	•	\$12,721		\$50,886	05	S	\$5,654	\$20,439	S		\$2,271	\$93,385	\$1,060	S	G	\$118	\$94,562
11.2. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	Mortuary	1.15		•	\$1,828		\$7,313	2 6	2 5	5813	\$2,938 \$94 725	3 5		3320	\$13,421	\$4 912	2 5	Ç Ç	5546	\$13,031 \$438 744
1.83	Restaurant Take out Only	2.22	٠.		\$3.515		\$14,061	90	S	\$1,562	\$5,648	8		\$628	\$25,805	\$293	.	S	\$33	\$26,131
0.09 - 5139 \$16 \$567 \$0 \$10 \$170 </td <td>Retail</td> <td>1.83</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td>\$2,905</td> <td></td> <td>\$11,619</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>000</td> <td>\$1,291</td> <td>\$4,667</td> <td>S</td> <td></td> <td>\$519</td> <td>\$21,324</td> <td>\$242</td> <td>S</td> <td>8</td> <td>\$27</td> <td>\$21,593</td>	Retail	1.83	•	•	\$2,905		\$11,619	\$0	000	\$1,291	\$4,667	S		\$519	\$21,324	\$242	S	8	\$27	\$21,593
0.102	Warehouse	0.09	•	•	\$139	\$15	\$557	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	\$62	\$224	8	S 5	\$25	\$1,022	\$12	3 3	G 6	S	\$1,035
Provided By Strate Stra	Used Car Lot	0.02		•	\$24	\$33	\$89 8848	2	2	705	\$341	2 5	2 6	238	\$1.557	\$18	G 58	9 9	2 6	\$1.576
94 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Barber/Beauty Shop	0.45			\$710	\$79	\$2,839	9 6	9	\$315	\$1,140	8	8	\$127	\$5,210	\$29	8	OS.	\$2	\$5,275
94	Hotel, Motel	0.87	•	•	\$1,383		\$5,530	\$0	9 0	\$614	\$2,221	9	9	\$247	\$10,149	\$115	S	9	\$13	\$10,277
0.08	Self Service Laundry	0.94	•	•	\$1,488		\$5,950	S	S	\$661	\$2,390	S 6	S 6	\$266	\$10,920	\$124	S 5	% 5	\$14	\$11,057
1.57 1.57	Garage	0.08		•	\$128		5511	g c	2	/02	5202	2 5	2 5	27	1993	- 600	2 5	2	25	4949 618 A56
0.03	Rest home/Boarding house	70,7		•	\$3,463		\$12,614	Q (4	2 5	\$1,402	\$5.067	8 8	2 S	\$563	\$23,150	\$263	2 5	8 8	\$29	\$23,442
0.11	Service Station	0.03		,	\$48		\$190) S	05	\$21	\$76	S	S	88	\$349	24	S.	8	S	\$354
0.79 \$1,256 \$140 \$55,025 \$0 \$0 \$558 \$2,018 \$0 \$0 \$524 \$89,222 \$105 \$0 \$12 \$12 \$12 \$12 \$12 \$12 \$12 \$12 \$12 \$12	Theatre	0.11	٠	•	\$177		\$707	05	0\$	\$79	\$284	O\$	9	\$32	\$1,298	\$15	0\$	80	\$2	\$1,314
2.01 \$3.181 \$353 \$12,725 \$0 \$0 \$1,414 \$5,111 \$0 \$0 \$568 \$12,353 \$255 \$0 \$0 \$229 \$779,423 \$0 \$19,936 \$818,765 \$89,304 \$0 \$1,034 \$10.04 \$1.05,713 \$80,646 \$2,903,271 \$0 \$0 \$122,586 \$1,166,161 \$0 \$0 \$129,573 \$9,835,345 \$60,471 \$0 \$0 \$6,719 \$6	Brewery	0.79	•	•	\$1,256		\$5,025	\$0	0\$	\$558	\$2,018	S	S	\$224	\$9,222	\$105	20	S	\$12	\$9,338
11 70.46 \$111,673 \$12,408 3446,692 30 \$0 \$1322,586 \$1,166,161 \$0 \$0 \$129,573 \$9,836,345 \$60,471 \$0 \$0 \$6,779 \$6	UPPR - Multi User	2.01	•	•	\$3,181	1	\$12,725	<u>0</u>	S 8	\$1,414	\$5,111	S 5	S 5	\$568	\$23,353	\$265	S 5	8 5	\$29	\$23,647
457.92 0.00 0.00 \$725,818 \$80,646 \$2,903,271 \$0 \$0 \$322,586 \$1,166,161 \$0 \$0 \$129,573 \$9,836,345 \$60,471 \$0 \$0 \$6,719	Subtotal	70.46	•	•	\$111,673	\$12,408	\$446,692	9	2	449,632	\$1/9,423	2	2	055,614	CD / 6194	49,504	*	7	*cn'+	9030,102
		457,92	0.00	0.00	\$725,818	\$80,646	\$2,903,271	0\$		_	11,166,161	\$0			\$9.836,345	\$60.471	S	S	26.719	\$5,395,245

Table 12 City of Auburn Sewer Enterprise Fund Detailed Sewer Rate Calculation

DRAFT

2014-15

			Annual	Mont	hly Cost
	Number of	Allocated	Cost	Billing Unit	Basis of
Customer Category	Billing Units	Cost	Billing Unit	Mo. Charge	Charge
Residential					
Single Family					
<u> </u>	2,661	\$2,139,913	\$804.18	\$67.01	per Unit
Multiple Dwelling	1,493	\$852,455	\$570.97	\$47.58	per Unit
Duplex / Triplex / Condo / Townhome	617	\$382,035	\$619.18	\$51.60	per Unit
Duplex/Triplex/Condo/Townhome W/2 Lift Station	31	\$29,917	\$965.06	\$80.42	per Unit
Duplex/Triplex/Condo/Townhome W/1 Lift Stations	23	\$18,312	\$796.18	\$66.35	per Unit
Multiple Dwelling W/ Lift Station	1	\$796	\$796.18	2	per Unit
CDBG \$13.00/Mo. Reduction	109	\$69,090	\$633.86	\$52.82	per Unit
CDBG - Duplex /Triplex/Condo/ Townhome	15	\$6,733	\$448.86		per Unit
CDBG 1SFD W/DISC 1SFD W/O DISC	1 1	\$1,438	\$1,438.03		per Unit
CDBG \$13.00-MO W/ 2 Lift Stations	2	\$1,959	\$979.61		per Unit
CDBG \$13.00-/MO W/Lift Station	6	\$4,864	\$810.73		per Unit
CDBG 1 APT W/DISC	1	\$401	\$400.65	\$33.39	per Unit
SFD W/2 Lift Stations	393	\$451,922	\$1,149.93	\$95.83	per Unit
SFD with Sewer Lift Station	617	\$605,307	\$981.05	· ·	1.
Subtotal - Residential	5,970	\$4,565,142	ψ301.03	φοι./ ο	per Unit
	0,570	44,303,142			
Non-Residential					
Auto Dealership	3.9	\$620	\$160.84	\$13.40	per 1,000 SF
Bank/Financial Inst.	22.6	\$5,451	\$241.25		per 1,000 SF
Bakery	10.3	\$14,084	\$1,367.10		per 1,000 SF
Bar	8.6	\$4,844	\$562.92		per 1,000 SF
Dry Cleaner	13.9	\$19,062	\$1,367.10		per 1,000 SF
Hall, Lodge, Auditorium	11.6	\$2,789	\$241.25		
Health Studio, Gym	10.8	\$2,609	\$241.25	\$20.10 \$20.40	per 1,000 SF
Market w/Disposal Unit	37.4	\$30,079	\$804.18		per 1,000 SF
Market w/o Disposal Unit	5.2	\$839	The state of the s	\$67.01	per 1,000 SF
Medical/Dental Office	46.1	\$14,827	\$160.84		per 1,000 SF
Business Office	169.3		\$321.67		per 1,000 SF
Place of Workship	41.3	\$27,236	\$160.84		per 1,000 SF
Public Agency	1 1	\$6,644	\$160.84		per 1,000 SF
Mortuary	196.0	\$94,562	\$482.51		per 1,000 SF
Restaurant	13.0	\$13,591	\$1,045.43		per Slumber Room
Restaurant, Take-out Only	272.5	\$438,244	\$1,608.35		per 1,000 SF
Retail	19.1	\$26,131	\$1,367.10	\$113.92	per 1,000 SF
Warehouse	134.3	\$21,593	\$160.84	\$13.40	per 1,000 SF
	12.9	\$1,035	\$80.42		per 1,000 SF
Used Car Lot	1.1	\$177	\$160.84	\$13.40	per 10 fixtures
Car Wash	2.8	\$1,576	\$562.92		per Stall
Barber/Beauty Shop	32.8	\$5,275	\$160.84		per Chair
Hotel, Motel	42.6	\$10,277	\$241.25	· ·	per Sleeping Room
Self Service Laundry	27.5	\$11,057	\$402.09		per Machine
Garage	11.8	\$949	\$80.42		per Bay
Rest Home/Boarding House	76.5	\$18,456	\$241.25	\$20.10	
School	29.1	\$23,442	\$804.18		per 100 Students
Service Station	4.4	\$354	\$80.42		per Pump
Theatre	5.4	\$1,314	\$241.25		per Pump per 100 Seats
Brewery	2.4	\$9,338	\$3,860.05		
UPPR - Multi User [1]	29.4	\$23,647	\$804.18	Φ0∠1.07	per 1,000 SF
TOTAL	20.4	\$5,395,245	φου4.18	TU.10¢	per EDU [1]
		φυ,υσυ,∠40			

[1] Billed separately by the City and accounts for actual tenants and use.

"rate_calc"

Project Name 2006/07 2007/08 2008 101 Valucian 2006/07 2007/08 2008 101 Valucian 292.02 212.22 23.52 Valucian 292.02 212.22 23.52 Valucian 232.03 23.52 Valucian 232.04 23.53 Valucian 232.04 23.54 Valucian 232.04 Valucian 232.04 Valucian 232.04 Valucian 232.04 Valucian 232.04 Valucian 232.04 Valucian	1,000 1,00	2010/11 54.000 52.155.00 52.155.00 53.156.10 53.157.10 53.15	201.12.7 20 20.11.2 20 20.11.2 20 20.11.2 20 20.11.2 20 20.11.2 20 20.11.2 20 20.11.2 20 20.11.2 20 20.11.2 20 20.11.2	2017/01 219,038 219,038 219,038 219,038 219,038 219,038 217,733 217,733 219,038 210,237 210,23	\$113.00 \$15.354 \$15.354 \$15.354 \$15.354 \$15.301 \$15.30	\$5,000 \$10,000	000,022 000	2016/17 124 2016/17 25200 552000 540,000 511,500 511,500 510,000 510,000 510,000 510,000 510,000 510,000 510,000 510,000 510,000	25,000 25,000	\$10,000 \$ \$10,00	2019/20 2 258,2000 25	\$50.000 \$55.00		7687 3 2023/24 5 56,000 55,000	
\$30.4 \$3.15. \$30.4 \$3.15. \$30.4 \$3.15. \$37.73.5 \$56.97. \$1.10.0 \$1.20.0 \$2.16. \$2.10.0		51.195.00 52.195.00 52.195.00 53.504 53.205 53.20	┏┪┋┪┪┪┪	F				▊▘▐▘▐▝▐▝▐▝▊▘▊▘▊▘▊▘▊▘▊▘▊▘▊▘▊▘▊ ▐▘▊	▊▀▝▘▊▘▋▘▍▘▍▝▗▋▝▗▐▘▋▗▋▗▋▗▊▄▊▗▊▗▋▗▋▗▊▗▊▗▊ ▗▊ ▗ ▊			55.000 55. 55.000 55.			2013/14
\$10.00 \$1		59,195, 307 54,000 54,004 54,004 51,0						000'555 000'555 000'555 000'555 000'555 000'555 000'555 000'555 000'555 000'555 000'555 000'555 000'555 000'555 000'555 000'555	╺┪╋╏╎╎╎╒╏┧╏╏┪╏╏╏╒╏╏╒╏			55,5000 552 55,000 552 55,000 552 55,000 552 55,000 552 55,000 552 55,000 552 55,000 552 55,000 552 55,000 552 55,000 552		- 	ľ
\$584.18 \$11,775 \$12,639 \$11,775 \$12,639 \$13,639 \$13,639 \$13,639 \$13,639 \$15	' 	20.105.300 5457.040 54.540 54.540 53.976 53.976 53.976 53.976 53.976 53.976 53.976	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 					000,000 000	╋╏┈╏╶┩═╏╶╏┈╏╶╏┈╏┈			25,000 536 111,200 536 101,000 536 101,000 536 101,000 536 101,000 536 101,000 536 101,000 536 101,000 536 101,000 536		╂╫╫┼┼	3
\$1394.166 \$137.735 \$66977 \$11,775 \$6611 \$132.007		\$1.05.00 \$40.00 \$40.00 \$1.00 \$	1 			 	\ 	\$10,000 \$10,00	╏╎╏╒╏┋╏╏╏╏╏╏╏╏╏╏ ╏┼┼┼┼			111,200 511 110,200 525 110,200 526 110,200 526 110,200 526 110,200 526 110,200 526			5
\$11,775 \$6,815 \$11,775 \$6,815 \$11,775 \$6,043 \$13,040 \$10,724 \$1,040 \$10,724 \$1,040 \$10,724 \$1,040 \$10,724 \$1,040 \$10,724 \$1,040 \$10,724 \$1,040 \$1,040 \$1,040 \$1,0		51,105,700 5457,044 54,945 54,195 53,375 51,375 51,375 50,						000'0515 000'0515 000'0515 000'0515 000'0515 000'0515 000'0515 000'0515 000'0515 000'0515 000'0515 000'0515 000'0515 000'0515 000'0515 000'0515	╵╏╶┞╒╏╸╏╶╏╶╏╶╏╶╏┈╏ ╌ ╏┈╏			111,200 511,1200 511,1200 511,1200 511,1200 512,120,120,120,120,120,120,120,120,120,1			0075
\$68971 \$6411.775 \$11,775 \$6411 \$12,0007 \$13,0007		5457,044 5457,044 5457,044 50 50 51,155 51,157 51,1						000151 0001015 0001015 0001015 0001015 0001015 0001015 0001015 0001015 0001015 0001015	╎┝╏┋╏╏╏╏╏╏╒╏╏ ╫┼┼┼┈			111,200 511 112,000 512 112,000 513 112,000 513 112,00			3
\$11,775 \$6,815 \$11,000 \$5,0048 \$11,000 \$5,0048 \$11,000 \$10,000		54.94 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50						000'515 000'515 000'615 000'615 000'615 000'615	┞┈╏┈╏┈╏┈╏┈╏┈╏┈╏┈╏┈			25,000 512,000			15
\$11,775 \$4,818 \$12,000 \$20,448 \$12,000 \$10,754 \$13,000 \$10,754 \$13,000 \$10,754 \$13,000 \$10,754 \$13,000 \$10,754 \$13,000 \$10,754 \$13,000 \$10,754 \$13,000 \$10,754 \$13,000 \$10,754 \$13,000 \$10,754 \$13,000 \$10,754 \$13,000 \$10,754 \$13,000 \$10,754 \$13,000 \$10,754 \$13,000 \$10,754 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000		51,944 50,185 51,185 51,275 51,275 50,575 51,075 51				 		511,500 511,500 511,500 511,000 510,000 510,000 510,000 510,000 510,000 510,000 510,000 510,000 510,000 510,000	╏┋╏╏╏╏╏╏╏╏╏╏			225,000 \$26 225,000 \$26 220,000 \$92 23,000 \$92 23,000 \$92 23,000 \$92 23,000 \$92 23,000 \$92 23,000 \$92 23,000 \$92 24,000 \$			8
\$11,775 \$6,815 \$1222 \$12,800 \$2,800	╶┤┋╏╏┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋┋	590.90 50.136 51.36 51.36 51.36 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50					╒ ╀┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼	000,001 000	╒╏╏╏╏╏╒╏╏╏╏╏			225,000 \$22 225,000 \$26 210,000 \$10 112,500 \$13 112,500 \$13 110,000 \$13 110,000 \$13			
525.04 50.645 50	┞ ┈╏╏╏╒╒╒┋┋┋┋┋	90 81,05 83,075 83,075 80 80 80 80 80					┍┼╎┈╏╏╏╏╏╏	\$115000 \$11500 \$10300 \$11000 \$11500 \$11500	╏╏╏╏╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒╒			255,000 \$26 892,000 \$927 10,000 \$13 10,000 \$13 10,000 \$13		\$11,800	\$119.0
5128,087 5222 5220,077 525,139 523,086 510,027 51,036 510,027 51,036 510,027 51,039 52,086 51,039 52,086 51,039 52,086 51,039 52,086 51,039 52,086 51,039 52,086 51,039 52,086 51,039 52,086 51,039 52,086 51,039 52,086	┍╏┼╏┼╘╁╬╏╏╏╏╬╬╏ ╏ ┈╏╏╏	58,136 53,975 53,975 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50						\$21,500 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$15,000 \$15,000	┍╏╏╒┋┋╒╒┋╒╒╒╒			225,000 526 882,000 5923 10,000 510 10,000 550 10,000 510		-	\$200,000
50.025 00.52	┠┼╏┼╒╅╬╏╏╏╇╅╇╏╸╒╇╃╏╸ ┼╇	53.9786 53.975 513.673 80 80 80 80 80				 	 	\$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$12,000 \$12,000	┠╏╏╒┋┋			25,000 525,000 525,000 525,000 592,000 592,000 592,000 593,000			5
\$3,846 \$131,754 \$15,81	╎╏┼╒┪╏╏╏╏╏ ┪	533,766 53,975 513,673 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50						576,000 510,000 510,000 511,000 515,000 515,000	╏┍╏╏╒╘╏╏╒╘╒ ╏ ╏╏╒			20,000 5921 210,000 510 212,000 510 212,000 513 210,000 510		C70 000	200
518401 751.131 51840 150.1104 518100 510.104 513.00	┦┤╃┪╇╫┪╇┪╇╇	53.9.756 53.9.75 513.673 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	 		 			\$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$12,000 \$15,000	┞╂╞╏┞╬╬╬			882,000 5927 10,000 519 10,000 513 10,000 510 10,000 510		4	5
5511000 551100	┼┡┧╇╁┧┞┩╅┩╏┈┞╇┪╏╏┈╽ ┺┙	513,07 513,07 513,07 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50					+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	000,015 000,015 000,015 000,015 000,015 000,015 000,015 000,015	╅╋╘╃╃╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇╇			592,000 592, 10,000 510, 10,000 510, 10,000 510,			₹ 5
5531,000 S701,000 51,000 S13,000 S13,000 51,000 S13,000 S13,000	┍┧┦╏╏┞┋ ╅╀╏┈┈┞ ┋ ┪╏╸┈┞┢	53.9.75 519.673 513.673 50 50 50 51.1175	 				+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	\$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$13,000 \$15,000 \$15,000	┪┍╏┩╃╃╃┩┩┩			882,000 5927 10,000 510 10,000 550, 10,000 550, 10,000 550,			3
53,246 52,881 55,1403 519,270 51,403 519,270 51,403 519,270 51,403 519,270 51,519 55,888 515,59 55,888 515,59 55,818	┽╃┼┧╄╬╇╏╸╺┡╅┩╏┈┟	513,675 513,673 50 50 527,114					+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	\$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$15,000 \$15,000 \$15,000	+++++++++			892,000 5927 110,000 510 112,500 513 110,000 550 110,000 510			3
51,403 5330 51,403 5330 51,403 51,403 533 51,613 51,503 51,613 51,503 51,539 51,548 51,539 51,548 51,539 51,518		\$13,673 \$13,673 \$0 \$27,114						\$10,300 \$10,300 \$10,300 \$12,000 \$15,000	- 			10,000 \$10 62,000 \$13 10,000 \$13 10,000 \$10			8
533,045 53,045 51,039 51,039 51,039 51,039 51,039 51,039 51,039 51,039 51,039 51,039 51,039 51,039 51,039		\$13,673 \$0 \$27,114						\$10,000 \$10,000 \$13,00,000 \$25,000 \$25,000				62,000 \$64 112,500 \$13 110,000 \$10	000'01\$ 000'	\$10,000	\$110
58758 5187219 516179 51570 515878 51570 51	╒┪┋┋ ┪	├┼┼┤╂┈╏╸╏╏┡				7 H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	┠╅╅╏╏┩┉┉╬╏╏┩	\$51,000 \$10,900 \$13,000,000 \$13,000 \$15,000				12,500 \$13 10,000 \$10 10,000 \$10		-	S
\$16379 \$1,539 \$1,539 \$4,531 \$4,531	┤┈┋┋┋ ┋	┞┞┋┋┋						\$10,900 \$11,000 \$3,300,000 \$25,000 \$15,000				12,500 \$13 10,000 \$50 10,000 \$10	000 456 000	250 000	CEAN
51,539 \$5,588 51,539 \$5,588 5485,547 \$5,5312 5485,547 \$5,5312 5485,547 \$5,5312	++++				+		+ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$10,900	++++			12,500 \$13 10,000 \$50 10,000 \$10	-	٠.	
51,519 51,519 5465,947 515,369 56,713		+++++						000,000; 10,000 10,000 10,000 11,000 11,000				10,000 519 10,000 550 10,000 510		~	3
51,939 55,888 5482,947 51,912 545,369 520,161 56,713			T T T T T T T T T T					\$3,30,000 \$15,000 \$15,000				000,011	000 \$13,500	\$14,000	\$129,5
Sees. 947 5.605.005 5.605.005 5.6113	8							\$3,300,000 \$25,000 \$15,000			 	10,000 \$10	000	_	\$110,000
5485,947 53,312 545,389 520,161 56,713								\$10,000 \$33,000,000 \$25,000 \$15,000							9
\$1.912 \$465,947 \$15,569 \$6,713				1 11111				53,300,000 525,000 525,000			-		000 610 000	\$10,000	\$1100
5485,947 52,312 545,389 520,161 56,713				11111				53,300,000 525,000 515,000					+		
\$1.912 \$465.947 \$13.569 \$6.713				11111				\$3,300,000 \$22,000 \$15,000				_	***		
5485,947 5485,947 545,389 56,713				11111				000'005'15							
\$485,947 \$15,369 \$6,713			-1-1-1-1					000'51'5	\$15,000		_				\$6,900,000
\$485.947 \$485.907 \$457.10 \$677.11								\$15,000	\$25,000						525.0
5485,947 545,369 56,713 56,713			++	111 1				\$15,000	\$25,000						1
\$465.947 \$415.869 \$6.713			\top		++-++			\$15,000	\$15,000	+				_	
5485,947 53,312 545,389 520,161 56,713				1 1	+++	1		\$15,000	\$15,000	_				_1	2,2
\$12.91 \$12.86 \$6.713				- 1			\$650,000	50		_	\$15,000 \$	\$15,000 \$15,	\$15,000 \$15,000	\$15,000	\$165,0
515.35 5485.947 545.389 56.713					-11		\$650,000	,	_					_	
\$1.912 \$18.569 \$6.713			- 55	l	11	1.0000000000000000000000000000000000000		50							5700.000
\$1,912 \$4,713 \$6,713			9		691	and a constitution of the					C150 CON	CASH AND			00000
5485,947 515,869 56,713		533		1						1		-			2
56,713		*		7,10	à	1									3
515,389 520,161	4			5485	267										S
56,713	490 \$686,134	246,067	52,933	\$205	920										5
	╀		£284	723	38						ł	1			3
	200 000	4,00		1											3
Tress improvements The state of	┥	a a	7	ı	4	1						_			8
wer Collections Project in Obsta Lift Station ifficiency Solution In Bling Software		_	56,109		208 210,000	\$15,000		\$200,000	_				_		\$225,000
m Gala Lift Sation Hazercy Solution Hazercy Solution				ŀ	L	t	SUSCOCCARGOSTOCKO	STATE STATE OF THE PARTY OF	COLUMN	6260 000			-		9
n Casa III Sanon Tricincy Solution IY BHING Software			-	ı		2000	More Contraction and Contraction of the Contraction	- New Control Control	1	3					
Filtericy Southen by Billing Software		+	7	DOZ'C \$66,7555			,	•		,			•		8
ty Billing Software			X	901 54,901	Į.										
ty Biffing Software											L				ន
attent Committee, Enthron						275.000									
										İ					l
										-	_				
Columbia					ODO,DEC	510,000	550,000	510,000	510,000	510,000	510,000 5	\$10,000 \$10,000	200 210,000	\$10,000	\$150,000
nd Ridge Lift Station						•	575,000	2425,000	_						200065
Maidu Lift Station									_	\$700.000	-				SRSOOM
Couthridge Lift Station									•	1.	000 000				2000
										_	200,000				
No. of Property of							200			_					
COMBINE OF CALIFORNIA	1						CONT. TOTAL				1				34.00
			_							_					
Utility Vehicle						\$50,000	,	\$50,000			\$	\$65,000			\$165,000
rater Monitoring Wells					- 2		\$100,000								0'oots
			_												
vanced Oxidation Process	_	_			•			\$15,000		_	_				515,000
w Outfall Diffuser (Study/											-				
Design/ Const)					\$50,000	-						_			550,000
												l			
NPDET - Report of Waste Discharge for			_					_						_	
ONL OF WASte unclaimed not	_	_			-	_		_		_		_	_	_	
2015 Permit						\$100,000	\$100,000	,		_					Synna
Dond 10 114 Chalon	1					nonina n	200000			1	l	1		I	2000
אם דם דון פומונסנו			_				3300,000								2300
ractor/Mower						\$50.000									5
THE STATE OF THE S	CAGOLOS GREATURISMANTE CONTRACTOR	ERECTOR PORT AND	100/2004/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/	SOUTH STANFASTER STANF	CONTROL MINISTERNA PROVINCE	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	8	0000 pools 7000 pools	STORY STATE STATE STATE OF THE	COLC NOTES THE PASS	ASSESSMENT STORY	Apply Received	SQUARD PROPERTY SECTION	A GORGOSON CONT. Y	3
WWTP Pond Improvements	1	1			1	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000			1				\$150,000
anal Sewer Project					\$300,000	77					_				33,900,00
					_										

CITY OF AUBURN Final Sewer Rate & Fee Analysis

April 23, 2007

Prepared for:
City of Auburn
1225 Lincoln Way
Auburn, California 95603

Prepared by: ECO:LOGIC Engineering AUBR07-001

ECO:LOGIC

Contents

City of Auburn Sewer Rate & Fee Analysis

1	Overview	.1-
2 2. 2.	CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM 1 Existing Facilities	.2-:
•	SEWER RATE ANALYSIS & FINANCING PLAN Sewer Rate Analysis 3.1.1 Historical and Budgeted Revenues 3.1.2 Sewer Customers 3.1.3 Projected Revenues and Expenses	.3-: .3-: .3-3 .3-5 .3-5
APPEN	IDICES	
A B C D	Sewer Rate Model, Scenario 1 -2007-08 Sewer Customers & EDU Factors Projected Costs and Allocation Detail Sewer Lift Station Cost Allocation	
TABLES	S	
Table 3 Table 4 Table 4	2-1 Capital Project Summary with City of Auburn Upgrades to WWTP 3-1 Summary of Revenues and Expenses 3-2 Summary of Sewer Customers 3-3 Summary of Projected Expenses through 2011-12 — Scenario 1 3-4 Summary of Financing Assumptions and Debt Service Calculation 3-5 Summary of Rate Impact for 2007-08 3-6 Cash Flow Summary — Full Project Cost Financed 3-7 Cash Flow Summary — Assuming \$1 M in Reserves Applied to Project Cost 3-8 Cash Flow Summary — Assuming \$2M in Reserves Applied to Project Cost 3-9 Rate Summary — Current vs. Calculated Rates 3-9 Continued Rate Summary — Current vs. Calculated Rates 3-1 Calculated Sewer Fee for Treatment Only 4-2 Revised Sewer Connection Fee per EDU 4-3 EDU Factors by Type of Development	3-2 3-4 3-6 3-6 3-7 3-9 -10 -11 -12

Section 1

Overview

The City of Auburn (the City) currently provides sewer collection and treatment for approximately 4,934 parcels. The City currently bills its charges for this service on the annual property tax bill, where it is collected along with the property tax against parcels in the City. Of these parcels, approximately 4,327 are residential customers, and the remaining 607 are commercial/non-residential parcels.

The City is currently planning significant improvements to its wastewater treatment facilities. The City's NPDES permit (CA0077712, adopted March 2005) requires that the WWTP must be upgraded to reduce effluent nitrogen levels and eliminate disinfection byproducts by December 2009. Other trace pollutants must also be reduced through source control measures. These sewer facility upgrades represent significant costs to this small foothill community. The existing sewer rates will need to be increased in order to support financing of these projects.

The City requested that ECO:LOGIC Engineering analyze the existing rates and recommend updated rates to support the necessary capital improvement program while at the same time maintaining efficient operation and maintenance of the City. This Report summarizes the analysis and findings.

The sewer rates were last updated in 1995. The rate per single family unit is \$35.00 per dwelling unit. In 2001, the rate structure was modified somewhat, in that any residential unit that wasn't a single family unit was to be considered a multiple dwelling unit. This resulted in a rate reduction for duplexes, triplexes, and condos as they were changed over to the same rate as a multi-family unit or apartment.

This rate analysis recommends that duplexes, triplexes, and condos be separated into their own customer category. Rather than two general categories of residential users, single family and multiple dwellings, it is recommended that there be three general categories:

- Single Family
- Duplex, Triplex, and Condo
- Multi-Family (Apartment)

This rate structure is similar to that of Placer County and recognizes that duplexes, triplexes, and condos typically have more people per household than an apartment but less than a single family dwelling unit.

Other than this proposed change to the rate structure, there are no other proposed changes to the rate structure at this time.

April 2007

City of Auburn Sewer Rate & Fee Analysis

Section 2

Capital Improvement Program

2.1 EXISTING FACILITIES

The City's wastewater treatment process has evolved with changing wastewater regulations and policies. As the treatment process exists today, it consists of six major components (and the associated ancillary facilities):

- A nitrifying activated sludge treatment process (oxidation ditch and associated secondary clarifiers) with a wastewater treatment capacity of 1.67 MGD.
- A four-cell, 3.4 MG aerated treatment pond system with 90 HP of aeration equipment designed I) to serve as influent flow equalization before going to the oxidation ditch, and 2) to provide initial treatment to large flows of dilute wastewater (resulting from infiltration and inflows) that will be treated in the overall 33 MG aerated and non-aerated pond treatment system that can operate in parallel with the oxidation ditch.
- 5.3 MGD of effluent tertiary filter capacity.
- 136,000 gallon chlorine contact basin capable of providing approximately 2 hours of contact time at design average flows.
- 30 MG of non-aerated wastewater ponds that can be used for 1) long-term storage of large volumes of influent, and 2) additional treatment of effluent leaving the aerated treatment pond system.
- A belt filter press facility that is used to dewater waste sludge that is then trucked offsite to a landfill.

The facility is able to meet the effluent limitations contained in its current NPDES permit. However, in addition to the current requirements set by the permit, the permit contains several new effluent limits and an associated compliance schedule that will require several upgrades to the existing facilities.

2.2 PLANNED FACILITY UPGRADES

The City of Auburn's renewed NPDES permit contains several new effluent limitations that must be met by December 2009. These new limits will require upgrades to the City's wastewater treatment plant to include denitrification facilities and to replace chlorine disinfection facilities with ultraviolet (UV) light disinfection. The following project elements have been identified:

- Construction of a complete UV disinfection system in compliance with State requirements.
- Upgrading the plant electrical system to power the UV disinfection system with the provision of onsite backup power generation.
- Construction of a new building to house the motor control center and PLCs if insufficient space exists within the filter control building
- Modification of the existing hypochlorite system for process cleaning.
- Enhancements to the existing oxidation ditch aeration system to optimize denitrification
- Provisions for a methanol feed system for denitrification within the filters.
- Other miscellaneous improvements determined during design activities to improve system reliability.

In addition to the WWTP facility improvements, the California State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) recently approved new Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) regulations. In an effort to reduce and prevent as well as mitigate any potential SSOs, the City will be required to develop and implement a Sanitary Sewer Management Plan (SSMP) to comply with these new regulations. The full cost implications of these regulations are not known at this time, but the City has budgeted for additional monitoring and studies to meet the near-term SSMP requirements.

Table 2-1 provides a summary of the current and projected capital improvement program (CIP) planned by the City. The on-going CIP improvements for 2006-07 are planned to be funded out of existing sewer fund reserves. After 2006-07, the expenses in the on-going CIP category are budgeted for in the projected rate calculations that are discussed in more detail below.

The WWTP Upgrade improvements will most likely be funded by the City through a revenue bond. The project cost is currently estimated at \$11,745,000 and this reflects a planning estimate only. The costs will be further refined as the project moves into the design stage and ultimately when it is bid and constructed.

		11.			i			000		Transfer of the Party of the Pa		
	2004-05	2005-96	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	04-05 to 10-11	Flancing	Financina Edetina New	1"	OCS
On-Soing CIP improvement Praiserts							1			Manual Manual	ı	NGW.
Machinery & Frances	404	1										
Steam Flow Galson	224.0	\$2,177	\$25,000	\$100,000	\$105,000	\$105,000 \$110,250 \$115,763	\$115,763	\$460,277			5	
Arbum Derine Committee	207'50	\$260	2					59,648			2 5	
	\$1,858	8	\$2,000					83053			2 :	
	984	23	S.					200				
BIOASSAY LASTING WWIP	\$235	S	S					0 100			2	
	8	290	S					6529			2	
Lift Stallon Repairs	(\$204)	5114.431	\$100 000	COKO DOD	6063 600	6967 600 6976 907	, , ,	13854 1			₽.	
Canyon Count/Foresthill Ave.	\$903	S18 283	2345,000	300,000	700°707	679'C/7¢	\$288,405	\$1,291,758			3	
North McDaniel/Skyridge Sewer	8	\$30,089	\$10,000					\$235,186			2	3
Emergency Sewer Repair Projects	SOUD DAR	2000 002	00000	4000	-			540,089			S	
Sewer Map Updates	807 572	CD 687	900,000	\$400,000	D0C*7974	\$276,825	\$289,406	\$1,888,474			S	
Prospector Hit Sewer Projects	3	44,000	000,000					\$76,395		٠	. Si	
WWTP Repairs/Projects	200 300	070'/6	300,000					\$22,628			8	
Collection Systems TV Equipment	6144	CED't 71 f	onn'nnze					\$345,020			. 53	
Robie Point Sewer Renair	•	2 1	2					\$144			5	
MANTE SCATA Statem	3 8	3	\$50,000					\$50,000			5	
Sunite Dieth Manne	3 8	\$26,671	\$20,000					\$46,671			3	\$ \$
MODES Departs Departs	7	CDA	\$10,000					\$10,651				
Short Electronian Order	4/0'th	036,78	8					\$11,454			5	
	B	54,114	\$5,000					\$9,114		•	\$ \$	
diceising Vall	8	84	8					5			2 5	
WWI - Line Fonds 1A & 4	2	\$47,495	\$600,000					5847 495			2 5	
WWY P Additional Storage	.	R	\$10,000					\$10,000			7.4	
Develop Source Control Program	8	\$1,972	\$40,000					644 073			3 1	
Upper Vanlage Oaks Liftstation	<u>;</u>	\$98,528	\$500,000					S596 578			2 6	8
Lower Virtage Oaks Liftslation	8	\$12,882	8					S12 882			•	2 :
hermal Impact Study	æ	\$678	2					SAZA			7	<i>^</i> •
Ultraviolet Disinfection	S	S	\$50,000					850 000	650.000	200	2	7
Vactor Truck	5	윩	\$350,000					2350 000	2021	K 21	n c	LED'ER
Hydro Let Unit	\$	8	\$40,000					C40 000			2 5	2 1
Subtotal	\$260,865	\$789,046	\$2,692,000	\$600,000	\$630,000	\$681,500	\$694,576	\$6,771,774	\$50,000		\$40,909	\$9,091
WWTP Upgrade					-							
Development of an SSES Program .				5300 000	בצט שטט	CED COD	000	4			,	
Trace Pollulant Studies/ Public Education				2100.000	200,000	200,000	200,000	nno'ncce	000,000		\$286,384	\$63,636
PreDesign of WWITP Upgrades			\$50.000	\$150,000		non'nou	000,000	000,0254	000,0254		\$261,816	\$58,182
Dasign of WMTP Upgrades				ODO DONA				naninaze	000,0026	-	\$163,636	\$36,364
Planning (CEOA/ Financing) for WWTP Upgrades				Don'nge				2800,000	000'0084		\$654,546	\$145,465
Construction				453,000				\$25,000	\$25,000	82% 18%	\$20,455	\$4,545
Chemical Feed and Storage Facilities					S600 000			000 000	000 000			-
Denitrification Facilities					\$200,000			oprinone	000,000		1480 a03	108,081
UV Distrifection Facilities			-	\$3 000 000	CB 700 000			17 000 000	200,000		4107,070	436,364
Membrane Filtration				10000	2000				Don'oon' /e		35/21/21/56	\$1,272,72,
Allowance for Unknown Projects				·	000 000 000			3	7		2	4
Construction Services (Eng Services, CM, Inspection)				4300,000	000,000,14			51,500,000	51,500,000	•	\$1,227,273	\$272,727
Subtotal	95	05	\$50,000	\$2 \$75 060		6450 000	400 073		000'00/4	82% 18%	\$572,727	\$127,273
	•	;				200,200	205/0/4	non'ecu'ille	272,022,114		268	S7 176 3E4

Prepared by ECO:LOGIC

Section 3

Sewer Rate Analysis & Financing Plan

3.1 SEWER RATE ANALYSIS

The calculated sewer rates are based on a systematic analysis of the sewer contribution made by various land uses and the costs required to collect and treat sewer influent. In the case of City of Auburn, which is a small community, only assumed wastewater flow is used to determine the relative impact each customer has on the sewer system.

The sewer rate model allocates both current and projected costs to the various customer categories based on their relative contribution to the sewer system influent (based on flow only). The allocation of costs between customer categories is based on existing sewer rate or use factors used by the City of Auburn.

Sewer expenditures include the following categories:

- Administrative Expenses
- Materials and Services
- Contract Operations
- Capital Projects/Facility Replacement
- Capital Outlay
- Expenditure Reimbursement (GF-Admin.)
- Additional Costs due to Local Project
- Debt Service

Once the costs are allocated to the various customer categories, rates are determined by dividing the allocated costs by the number of users/accounts in each category or classification. A more detailed description follows.

The following discussion provides an overview and or summary of the rate analysis. The model tables for fiscal year 2007-08 are included in Appendix A.

3.1.1 HISTORICAL AND BUDGETED REVENUES

Table 3-1 shows the actual and or estimated revenues and expenses for 1999-00 through 2005-06, as well as the budgeted revenues and expense for 2006-07.

Table 3-1 Summary of Revenues and Expenses

			4						
•	2000	7,000	1	Actual			Estimated	Budastad	Change
REVENUES	7007	2007	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2002-2007
Sewer Service Charges	\$2,703,670	.\$2,628,824	\$2,472,123	S2 481 455	C. 2 G. C. 2.				
Sewer Connection Fees	\$102.611	594 007	6424 484	מרלים נישנים	47,000,024	37,4/1,872	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	0.22%
Sewer Development Fees	\$175 380	\$107.154	C125 004	R#0'807#	\$270,045	£301,682	\$260,028	\$265,000	16.94%
Interest Income	S140 184	C108 201	100'00! *	\$00'107¢	\$2,987	S	\$7,800	OS.	(100.00%)
Other Revenues	\$400 345	4 (30,00)	8C) '1 1	\$164,203	\$49,289	\$86,398	\$100,000	\$115,000	0.68%
Deht Proceeds	C1 C22 703	212,0544	3 8		S,	9	\$62,484	0	
Subtotal Revenue	41,332,102	41,1/8,/36	2	9	2	S	05	S 57	
	746,687,942	\$4,637,294	\$2,870,264	\$3,146,811	\$2,990,948	\$2,859,902	\$2,930,322	\$2,880,000	0.07%
EXPENSES				•					
Operating Expenses		•							
Administrative Expenses	\$46,576	\$50,037	\$47.688	580 560	\$118 508	6433 680			
Materials and Services	\$74,210	\$109,891	\$75.844	\$182,899	\$160,534	9 - 53,000 6 - 4 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5	\$170,377	\$164,911	28.16%
Contract Operations	\$1.089.712	51 079 367	S1 229 972	E4 208 244	4100,004	710'0114	\$212,168	\$469,600	44.00%
Capital Projects	53 818 423	\$1 573 704	7/5/577	##/*DE7'1#	050,000,14	\$1,353,387	\$1,446,127	\$1,612,571	5.57%
Capital Outlay	\$92.186	£10.22F	000,000	544 744	6/0'0064	\$260,665	\$621,787		
Expenditure Reimburse (GF. Admin	\$22 443	#104 E20	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	41./11.6	210,51¢	\$1,483	\$5,000	\$25,000	
Subfotal Expanses	CE 442 220	610,124	# C TO TO	423,130	\$26,849	\$133,120	\$66,479	\$87,208	34.87%
	40,140,500	************	\$1,542,41b	\$2,239,676	\$2,035,563	\$1,992,366	\$2,521,938	\$2,359,290	8.87%
Net Operating Revenues	(\$379,288)	\$1,783,391	\$1,327,848	\$907,135	\$955,385	\$867,536	\$408,384	\$520,710	(17.07%)
Debt Service								•	
Current Debt Service [1]	\$277.719	2777719	S2 114 R76	CORA 34B	100 0003	7007			
Subtotal Debt Service	\$277,719	\$277.719	\$2.114,876	5284.348	\$202,234	4404,003	\$282,234	\$284,348	
Debt Coverage Ratio	(1.37)	6 47	690	2.40	00.0	2001000	407,2024	4404,340	
Non-Oneresting Connection		i i	3	'n	An or	3.05	1.45	1.83	
General Reserve (8%)	5128 202	£174 633	£070 024				,		
Subtotal	1010101	20071714	10000	2/0,0014	8L0,/CT¢	\$180,482	\$180,485	\$205,117	(5.97%)
	\$120,2UF	\$124,633	\$279,034	\$150,672	\$157,018	\$180,482	\$180,485	\$205,117	(5.97%)
Subtotal Expenses	\$5,549,151	\$3,256,255	\$3,936,326	\$2,674,696	\$2,474,815	\$2.457.511	\$2.984.657	52 R48 755	(7006.3)
Net Op. Rev after D/S	(\$785,209)	\$1,381,039	(\$1.086.062)	\$472,415	C546 133	C400 304			(8/ 07-0)
			f		22 10 24	404,031	(ccc'soe)	\$31,245	
Depreciation							\$316,801	\$316,801	

Source: City of Auburn [1] Current debt service is for an existing SRF loan for past sewer treatment improvements. The loan will be fully repaid in 2019-20.

Prepared by ECO:LOGIC

AUBR_Sewer_2007 mdi_2 v2/hist_Budget 4/14"

79

3.1.2 SEWER CUSTOMERS

Table 3-2 summarizes the sewer customers, both residential and commercial. The City bills its sewer service charge annually with the property tax assessment rolls. Table 3-2 shows both the parcel count for residential and non-residential users, but also the "billing units." The City charges residential parcels on a per unit basis. However, the basis for charge for non-residential users varies from a per square foot (sqft) basis, to students, to chairs, etc. This information is summarized in Table 3-2.

The assumed flow per user is also shown in Table 3-2. The flow factors are based on the current sewer use factors used by the City. These factors were determined as part of a previous rate analysis and no change is proposed in these assumptions as part of this study.

A single family unit is considered one equivalent dwelling unit (EDU). The flow assumed for a single family unit is 187 gallons per day (gpd), which is based on an assumed use of 85 gallons per person and there being on average 2.2 people per household in the City of Auburn. For the other billing categories, the EDU factor is multiplied times the single family unit flow (gpd) to determine each categories assumed respective sewer use. There are an estimated 6,852 total EDUs based on flow only.

It should be clarified that in the case of residential units with sewer lift stations, the EDU factor is not necessarily representative of flow, but rather, a function of the way the parcel is charged. The EDU factors have been adjusted, not based on flow, but on the ratio these units pay monthly relative to a single family unit or one EDU. Appendix D provides further explanation of the additional allocated costs to sewer lift stations.

There are a total of 4,327 residential parcels representing approximately 5,637 residential units. There are a total of 607 non-residential parcels.

This rate analysis recommends that duplexes, triplexes, and condos be separated into their customer category. Rather than two general categories of residential users, single family and multiple dwellings, it is recommended that there be three general categories:

- Single Family
- Duplex, Triplex, Condo, and Townhome
- Multi-Family (Apartment)

This rate structure is similar to that of Placer County and recognizes that duplexes, triplexes, and condos typically have more people per household than an apartment but less than a single family dwelling unit.

AUGR_Sewer_2007 md_2 v2/Customers 4'' ~ 107

	Characteristics	
	Vastewater User (
Table 3-2	Summany of V	

		Parcel			Wasteunter						
Customer	Basis of	75	Billing		ADMERICAL SE	Control of the Contro	Existing Tr	Я	Total	Total Annual Capacity	_
Category	Charge	Accounts	Units	Factor	049		¥ (416.2			Han
:		3			3		000		MG		
						,			SPECTORS.	South Commence of the state of	
	our Unit	2.965	3.073	100							
Single Family w/ Sewer Lift Stat. [2] p	per Unit	447	448	1.22	701		0.5747		208.77		
	Ser Cray	511	21	143			2,101.		37.17		
	per Unit	6			007		0.1308		49.92		
Subtotal - Single Family		4,094	4,883		3		0.0186		6.86		
DuplexTriplexCondo	oer link						1700		383.71		
Mulii-Family (First fee ner 1 but)		, 6	מנה ל	7,0	77.		0.0454		18.54		20
123	or this	777	1	0.71	134		0.1836		60 73		
	£ 5	- ;	,	58.	184		0,0006		000		
		7	1,044				0.2096		70.64		
-1											
	per 1,000 SF	60	32	0.20	11						
nancial Inst.	per 1,000 SF	-	2	5			21000		0.43		
	per 1,000 SF	47		1 5	3 2		0.0045		1.63		
	Der 1,000 SF	_	, 5	2 5	010		02000		0.72		- Carrier
Dry Cleaner	per 1 (M) SF	- 6	2 4	3 5	151		0.0020		0.74		//10
Half, Lodge, Auditorium	2000 F	, (7 ;	2 ;	310		0,0015		0.53		
	10000	D	á	0.30	35		0,0029		701		
3	- CO CO	77	13	8.0	88		0.0007		100		2000
-	-S 000'L Jed	7	3	9.	107		79000		77.0		
_	per 1,000 SF	7	8	070	37		0,000		7.7		
	per 1,000 SF	4	117	0,40	75		2000		977		
	our 1,000 SF	184	1,366	879	37		0.0510		BL-7		
44	per 1,000 SF	15	5	0.20	75		9000		18. E.		
Pucy	er 1,000 SF	6	140	00.0	112					e te d	
_	Der Ofumber Room	7	10	130	243		7000		6.10		_
_	per 1,000 SF	8	Ξ	2.50	\$ \$		17070		0.00		
staint, Telke-out Only	per 1,000 SF	F	7.	200	127		10000	•	13,80	7	
	per 1,000 SF	158	103	2	1.0		L L L L L L		2.7		
	oer 1,000 SF	1		9 5	5 5		0.0413		15.06		
Used Car Lot	per 10 fatures		Ş	2 5	2		0.0027		1.90		
Car Wash	net State	1 7	2 -	3 5	77		0.0004		0.14	•	
Berber/Beauty Shan		- 5	•	2 1	25.		0.0005		0,19		
		ž	Ē	3	37		0.0069		7.51		
		•	,	9	76		0.000		200	10	
	THOOK SHEEDING KOOLL	9	28	8.9	85		8/00'0		285		
	Ser Mechina	n	2	0,50	29		0.0079		3 5	103	
	per Bay	72	20	0.10	19		0.0053		104	i e	
HANDON WHITE TOURS	per Bed	6	124	0,30	35		0,000				
	per 100 Students	7	8	8	187		0.0084		40.0	0	_
Cladion	per Pump	-	5	0,10	10				3 1	i e	_
_	per 100 Seads	7	R	0.30	B B		7000		0/0	t.	
_	per 1,000 SF	1	•	4.80	98		10000		9.41		
Subtotal Non-Residential		209	1.40	!			0.000		00.00		
SUBTOTAL		7647	5	-					17.75		
Instruction/instruction		l l	1				1.2113		467.51		
TOTAL							0.1370		50.0		
							1,4183		617.64		
Estimated EDUs (based on Flow & Sewer Lift Charge)	H LIM Charge)		6,852					-			
(1) Only flow is considered in this rate analysis.	This is tendent for an	angles collines								Taper champlacher	

(1) Only frow is considered in this rate study is spical for sewalter cities.

[2] The SDU and flow factor for units requising use of server \$6 studies is increased to account for additional costs related to operating and metristaking the sewer \$6 studies D-1 and D-2 for details.

Propured by ECO:LOGIC

81

Other than this proposed change to the rate structure, there are no other proposed changes to the rate structure at this time (i.e., no proposed changes to the flow/EDU factors for non-residential users).

3.1.3 PROJECTED REVENUES AND EXPENSES

In the rate analysis, or revenue model, the expenses are projected based off of budgeted expenses for 2006-07. Expenses are projected through 2011-12 as shown in Table 3-3. More detailed tables that also show how these costs are allocated to treatment and collection are provided in Appendix C. The costs are projected based on the following percentages:

=	Administrative Expenses	7.0%
#	Materials and Services	5.0%
=	Contract Operations	5.0%
=	Capital Projects/Facility Replacement	5.0%
8	Capital Outlay	5.0%
*	Expenditure Reimburse (GF-Admin.)	5.0%
	Additional Costs due to Local Project	5.0%

Included in the expenses is an assumption for debt service. There are three scenarios assumed in the debt service calculation as shown in Table 3-4. The main difference between the scenarios is the assumed use of existing fund reserves applied to the project cost thereby reducing the amount of the project costs to be borrowed. Scenario 1 assumes no use of reserve funds, Scenario 2 assumes \$1,000,000 in reserve funds, and Scenario 3 assumes \$2,000,000 in reserve funds. The financing terms that are assumed are the same for each scenario.

It should be noted that the financing assumptions, such as the interest rate and term, are only assumptions. Actual financing terms will be determined by the lender at the time of financing and will be based on market conditions at that point in time.

The projected expenses also include a revenue offset line item. The rate analysis assumes that the City will have a minimum of 25 new EDUs of development each year and that they will pay a sewer connection fee. The revenue offset assumes that the City transfers the sewer treatment portion of the fee to the sewer operating fund to go towards new development's share of debt service on the project. Chapter 4 discusses the fee assumptions in greater detail.

Table 3-3
Summary of Projected Expenses through 2011-12 – Scenario 1

	Budgeled			Projected	***************************************	
i	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Administrative Expenses	\$164,911	\$176,455	\$188,807	\$202,023	\$246 46E	
Materials and Services	\$469,600	\$493,080		\$543,621	\$216,165	\$231,29
Contract-Operations	\$1,612,571	\$1,693,200			\$570,802	\$599,34
Capital Projects/Facility Replacement	\$0	\$600,000		\$1,866,753 \$661,500	\$1,960,080	\$2,058,09
Capital Outlay	\$25,000	\$26,250			\$694,575	\$729,304
Expenditure Reimburse (GF-Admin.)	\$87,208	\$91,568		\$28,941	\$30,388	\$31,907
Additional Costs due to Local Project	\$0	\$50,000	\$96,147 \$52,500	\$100,954	\$106,002	\$111,302
Subtotal	\$2,359,290	\$3,130,553		\$55,125	\$57,881	\$60,778
Dames de la Barrio	4=10001200	40,130,003	\$3,290,608	\$3,458,916	\$3,635,902	\$3,822,021
Current Debt Service	\$284,348	\$284,348	\$284,348	\$284,348	\$284,348	\$284,348
Additional Debt Service	\$0	\$826,000	\$826,000	\$826,000	\$826,000	\$826,000
Seneral Reserve	\$180,485	\$310,252	\$335,190	\$331,323	\$318,777	\$317,653
Capital Reserve for Regional Project	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$017,000
[otal	\$2,824,123	\$4,551,153	\$4,736,148	\$4,900,587	\$5,065,027	\$5,250,022
Credit/Offset for Other Revenues (Fee Revenue)	\$0	(\$70,171)				•
•	•		(\$70,171)	(\$70,171)	(\$70,171)	(\$70,171
djusted Total	\$2,824,123	\$4,480,982	\$4,665,976	\$4,830,416	\$4,994,855	\$5,179,850
ercent increase		58.7%	4.1%	3.5%	3.4%	-
		2011 10	7,170	3.576	3,478	3.7%

Table 3-4
Summary of Financing Assumptions and Debt Service Calculation

• *			Imated Bond Siz	ing
Item		Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3
item	Assumptions	No Use of	\$1 Million in	\$2 Million in
	***************************************	Capital Reserves	Cap. Res. Used	Cap. Res. Used
Total Loan/Bonds				
Total Estimated Project Costs		\$11,745,000	\$11,745,000	\$11,745,000
Less Reserves on Hand		50	(\$1,000,000)	(\$2,000,000
Proceeds		\$11,745,000	\$10,745,000	. \$9,745,000
Capitalized Interest	0 Months	\$0	\$0	
Issuance Costs	4.0%	\$469,800	\$429,800	\$0 \$389,800
Bond Reserve Fund/WCRF		\$826,000	\$758,000	\$885,000
Loan/Bond Size		\$13,040,800	\$11,930,800	\$10,819,800
Adjustment for Rounding		\$19,200	\$17,200	\$16,200
Total Loan/Bond Size		\$13,060,000		•
		413,060,000	\$11,948,000	\$10,836,000
Annual Costs				
Estimated Gross Debt Service		2006 646	*****	
Estimated Gross Debt Service -	Rounded	\$825,515	\$755,227	\$684,938
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	\$826,000	\$756,000	\$685,000
Assumptions [1]				
nterest Rate		4.75%		
Term		4.75% 30 Years	4.75%	4.75%
Bond Load Factor		1.1120	30 Years	30 Years
		, 1.1120	1.1120	1.1120

^[1] Bond issuance assumptions are estimates only. Actual bond pricing will be determined based on market conditions at the time of bond issuance.

3.2 PROJECTED RATE IMPACT

Table 3-5 below summarizes the projected rate impact for 2007-08. The additional debt service from the capital improvement projects significantly impacts the rate needed to support the City. As shown on Table 3-5 the additional debt service adds another \$8.33 to \$10.05 per EDU in revenue needs (depending on whether the City uses existing reserves to fund part of the project or not).

In addition to the new debt service, there are increased operations and maintenance costs (O&M), which represents about an average of 4 percent cost increase per year. It was also assumed that the City would have on-going facility replacement needs for existing infrastructure (e.g., collection system, sewer lift stations, etc.). The model assumes approximately \$600,000 in these types of expenses in 2007-08, which adds approximately \$4.50 per month per EDU. It should be noted that this expense line item is intended to encompass annual depreciation as well.

Table 3-5
Summary of Rate Impact for 2007-08

,		Estimated Cost	·····
		With \$1 M	With \$2 M
Item	Full Cost	Reserves Applied	Reserves Applied
ACIT!	Financing	to Prj. Cost	to Prj. Cost
WWTP Facility Upgrade Project	\$11,745,000	\$10,745,000	\$9,745,000
Additional Financing Costs	\$1,315,000	\$1,203,000	\$1,091,000
Subtotal Costs	\$13,060,000	\$11,948,000	\$10,836,000
Estimated New Debt Service	\$826,000	\$756,000	\$685,000
Total Estimated EDUs	6,852	6,852	6,852
Annual Cost per EDU	\$120.55	\$110.34	\$99.97
Monthly Cost per EDU	\$10.05	\$9.19	\$8.33
Current Cost per EDU	\$35.00	\$35.00	\$35.00
Estimated Cost per EDU	. \$45.05	\$44.19	\$43.33
Additional Est. Projected Rate Impact (2007-08)			
O&M Cost Increase	\$1.49	\$1.49	\$1.49
Capital Projects/Facility Replacement	\$4.50	\$4.50	\$4.50
General Reserve for Debt Service Coverage	\$3.46	\$3.22	\$3.18
Subtotal	\$9.45	\$9.21	\$9.17
Total Estimated Cost per EDU FY2007-08	\$54.50	\$53.40	\$52.50
Difference (cost per month per EDU)		\$1.10	\$2.00

The final rate component impact is one of providing adequate debt service coverage for purposes of meeting projected financing requirements. Lenders almost always include a debt service coverage covenant in loan or bond documents that require the borrower to set rates at a high enough level that net revenues will cover debt service by some factor ranging from as low as 1.10

times to as high as 1.60 times, depending on the credit quality of the borrower. The rate model has assumed a coverage ratio of at least 1.2 times (and 1.1 times excluding development impact fee revenue. These are only assumptions at this time, the actual coverage requirements will be determined at the time of financing.

Overall, it is projected that the minimum monthly rate per EDU would need to be increased from \$35.00 to \$54.50 as shown in the cash flow projection in Table 3-6 (Scenario 1), to \$53.40 in Table 3-7 (Scenario 2), or to \$52.50 in Table 3-8 (Scenario 3).

Table 3-9 shows the project rate increases for all customer categories through 2011-12.

It should be noted that there are residential units within the City that are designated as low income and are subsidized with Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funding. These units receive a \$13.00 per month discount off of the current rate. It is assumed that this discount will continue to apply. These units (approximately 139 units) will receive a \$13.00 per month discount off of the adopted rate per the appropriate customer category (e.g., single family, multifamily, etc.). The rate reduction for low income households is back filled by the City from CDBG funds, so other rate payers will not bear costs that would otherwise be allocated to the low income households if there were no rate reduction.

Table 3-6 Cash Flow Summary - Full Project Cost Financed

	Inflation	Budget			Projected		
	Assumption	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Revenues			_				
Monthly Charge		\$35.00	\$54.50	\$58.75	\$58.75	\$60.75	\$63.00
Sewer Sales		\$2,500,000	\$4,480,982	\$4,665,976	\$4,830,416	\$4,994,856	\$5,179,850
Other Revenues			.,,	4-,000,010	44,000,410	44/024/000	40,115,000
Sewer Connection Charges [1]		\$285,000	\$70,171	\$70,171	\$70,171	\$70,171	\$70,171
Interest Income		\$115,000	\$0	50	50	\$0	SI
Other Revenues		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	02	\$0
Subtotal		\$115,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1
Subtotal Revenues		\$2,880,000	\$4,551,153	\$4,736,148	\$4,900,587	\$5,065,027	\$5,250,022
Expenses							
Administrative Expenses	7.0%	\$184,911	\$176,455	\$188,807	\$202,023	\$216,165	\$231,296
Materials and Services	5.0%	\$469,600	\$493,080	\$517,734	\$543,821	\$570,802	\$599,342
Contract Operations	5.0%	\$1,612,571	\$1,693,200	\$1,777,860	\$1,866,753	\$1,960,090	52,058,095
Capital Projects/Facility Replacement [2]	5.0%		\$600,000	\$830,000	\$681,500	\$894,575	\$729,304
Capital Outlay	5.0%	\$25,000	\$26,250	\$27,583	\$28,941	\$30,388	\$31,907
Expenditure Reimburse (GF-Admin.)	5.0%	\$87,208	\$91,568	\$98,147	\$100,954	\$108,002	\$111,302
Additional Costs due to Local Project	5.0%		\$50,000	\$52,500	\$55,125	\$57,881	\$60,775
Subtotal Expenses		\$2,359,290	\$3,130,553	\$3,290,609	\$3,458,916	\$3,635,902	\$3,822,021
Net Revenue Before D/S & Depreciation		\$520,710	\$1,420,600	\$1,445,538	\$1,441,671	\$1,429,125	\$1,428,061
Debt Service							
Current Debt Service		\$284,348	\$284,348	\$284,348	\$284,348	\$284,348	\$284,348
Addf Debt Service			\$826,000	\$826,000	\$826,000	\$826,000	\$828,000
Total Debt Service		\$284,348	\$1;110,348	\$1,110,348	\$1,110,348	\$1,110,348	\$1,110,348
Debt Sarvice Coverage		1.83	1.28	1,30	1.30	1,29	1.29
Debt Service Coverage w/o Fee Transfer		0,20	1.22	1.24	1,24	1.22	1.22
Cap, Reserve for Regional Project [3]	5,0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	20	\$0	
Subtotal	-1	so	\$0	50	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Total Expenses	÷	\$2,643,638	\$4,240,901	\$4,400,957	\$4,569,264	\$4,746,250	\$4,932,369
Net Revenue After D/S		****					•
Vet Revenue as % of Total Expenses		\$235,362	\$310,252	\$335,190	\$331,323	\$318,777	\$317,653
100 Manager 2 30 Ot Loren Expenses			7,3%	7.6%	7,3%	6.7%	5.4%
Reginning Operating Balance [4]		\$4,786,813	\$2,431,175	\$2,741,427	\$3,076,617	\$3,407,941	\$3,726,717
Nat Revenues		\$236,382	\$310,252	\$335,190	\$331,323	\$318,777	\$317,653
Capital Projects Funded by Reserves Reserves Used for CIP Financing		(\$2,592,000) \$0					.,
nding Operating Balance		\$2,431,175	\$2,741,427	\$3,076,617	\$3,407,941	\$3,726,717	\$4,044,370
arget Operating Balance [5]		\$1,179,645	\$1,565,276	\$1,645,305	\$1,729,458	\$1,817,951	\$1,911,010

^[1] Connection fees for 2008-07 are budgeted; For 2007-08 through 2011-12 the fee revenue is calculated assuming:
Calculated Dev Imp Fee Treatment Only \$2,807

Number of New EDUS per Year 25,00 Total revenues ≈ 370,171.18

[2] Based on projected facility replacement/depreciation requirements. FY 2008-07 funded out of reserves.

[3] None Assumed.

[4] Cash and cash equivalents belance, end of fiscal year 2005-05, page 27 Audited Financial Statement.

[5] The target operating belance represents 6 months of operating expenses, excluding debt service.

April 2007

"prof cashow"

Table 3-7 Cash Flow Summary - Assuming \$1 M in Reserves Applied to Project Cost

	Inflation	Budget			Projected		
	Assumption	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Revenues							
Monthly Charge		\$35.00	\$53.40	355.40			
Sewar Sales		\$2,500,000	\$4,390,796		\$57.40	\$59,40	\$61.6
Other Revenues		42,000,000	44/22011.26	\$4,555,248	\$4,719, 69 5	\$4,884,144	\$5,065,03
Sewer Connection Charges [1]		\$265,000	\$70.171	#70 AT4			_
Interest Income		\$115,000	\$70,171	\$70,171	\$70,171	\$70,171	\$70,17
Other Revenues		\$0	02	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	Ş
Subtotal		\$115,000	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1
Subtotal Revenues		\$2,880,000	\$4,460,967	\$4,625,417	\$4,789,866	\$4. 9 54,316	\$1 \$5,138,210
Expenses							
Administrative Expenses	7.0%	\$184,911	0470 455				
Materials and Services	5.0%	\$459,600	\$176,455	\$188,807	\$202,023	\$218,165	\$231,296
Contract Operations	5.0%	\$1,612,571	\$493,080	\$517,734	\$543,621	\$570,802	\$599,342
Capital Projects/Facility Replacement (2)	5.0%	41,012,011	\$1,693,200	\$1,777,860	\$1,868,753	\$1,960,090	\$2,058,095
Capital Outlay	5.0%	\$25,000	\$600,000	\$830,000	\$561,500	\$894,575	\$729,304
Expenditure Relmburse (GF-Admin.)	5.0%	\$87,208	\$25,250	\$27,583	\$28,841	\$30,388	\$31,907
Additional Costs due to Local Project	5.0%	407,200	\$91,568 \$50,000	\$98,147	\$100,954	\$108,002	\$111,302
Subtotal Expenses	0,0,0	\$2,359,290	\$3,130,553	\$52,500	\$55,125	\$57,881	\$80,775
Vet Revenue Before D/S & Depreciation		\$520,710	\$1,330,415	\$3,290,609 \$1,334,807	\$3,468,918 \$1,330,980	\$3,635,902 \$1,318,413	\$3,822,021 \$1,313,188
Debt Service		•	. ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	4.101001	4.,000,000	41101010	41,010,188
Current Debt Service		CAR4 040					
Addi' Debt Service		\$284,348	\$284,348	\$284,348	\$284,348	\$284,348	\$284,348
otal Debt Service			\$759,000	\$758,000	\$756,000	\$758,000	\$756,000
		5284,348	\$1,040,348	\$1,040,348	\$1,040,348	\$1,040,348	\$1,040,348
Pebt Service Coverage		1.83	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.27	1,28
Pebl Service Coverage w/o Fee Transfer		0,90	1.21	1.22	1.21	1.20	1.18
ap. Reserve for Regional Project [3]	5.0%	\$0	50	\$0			
Subtotal		\$0	\$0	30 50	\$0	\$0	\$0
otal Expanses		•-		7-	\$0	\$0	\$0
-•		\$2,643,638	\$4,170,901	\$4,330,957	\$4,499,264	\$4,676,250	\$4,862,369
et Revenue After D/S		\$236,362	\$290,067	\$294,459	\$290,602	\$278,965	2070 mad
let Revenue as % of Total Expenses		, ,	7.0%	6.8%	6.5%	5.9%	\$272,841 5.6%
eginning Operating Balance (4)		\$4,786,813	\$1,431,175	\$1,721,242	\$2,015,701	\$2,306,303	£2.524.445
		*	41,101,110	41,121,272	42,013,101	\$2,300,303	\$2,584,388
Net Revenues		\$235,382	\$290,067	\$294,459	\$290,602	\$278,086	\$272,841
Capital Projects Funded by Reserves Reserves Used for CIP Financing		(\$2,592,000) (\$1,000,000)		11-11-12-	4200,000	42,4200	4212,041
nding Operating Salance		\$1,431,175	\$1,721,242	\$2,015,701	\$2,305,303	\$2,584,368	\$2,857,209
erget Operating Balance (5)		\$1,179,645	\$1,585,276	\$1,645,305	\$1,729,458	\$1,817,951	\$1,911,010

Connection fees for 2006-07 are budgeted; For 2007-08 through 2011-12 the fee revenue is calculated assuming: Calculated Dev Imp Fee Treatment Only \$2,607
 Number of New EDUS per Year 25.00 Total revenues ≈ \$70,171.18
 Based on projected facility replacement/depractiation requirements. FY 2006-07 funded out of reserves.
 None Assumed.
 Cash and cash equivalents balance, and of fiscal year 2005-08, page 27 Audited Financial Statement.
 The target operating balance represents 6 months of operating expenses, excluding debt service.

Table 3-8 Cash Flow Summary - Assuming \$2M in Reserves Applied to Project Cost

	Inflation	Budget			Projected		
	Assumption	2005-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Revenues							
Monthly Charge		\$35.00	\$52.50	\$54.25	\$56.25	\$58,25	\$60.5
Sewer Sales		\$2,500,000	\$4,316,794	\$4,460,687	\$4,525,137	\$4,780,586	\$4,974,59
Other Revenues		• •					
Sewer Connection Charges (1)		\$265,000	\$70,171	\$70,171	\$70,171	\$70,171	\$70,17
Interest income		\$115,000	\$D	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1
Other Revenues		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1
Subtotal		\$115,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Ş
Subtotal Revenues		\$2,880,000	\$4,386,965	\$4,530,858	\$4,695,308	\$4,859,757	\$5,044,76
Expenses							
Administrative Expenses	7.0%	\$164,911	\$176,455	\$188,807	\$202,023	\$216,165	\$231,296
Materials and Services	5.0%	\$469,600	\$493,080	\$517,734	\$543,621	\$570,802	\$599,34;
Contract Operations	5.0%	\$1,612,571	\$1,693,200	\$1,777,860	\$1,886,753	\$1,860,090	\$2,058,098
Capital Projects/Facility Replacement [2]	5.0%		\$600,000	\$630,000	\$661,500	\$694,575	\$729,304
Capital Oullay	5.0%	\$25,000	\$26,250	\$27,563	\$28,941	\$30,388	\$31,907
Expenditure Reimburse (GF-Admin.)	5.0%	\$87,208	\$91,588	\$98,147	\$100,954	\$106,002	\$111,302
Additional Costs due to Local Project	5.0%		\$50,000	\$52,500	\$55,125	\$57,881	\$80,778
Subtotal Expenses		\$2,359,290	\$3,130,553	\$3,290,609	\$3,458,916	\$3,635,502	\$3,822,021
Net Revenue Before D/5 & Depreciation		\$520,710	\$1,258,413	\$1,240,249	\$1,236,392	\$1,223,855	\$1,222,742
Debt Service							
Current Debt Service		\$284,348	\$284,348	\$284,348	\$284,348	\$284,348	\$284,348
Addi' Debt Service	•		\$685,000	\$685,000	\$685,000	\$685,000	\$685,000
Total Debt Service		\$284,348	\$969,348	\$989,348	\$969,346	\$959,342	\$989,348
Debi Service Coverage		1.83	1,30	1.28	1.28	1.25	1.20
Debt Service Coverage w/o Fee Transfer		0,90	1.22	1.21	1.20	1.19	1.15
Cap. Reserve for Regional Project (3)	5.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Expenses		\$2,543,638	\$4,099,901	\$4,259,957	\$4,428,264	\$4,605,250	\$4,791,369
Net Revenue After D/S		\$236,362	\$287,085	\$270,901	\$267,044	\$254,507	\$253,394
Vet Revenue as % of Total Expenses	•	V	7.0%	8.4%	6.0%	5,5%	5.39
Beginning Operating Balance [4]	** ** ** *** *************************	\$4,786,813	\$431,175	\$718,240	\$989,140	\$1,256,184	\$1,510,691
Not December		tone are	****	#n7n nc-	PROT 0.4.4	enr 4 FC7	enra
Nat Revenues Capital Projects Funded by Reserves		\$236,362	\$287,065	\$270,901	\$267,044	\$254,507	\$253,394
Reserves Used for CIP Financing		(\$2,592,000) (\$2,000,000)					
inding Operating Balance		\$431,175	\$718,240	\$989,140	\$1,258,184	\$1,510,591	\$1,764,084
arget Operating Balance [5]		51,179,645	\$1,665,276	\$1,645,305	\$1,729,458	\$1,817,951	\$1,911,010

_buel custations

^[1] Connection-fees for 2006-07 are budgeted; For 2007-08 through 2011-12 the fee revenue is calculated assuming:
Calculated Day Imp Fee Treatment Only \$2,807
Number of New EDUS per Year 25.00 Total revenues = \$70,171.18
[2] Bassed on projected facility replacement/depreciation requirements. FY 2006-07 funded out of reserves.
[3] None Assumed.
[4] Cash and cash equivalents balance, end of fiscal year 2005-08, page 27 Audited Financial Statement.
[5] The target operating balance represents 6 months of operating expenses, excluding debt service.

AUBR_Sewer_2007 mdi_2 v2/Rate_Sum 4/1Fmn07

2
•

		Current		-	oral WMTD Desired								
		Rate	2007-08	ă	2009-10	2010-11	2011.12	Local WW	TP Project	Wilse of \$1.	Local WWTP Project wilse of \$1.0 M in Cap Reserves	leserves	
Residential [1]								00-1007	50-92-03	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	•
Single Family	:												
Single Families of Section 25	הפי טיונ	\$35.00	\$54.50	\$56.75	\$58.75	\$60.75	CR3 00	4					
Circle III Sewel Lill State	per unit	\$42.56	\$66.25	S68.98	871 41	72 07		400.40	\$55.40	\$57.40	\$59.40	\$61.60	
Single ramity W/Z Sewer Lift Stat.	per Unit	\$50.10	K78 00	601		10.0	4/0.00	\$64,91	\$67.34	\$69.77	\$72.20	\$7.4 BB	
Single Family w/3 Sewer Lift Stat.	per Unit	257 65	CB0 74	77.100	70.404	566.83	\$90.16	\$76.42	\$78.28	\$82.15	SAT DA	007 804	
			* Co.	400.40	\$96.74	\$100.03	\$103.75	\$87.94	\$91.23	404 S	400.00	200.10	
Duplex/Triplex/Condo	i i i	. ;								70.46	79./64	5101.44	
Multi-Family (Flot fee ner 11-14)		\$24.00	\$42.02	\$43.75	\$45.30	\$46.84	S48 58	64447					
Afrika Tarring (a set rice per Crist)	per Unit	\$24.00	\$38.91	\$40.57	241 05	243 25	20,44	941.	\$42.72	\$44.26	\$45.80	\$47.50	
Multi-ramily (Sewer Lift Station)	per Unit	\$31.55	12 E5S	70.01		443.30	\$44.99	\$38.13	\$39.56	\$40.99	\$42.41	642.08	
	•		1000	# n n n	\$27.E	\$59,93	\$62,15	\$52.68	\$54.86	S. S	i		
Non-Residential											00'0C	\$40°.78	
Alifo Dealership													
	per 1,000 SF	\$7.00					000		1				
banki inancial Inst.	per 1,000 SF	510.50					75.50	\$10.68	\$11.08	\$11.48	\$11.88	\$12.32	
Bakery	1000 F 190	200					\$18.90	\$16.02	516 62	417 73	647 84	7	
Rar	יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	908.30					1107.11	25 063	204 40	71.14	70'114	\$10.48	
3 6	per 1,000 SF	\$24.50					644 40	0.00		80'/B¢	\$100,99	\$104.72	
Dry Cleaner	per 1.000 SF	550 50					- data	\$37.38	\$38.78	\$40.18	\$41.58	543 12	
Hall, Lodge, Auditorium	1000 t 100	200					107.11	\$90.79	\$84.19	597.59	6100 00	2404.70	
Health Chindio China	Je pon't iad	00'014					\$18.90	C18 03	640.00	00.10	60.00	3104.72	
Marie County Cylin	per 1,000 SF	\$10.50					C18 Dn	7000	410.02	27./16	\$17.82	\$18.48	
Market w/Disposal Unit	per 1,000 SF	\$35.00					10.30	Z0.0T¢	\$16.62	\$17.22	\$17.82	\$18.48	
Market w/o Disposal Unit	per 1,000 SF	27.00					983.00	\$53,40	\$55.40	\$57.40	\$58.40	\$61.60	
Medical/Dental Office	Dec 1 000 SE						\$12.60	\$10.68	\$11,08	\$11.48	S11 88	642.32	
Business Office	10 000, 100	4.00					\$25.20	\$21.36	\$22.16	622 ag	97 509	414.32	
Disco of Medical	per 1,000 SF	\$7,00					\$12.60	S10 68	2	200.30	923.10	\$24.64	
THE OF VIOLENIED	per 1,000 SF	\$7.00					\$12 BO	900	0.7	94.1.48	\$11.88	\$12.32	
rubiic Agency	per 1,000 SF	\$21.00					627 80	0000	41.00	\$11.48	\$11.88	\$12.32	
Mortuary	per Slumber Room	\$45.50					00.75	232.04	\$33,24	\$34.44	\$35.64	\$36,96	
Restaurant	per 1,000 SF	587.50					16.104	\$69,42	\$72.02	\$74.62	\$77.22	\$80.08	
Restaurant, Take-out Only	per 1,000 SF	270.00					157.51	\$133.51	\$138.51	\$143.51	\$148.51	\$154.01	
Retail	ner 1 000 SE	0.00					128.01	\$106.81	\$110.81	\$114.81	S118.81	\$122.24	
Warehouse	10 000; 11 c	00.74					\$12.60	\$10.68	\$11.08	S11 48	£11 88	642.20	
The state of the s	לכ מסמ') ופת	23.50					\$6.30	\$5.34	£5 54	72.44	90.1	217.32	
Osea car Lot	per 10 fixtures	\$7.00					512 An	40.00	10.77	47.75	48.CA	\$6.16	
	per Stall	\$24.50					2017	20.00	911.00	47.48	\$11,88	\$12,32	
Barber/Beauty Shop	per Chalr	\$7.00					244.10	\$3/.38	\$38.78	\$40.18	\$41.58	\$43,12	
Bowling Alley	per Lane	\$14.00					12.60	\$10.68	\$11.08	\$11.48	\$11.88	\$12.32	
Hotel, Motel	nor Sleening Doom	2 4					125.20	\$21.36	\$22.16	\$22.96	\$23.76	S24 FA	
Salf Sarvice I amodo	nor Monthly Noull	00.00	0.00	\$17.03	\$17,63	\$18.23	18.90	\$16.02	\$16.62	\$17.22	S47 R5	C48 40	
Caraca		DC, 7 F#					31.50	\$26.70	527 70	27 aC	17.00		
	per Bay	\$3.50					56.30	65 34	7 2 2 4	420.70	429.70	430.80	
rest nome/boarding House	per Bed	\$10.50					000		40.04	40.74	\$5,84	\$6.16	
School	per 100 Students	\$35.00					0.50	\$16.02	\$16.62	\$17.22	\$17.82	\$18.48	
Service Station		42 50					00.50	\$53.40	\$55.40	\$57.40	\$59.40	\$61.60	
Theatre	ner 100 Seets						\$6.30	\$5.34	\$5.54	\$5.74	\$5.94	S6.16	
Brewerv	20 COURT	00'04'					18.90	\$16.02	\$16.62	\$17.22	\$17.82	S18 48	
	יים ויים מו	\$168.UU					\$302,19	\$255.42	\$265.01.	\$274.61	\$284.20	S294 90	
									ŧ			100	

Table 3-9 Rate Summaty - Current vs. Calculated Rates

Table 3-9 Rate Summary - Current vs. Calculated Rates

		Kafe	2007-08	2008-09	2007-08 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 2011-12	2010-11	2011-12
Residential [1]						 - 	
Single Family	1101			į			
Single Family w/1 Source 1 16 Co.		955.00	452.50	\$54.25	\$56.25	\$58.25	\$60.50
Shorte Family and Center City Con-		\$42.56	\$63.82	\$65.94	\$68,38	\$70.81	\$73.54
Tale I mill with Sewel Lill State	per Unit	\$50.10	\$75.14	\$77.64	\$80,50	\$83.36	SAG SB
Dirigie ramily Wis Sewer Lift Stat.	per Unit	\$57.65	\$86.45	S#9 34	502 62	00 204	200.00
					20.70	79.00	£9,88¢
Duplex/Triplex/Condo	per Unit	224 00	\$40.48	£44 B3			
Multi-Family (Flat fee per Unit)	nerlinit	20 4 20	1	20.	40.07	\$44.91	546,65
Mulli-Family (Sauge # Station)	mio 104	324.00	937.49	\$38.74	\$40.16	\$41.59	\$43.20
לוכחים ביין כופונסון		\$31.55	\$51.80	\$53.52	\$55.50	\$57.47	\$56.69
Non-Residential							
Auto Dealership	per 1 000 SF	67.00	640	1 1	,	,	
Bank/Financial Inst	200 T 1000 SE	9,0	00.01	410.00	\$11.25	\$11,65	\$12.10
House,	יים ויים או	0c.ur¢	\$15.75	\$16.28	\$16.88	\$17.48	\$18.15
Danel y	per 1,000 SF	\$59,50	\$89.25	\$92.23	\$95.63	\$99.03	\$102.86
	per 1,000 SF	\$24.50	\$36.75	\$37.98	\$39,38	\$40.78	542.35
ory cleaner	per 1,000 SF	\$59,50	\$89,26	\$92.23	\$95,53	\$99.03	S102 86
Hall, Lodge, Auditorium	per 1,000 SF	\$10,50	\$15.75	\$16.28	\$16.88	S17 48	\$18 45
Health Studio, Gym	per 1,000 SF	\$10.50	\$15.75	\$16.28	\$16.88	517.48	£18 15
Markel w/Disposal Unit	per 1,000 SF	\$35.00	\$52,50	\$54,25	\$56.25	S58 25	\$50.50
Market w/o Disposal Unit	per 1,000 SF	\$7.00	\$10.50	\$10.85	\$11.25	51165	842.40
Medical/Dental Office	per 1,000 SF	\$14.00	\$21.00	\$21.70	\$22.50	S23 30	\$24.20
Business Office	per 1,000 SF	\$7.00	\$10.50	\$10.85	\$11.25	\$11.65	\$12.10
Place of Workship	per 1,000 SF	\$7.00	\$10,50	\$10.85	\$11.25	\$11.65	\$12.10
Public Agency	per 1,000 SF	\$21.00	\$31,50	\$32.55	\$33,75	334.95	536.30
Mortuary	per Slumber Room	\$45.50	\$68.25	\$70.53	573.13	\$75.73	S78 65
Restaurant	per 1,000 SF	\$87.50	\$131.26	\$135.63	\$140.63	\$145.63	\$151.26
Restaurant, Take-out Only	per 1,000 SF	\$70.00	\$105,00	\$108.51	\$112.51	\$116.51	\$121.01
Retail	per 1,000 SF	\$7.00	\$10,50	\$10.85	\$11.25	\$11.65	\$12.10
Warehouse	per 1,000 SF	\$3,50	\$5,25	\$5.43	\$5.63	\$5.83	\$6.05
Used Car Lot	per 10 fixtures	\$7.00	\$10,50	\$10.85	\$11.25	\$11.65	\$12.10
Car Wash	per Stail	\$24.50	\$36.75	\$37,98	\$39,38	\$40.78	\$42,35
Barber/Beauty Shop	per Chair	.\$7.00	\$10.50	\$10.85	\$11.25	\$11,65	\$12.10
Bowling Alley	per Lane	\$14.00	\$21.00	\$21.70	\$22.50	\$23,30	\$0.00
Hotel, Motel	per Sleeping Room	\$10.50	\$15.75	\$16.28	\$16.88	\$17.48	\$18.15
Self Service Laundry	per Machine	\$17,50	\$26.25	\$27.13	\$28.13	\$29.13	\$30.25
Garage	per Bay	\$3.50	\$5.25	\$5,43	\$5.63	\$5.83	\$6.05
Rest Home/Boarding House	per Bed	\$10.50	\$15.75	\$16.28	\$16,88	\$17.48	518.15
School	per 100 Students	\$35.00	\$52.50	\$54.25	\$56,25	\$58,25	\$60.50
Service Station	per Pump	\$3.50	\$5,25	\$5.43	\$5,63	55.83	\$6.05
Thanks							
				20.00	ממערט	847 AG	UT 076

Sewer Connection Fee

The City of Auburn currently charges a sewer connection fee of \$3,500 per dwelling unit (for both single and multi-family dwelling units), which was determined by the rate study conducted in 1995. Commercial and Industrial users are charged a minimum fee of \$3,609 plus \$5.25 per gallon of daily water use above 265 gallons per day, or on the basis of strength.

As discussed in Section 2, a portion of the WWTP Upgrade and Expansion project will provide capacity for future users. Approximately 18 percent, or \$2,135,455 in cost, is attributable to new development. Table 4-1 shows the fee associated with the project for new development.

Table 4-1
Calculated Sewer Fee for Treatment Only

ITEM	Assumption	Local WWTP Project
New Development's Share of Total Project Cost		\$2,135,455
Financing Factor [1]	1.11	\$2,367,509
TOTAL COST		· \$4,502,963
Additional Capacity Added (GPD)		300,000
Cost per Gallon Gallons/Day per EDU Cost per EDU	-	\$15.01 187 \$2,807

[1] Assumes that 100 percent of the costs are financed:

	Costs:

Amount Financed	\$2,135,454,55
Rate	4.75%
Term	30
Bond Load Factor	1.11
Annual Debt Service	\$150.098.78
Total Estimated Interest Charges Paid	\$2,367,508.80

This calculated fee is only for the WWTP project and does not include any buy-in to the existing facilities, particularly for the collection system. Therefore, it is recommended that the fee amount shown in Table 4-1 be added on or in addition to the existing fee charged by the City. As

March 2007

City of Auburn Sewer Rate & Fee Analysis such the existing fee could be considered a "buy-in" amount to the existing facilities. The revised fee per EDU is shown in Table 4-2. The fee amount also includes an administration charge to allow the City to recuperate costs associated with administering the fee program.

Table 4-2
Revised Sewer Connection Fee per EDU

Item	Amount
Existing Fee Buy-In Amount	\$3,500
New Treatment Capacity	\$2,807
Subtotal	\$6,306.85
Administrative Fee at 2.5%	\$157.67
Total Fee per EDU	\$6,464.52
Total Fee per EDU - Rounded	\$6,465.00

It is proposed that the City consider altering how it charges actual land uses when developers pull building permits. The methodology proposed is similar to how Placer County charges its sewer development impact fee.

The fee per EDU, estimated above at \$6,465 would be multiplied times the equivalent dwelling unit factors that the City basis its monthly sewer charge on, as shown in Table 4-3.

Table 4-3
EDU Factors by Type of Development

	•	
Type of Establishment	Equivalent	Dwelling Units
Residential		•
Single Family	1 000	nor I Init
Duplex/Triplex/Condo		per Unit
Multi-Family		per Unit
Mobile Home Park		per Unit
WODIE HOME Park	0.714	per Space/Unit
Non-Residential		•
Auto Dealership	0.200	per 1,000 SF
Bank/Financial Inst.		per 1,000 SF
Bakery		per 1,000 SF
Bar		per 1,000 SF
Dry Cleaner		per 1,000 SF
Hall, Lodge, Auditorium		per 1,000 SF
Health Studio, Gym		per 1,000 SF
Market w/Disposal Unit		per 1,000 SF
Market w/o Disposal Unit		per 1,000 SF
Medical/Dental Office		per 1,000 SF
Office Building		per 1,000 SF
Place of Workship		per 1,000 SF
Public Agency		per 1,000 SF
Mortuary		per Slumber Room
Restaurant		per 1,000 SF
Restaurant, Take-out Only		per 1,000 SF
Retail		per 1,000 SF
Warehouse		per 1,000 SF
Used Car Lot		per 10 fixtures
Car Wash		per Stall
Barber/Beauty Shop		per Chair
Bowling Alley		per Lane
Hotel, Motel		per Sleeping Room
Self Service Laundry		per Machine
Garage		per Bay
Rest Home/Boarding House		per Bed
School		per 100 Students
Service Station		per Pump
Theatre		per 100 Seats
Brewery		
Dieweiy	4.000	per 1,000 SF

Attachment C

Appendix A
Sewer Rate Model, Scenario 1 – 2007-08

AUBR_Sewer_2007 rad_2 v2/Customers #** - *** Of

·		Parcel			Westewater Ch	Westewater Characteristics [1]	Existing	Existing Treatment Casacity		Total Bassing
Catagory	Charge	Units/ Accounts	Units	February February	ADWF/User		Flow		How	
		3			•		(Flatélaria)		7	W. St. 1.
3					:				200 TO 100 TO 10	
mity.	per Unit	2,985	3.073	58	187		C		67.06	
mlly w/1 Sewer Llft Stat. [2]	Der Call	457	4	1.22	222		4170		77.677	
mily w/Z Sewer LIR Stat. [2]	Per Cal	511	511	2	9		0.1010		37.17	
mily w/3 Sewer Lift Stat. [2]	Per Crit	5	5	19	90		0.1300		40.92	
- Single Family		4,004	4,893				0.0.0 0.0.00 0.0.00		96.96	
intertCondo	nec I los			Į	,		7		5.53	
the City fan and I tall	# TO 1	. }	CLY	0.7	#		0.0454		16.59	
the Comment in Comment in		322	1,226	2.	<u>\$</u>		0.1638		57.73	
My (Sewell Lat Granon) [2]		-	e	86.	<u></u>		90000		0.20	
- multi-rathay		323	1,54				0.2096		76.61	
dental										
lecahip	per 1,000 SF	0	32	0.20	37		0.0042			
includ Inst.	per 1,000 SF	7	8	0.30	6		0.0014		2.5	
	per 1,000 SF	u)	9	1.70	318		0.0030		200	
	Per 1,000 SF	7	2	E	134		O COCO		7/0	
Į.	per 1,000 SF		V.	12	418		0.0020		0.74	
e. Auditorium	per 1,000 SF	Œ	· č	5	2 2		2000		SC'O	
udlo. Gvm	Der 1 000 SF		. \$	5	8 8		8700'O		2	
Disnocal (for	2000 1		2 7	3 5	8 5		0.000		0.27	
O Planosal I Int	2000	۷ ۲	5 8	00.0	9		0.0064		234	
endal (Titlera	1000 100	Y :	19	3 5	à l		0.0010		0,38	
	10000	;	2 (0.40	12		0.0067		3,19	
Control of the Contro	יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	100	200	R	37		0.0518		16.51	
Acres	per 1,000 SF	5	134	070	37		0.0050		1.03	
ency	per 1,000 SF	a	\$	9.6	112		0.0167		8.10	
	per Stumber Room	2	2	1.30	243		0.0024		2 0	
*	per 1,000 SF	8	£	2,50	468		0.0381		13.00	
of, Take-out Only	per 1,000 SF	7	7	2,00	374		0.6053		200	
	per 1,000 SF	158	1,103	0.20	37		0.0413		20.24	IP.
eg	per 1,000 SF	7	147	0.10	G.F.		75000		ore,	
3	per 10 factores	2	2	200			7000		277	
	Der Steat	-	4	0.70	2		10000			
auty Shop	perChair	33	787	0.70	37		2000			
ley.	portane		•	0.40	75				500	
· E	per Sleeping Room	10	138	030	8		0.0000		20.0	
as Laundry	per Machine	r	ě	0.50	3		97000		2.00	
	per Bey	22	286	0.10	0		0.0053		107	
 Boarding House 	per Bed	6	124	0.30	96		0,000		72.0	
	per 100 Students	7	Ä	8	187		D 0064		75.0	
alion	per Pump	F	110	0.10	19		0.0021		0.75	
	per 100 Seats	2	22	0.30	88		0.0011			
	per 1,000 SF	,	•	7.80	886		0.000		500	
Yon-Residential		C03	4,401				0.2396		87.46	
4		4,934	18,038				1 2813		467 60	
Inflow							0.4330			
	10						20.00		0.00	
			į				1,4183		517.50	
EDUS (Dased on Flow & Sewer Lift Charge)	wer Lift Charge)		6,852							
										There charac

Table A-1 Summary of Wastewater User Characteristics

nal cools raised to operating and mainteining the sewer lift station. See Tables D-1 and D-2 for details,

Prepared by ECOLOGIC

95

	Scenario 1
Table A-2	Projected Costs and Distribution between Collection and Treatment System

						ل		Local WWTP Project	Project	
	Inflation Adjustment	Budget	3007.08	Allocation		Collection System		Treat	Treatment System	
			2007	Collection reatment	Cost	Fixed Va	Variable	Cost	Fixed	Variable
Expenditures		Years Inflated:	-							
Administrative Expenses Materials and Services Contract Operations Capital Projects/Facility Replacement Capital Outlay	7,00% 5,00% 5,00% 5,00%	\$164,911 \$469,600 \$1,612,571 \$0	\$176,455 \$493,080 · \$1,693,200 \$600,000	20% 80% 20% 80% 20% 80% 20% 80%	% \$35,291 % \$98,616 % \$338,640 % \$120,000	\$35,291 \$98,616 \$338,640 \$120,060		\$141,164 \$384,464 \$1,354,560 \$480,000	\$141,164 \$315,571 \$1,354,560 \$480,000	\$78,893
Expenditure Reimburse (GF-Admin.) Additional Costs due to Local Project Subtotal	5.00%	\$87,208 \$87,208 \$0 \$2,359,290	\$24,250 \$91,568 \$50,000 \$3,130,553		••	\$5,250 \$18,314 \$0 \$616,111		\$21,000 \$73,255 \$50,000 \$2,514,442	\$21,000 \$73,255 \$50,000 \$2,435,549	578.893
Current Debt Service Additional Debt Service General Reserve Capital Reserve for Regional Project Cratit/Offset for Otther Revenues Total	0.00% 0.00%	\$284,348 \$0 \$160,485 \$0 \$2,824,123	\$284,348 \$826,000 \$310,252 \$0 (\$70,171)	20% 80% 20% 80% 20% 80% 0% 100% 20% 80%	% \$56,870 % \$165,200 % \$82,050 % \$0,000 % \$14,034)	\$56,870 \$165,200 \$62,050 \$0 (\$14,034)		\$227,478 \$860,800 \$248,202 \$0 (\$56,137)	\$227,478 \$860,800 \$248,202 \$0 (\$56,137)	

F	
÷	
AUBR_Sower_2007 md_2 v2/UnitCost	

. 24

											ر		Cocal VIVIP Project	roect		
	Allocated		Percent Allocation	location			Cost			1	Total Influence					
Cost Category	Operating	Flow	909	SS	S	Flow	EC.	20	5	1				Unit Cost Per-	Ë	
	Costs						}	3	=	¥ 9	00 E	SS .	Migal of Flow Kib of BOD Kib of SS	Kib of 900	Clb of SS	E
Collection System O&M Costs [1] [2] Fload	\$616 111	¥0#			50 57	VOT 1334	1	;						Tana in	(mule)	(MOM/e)
Variable	S	80%			10.00%	50	3 8	3 5	\$61,811	467.67	0.00	000	\$1,186.57			\$131.74
ימושו במשפכונסט	5516,111					\$654,439	8	\$	\$61,611		}	}	\$1,185.67	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$131.74
Treatment OZM Costs									•••••							
Variable	\$2,435,549	%06			10.00%	\$2,191,994	8	S	\$243,655	467.87	000	000	\$4.687.09			6520.70
Total Treatment	\$78,893				10.00%	\$71,004	8	G :	57,889	467,87	0.00	0.00	\$151.83			\$16.87
	754,610,44					\$2,282,998	8	\$	\$251,444				\$4,838,92	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$537,66
Debt Service	\$1,110,348	¥06			10.00%	\$889,313	S	S.	\$111,035	467,57	0.00	90.0	52 136 81			,
Capital Reserve for Regional Project	0\$	%B6			10.00%	2	8	8	S	467 67		6	60 00			75.70.45
						•			;		3	2	8,75			20.02
SUBTOTAL	\$4,240,901												\$8,161.40			\$906,82
Other Cost impacts	-															
General Reserve	\$310,252	30%			10.00%	\$279,227	8	S	\$31,025	467.67	00'0	9,00	\$597.05			566.34
rounding	8				700.01	S	S	2	8	467.67	0.00	000	\$0.00			000
Credition and Char Revenues	(570,171)				10.00 %	(\$63,154)	S	S	(\$7,017)	467,67	0.00	000	(\$135.04)			(\$15.00)
Annual Payment to Uncoin for Insalment	S				40.05 24.	æ	25	8	8	467.87	00'0	0.0	\$0.00			\$0.00
	\$240,081		v			\$216,073	2	2	\$24,008				\$462.02	\$9.00	\$0.00	\$61,34
TOTAL	\$4,480,982					\$4,032,884	25	3	5448.098				48 E31 43	1000	9	6020
							,	:					1	20.4	20.00	92.96.50

International part																	
The control of the							Oneration						1				
The continuent The color State The color The					Colle	floe	- Cherento	S)CD			Capital Costs			L			
State Color Colo	Unit Cost/Cuelomer		800	SS	FISM	£	Flow		5	The state of the s	Dabt Service				Hher Revenue impa	cts	
State	Hall Cost		L A	KIBAY	STATE OF	(S/Mga.)	(S/Mgail	OKEN COL	(S/Mgal)	(S)Mgat)	7		Swotota	Flow	ī (eļ:)		Total
Strict S					\$1,185.63	\$131.74	\$4,838.74	and the same	\$437.64	\$2,136.73			\$9.00	4_			
18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	Residential															\$51.33	\$9,641
	Circle Tentily	208.77	•	•	\$248,709	\$27,634		į.	-			36.2			•		
Part	Single Parish with Sower Lines		•	٠	544,071	\$4,897		ii.	-	3448,220	•	2.0g/ay.	*			C40 7EB	3
Third bear Thi	Single Ferning W/Z Sewer LM Stat.		•	•	\$59,181	\$8,576			434	\$79,424	7%	20,025	_			200	A Can't
State Stat	Congression of the Congression o		•	•	\$6,129	\$903				\$100,000		\$11,851		_		3 6	128,143
State Stat	September - Single Femily	77.00	•	,	\$360,088	\$40,010				214,840		51,623				,	\$478,250
March Control 1623 1824 1829 1821 1829									*			\$77,108	*	_	, (.	7	
March Marc	Might Family /Classes	16.58	•	•	\$19,654	52,184	\$80,211		21012								Zelonciye
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	Market Brooks (Then led per Unit)	2	•	•	\$70,817	\$7,868	\$289,016		216.00			20.00	\$150,316			584	6460
Marchellery 76.5 1.0 1	מינים בועל לפשות ורון משפט	0.20	•	•	803	125	7785					\$14,781	\$541,622			Š	7
State Stat	Sectors - must-rampy	76.61	•	•	\$30,711	\$10,078	\$170,204		\$4,73			22.50	51,83			\$ 20	\$1,534
Control Cont	Non-Realdental					-							Da /'reat			124,03	\$773,04
1, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12,	Auto Dealerstrip	6,43	•		****	ļ											
12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	Sank/Financial Inst.	2	•		3 5	7	27,007		2232	\$822		5102	53.841	_			
### 0.27	Bakery	0,72	٠		5	200	000	÷,	1878	53,488		900	\$14,808			2	2,
Column C		0.74		•	25				200	51,532		5170	\$6,502	<u> </u>			\$15,643
10 10 11 12 12 12 13 13 13 13	Jry Cleaner	8	'	•	\$626	2.5	20,03	i r	2	21,587		27.73	\$6,734			ž	
Section Column	lail, Lodge, Auditorium	5	,	•	\$1.238	200	25.041	Ġ		1.1		85.	\$4,807			200	1.2
Compact Color Co	ealth Studio, Gym	0.27	•	•	\$322	838	36.73			\$2230		224	59,485			3	
County Class Sychology	lariest wiDisposal Unit	234	•	,	\$2,780	2300	511 344			1985		5	\$2,465			ž	22.5
2.00 Clock	farter w/o Disposal Unit	0.36	٠	•	\$428	247	51.741			opn'es		1999	\$21,289	_		\$120	\$22.46
18.94	edicul/Denial Office	3,19	•	•	53,778	\$420	\$15.418		2 7 23			200	\$3,262			216	\$3,44
900079	usinass Office	16.1	•	•	\$22. 4 80	22,496	\$81,662		\$10.186	1275		20/2	\$22,853	\$1,472	12	\$164	£30,623
Column C	Jace of Workship	=	•	•	52,175	5242	55,676		9	000 53			244,777	\$8,762		2105	\$181,50
and (1,000	DONG Agency	운 [•	•	57,237	286	\$29,637		22,03	\$13,043	ő	2	100			ā	\$17,576
13.04 13.04 13.05 13.0		2 5		•	\$1,052	\$117	£4,283		7477	51,808		3	49 046	24.5		2	25E,486
15.06 1.00	restruction Tolor-out Onto	2 6		•	\$16.476	\$1,831	\$87,240		57,401	\$29,002	Y	27.28	\$126,008	5		¥ ;	205
1,00		15.08		, ,	\$2,630 \$17 BES		770,27		£,04	54,138		2400	\$17,564	2883		9	248.55
FLOR	Parchouse	5	•	,	20,10	7	3/40/1		/50°83	\$32,179		\$3,576	\$136,562	56.958		Ę	C464 787
### 1	red Car Lot	1.0	•	, ,	2143	700			3	52,140		8 3	\$3,083	3		S	745.62
State	er Wasth	o c		•	100	900			2/2	202		132	\$1,238	2		ia.	24 30
Adey 0.00 2.85	arbertBeauty Shop	2,61		-	52.978	2331	\$12.164		34			3	\$1,733	# 13	E .	510	\$1,83
Control Cont	owling Alley	000	•	,	95	S	S) Or or		9993	\$22,777	51,160		215	\$24.066
1.85	biel, Motel	2.85	•	•	\$3,375	\$375	\$13.772		2			R	8	\$		8	8
1.95	of Service Laundry	2.07	•	,	£3,389	23	\$13.871		1	1		2 2	525,869	\$1,316		2148	SZI ZZ
State 2.54 -	ecase	58.	•	•	\$2,314	\$267	59.446		50	25.72			27.6, 21.6	\$1,324		2147	\$27,467
Station 0.24 - 82,772 83.00 \$11,316 14 15 15 24 15 17 2 15 25 25 \$11,204 \$1,105 \$1 15 25 25 \$11,204 \$1,105 \$1,105 \$1 15 25 25 \$11,204 \$1,105 \$	rat Home/Boarding House	3	•	•	53,010	233	\$12,286	ï	386	\$6.425		3 5	10/./15		i.	2,5	\$10,703
0.75	7000	73		,	\$2,772	2308	\$11,315		21.267	24,907		196	424.304	2 6		\$130	274,324
Color Colo	WALE CLEANER	2 3	•	-	2890	3	53,633	3	200	51,004		5178	Se an	1		2 5	
47.68 0.00 0.00 \$564,489 \$54,611 \$2,222,388 \$10 0.00 \$15,000 \$11,000 \$11,000 \$11,000 \$11,000 \$11,000 \$11,000 \$11,000 \$11,000 \$11,000 \$11,000 \$11,000 \$10,000 \$	200	¥ 8	•	•	2462	264	21,960		\$219	5470		101	\$3,690	Stee		2 5	,
447,68 0.00 0.00 \$664,489 \$61,611 \$2,262,988 (1) 10 \$281,444 \$399,313 (10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	plotai	3 2	•	•	200	2	2	•	ä	8		8	2	8	f.	S	3
447,68 0.00 0.00 \$554,489 \$51,511 \$2,262,988 (C. C. C	,	Į.		1	90/care	271,022	5423,234 mm	7. 7.	\$47,024	5124,00G		\$20,766	\$783,111	\$40,409		# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	5838,010
San Annual Company of the Company of		467,68	8	0,00	\$554,489	_	12, 267, 998		224 444								
						_					r		14,248,907	3180,466			\$4,460,942

Table A-5 Detailed Sewer Rate Calculation

Scenario 1	2007-08
	Local WWTP Project

	l l		Annual	Mont	hly Cost
	Number of	Allocated	Cost	Billing Unit	Basis of
Customer Category	Billing Units	Cost	Billing Unit	Mo. Charge	Charge
P9					
Residential					
Single Family	3,073	\$2,009,847	\$653.97	\$54.50	per Unit
Single Family w/1 Sewer Lift Stat.	448	\$356,143	\$794.96	\$66.25	per Unit
Single Family w/2 Sewer Lift Stat.	511	\$478,250	\$935,91	\$77.99	per Unit
Single Family w/3 Sewer Lift Stat.	61	\$65,688	\$1,076,85	-	per Unit
Subtotal - Single Family	4,093	\$2,909,928	*	,	
Duplex/Triplex/Condo	315	\$158,826	\$ 504.21	en eks	per Unit
Multi-Family (Flat fee per Unit)	1,226	\$572,284	\$466.93		10
Multi-Family (Sewer Lift Station)	1,220	\$1,934	\$645.20		per Unit
Subtotal - Multi-Family	1,544		3045.ZU	353.77	per Unit
- Wall Lanny	1,344	\$733,044			
lon-Residential]		l
Auto Dealership	32	\$4,132	\$130.79	\$10.90	per 1,000 SF
Bank/Financial Inst.	80	\$15,643	\$196.19		per 1,000 SF
Bakery	6	\$6,871	\$1,111.74		per 1,000 SF
Bar	16	\$7,115	\$457.7B		per 1,000 SF
Dry Cleaner	5	\$5,079	\$1,111.74		per 1,000 SF
Hall, Lodge, Auditorium	51	\$10,001	\$196,19		per 1,000 SF
Health Studio, Gym	13	\$2,605	\$196.19		per 1,000 SF
Market w/Disposal Unit	34	\$22,462	\$653.97		per 1,000 SF
Market w/o Disposal Unit	26	\$3,446	\$130.79	#10.00	PBI 1,000 SF
Medical/Dental Office	117	\$30,529	\$261.59	\$10.50	par 1,000 SF
Business Office	1,388	\$181,502			per 1,000 SF
Place of Workship	134	. \$17,576	\$130.79		per 1,000 SF
Public Agency	149		\$130.79		per 1,000 SF
Mortuary	10	\$58,486	\$392,38	\$32.70	per 1,000 SF
Restaurant		\$8,502	\$850.16	\$70.85	per Slumber Roon
Restaurant, Take-out Only	81	\$133,143	\$1,634.92	\$136.24	per 1,000 SF
Retail	14	\$18,558	\$1,307.93	\$108.99	per 1,000 SF
Varehouse	1,103	\$144,292	\$130.79	\$10.90	per 1,000 SF
Jsed Car Lot	147	\$9,597	\$65.40	\$5.45	per 1,000 SF
	10	\$1,308	\$130.79	\$10.90	per 10 fixtures
Car Wash	4	\$1,831 -	\$457.78	\$38.15	per Stall
Barber/Beauty Shop	184	\$24,066	\$130.79	\$10.90	per Chair
lowling Alley	- 1	\$0	\$0.00	\$0.00	per Lane
fotel, Motel	139	\$27,270	\$196,19		per Sleeping Room
Self Service Laundry	84	\$27,467	\$326.98	\$27.25	per Machine
Sarage	286	\$18,703	\$65,40		per Bay
Rest Home/Boarding House	124	\$24,328	\$196,19		per Bed
School	34	\$22,405	\$653.97		per 100 Students
Service Station	110	\$7,194	\$65,40		per Pump
heatre ·	20	\$3.899	\$196,19		per Fullip per 100 Seats
Brewery	-	\$0	\$0.00		per 1,000 SE
TOTAL		\$4,480,982			F 14000 OI

"rate cate

Attachment C

Appendix B.

Sewer Customers & EDU Factors

Table B-1 Summary of Existing Rates

Customer	F1			2006-07	
Odalones	Factor	Rate	Basis	Assessment	Billing Units
Residential					
Single Family	1.00	\$35.00	per Unit	64 326 060 00	0.545
Multi-Family (Flat fee per Unit)	0.69		per Unit	\$1,236,060.00	2,943
Multi-Family (Sewer Lift Station)	0.90		per Unit	\$443,412.00	1,540
CDBG \$13,00/mo Reduction	0.63		per Unit	\$1,135.00	3
CDBG 1SFD w/Disc 1 SFD w/oDlsc	1.63		per Unit	\$34,140.00	129
CDBG \$13.00/mo w/lift station	0.84		per Unit	\$684.00	1
CDBG w/Two Lift Stations	1.08		per Unit	\$2,128.32	6
CDBG w/3 Lift Station	1,28		per Unit	\$890.40	2
CDBG 1 Apt w/Disc	0.31		per Unit	\$0.00	0
SFD w/1 Lift Station	1.22		per Unit	\$132.00	1
SFD w/2 Lift Station	1.43		per Unit	\$225,738.24	442
SFD w/3 Lift Station	1.65			\$306,010.80	509
	1.03	457.00	per Unit .	\$42,199.80	61
Non-Residential					
Auto Dealership	0.20	\$7.00	per 1,000 SF	\$2,653.98	20
Bank/Financial Inst.	0.30		per 1,000 SF	\$10,046,40	32 80
3akery	1.70		per 1,000 SF	\$4,412.52	
Bar -	0.70		per 1,000 SF		6
Ory Cleaner	1.70		per 1,000 SF	\$4,569.39 \$3,261.72	16
fall, Lodge, Auditorium	0.30		per 1,000 SF	\$6,423.06	5
lealth Studio, Gym	0.30		per 1,000 SF	\$1,672,94	51
/larket w/Disposal Unit	1.00		per 1,000 SF	\$14,426.16	13
farket w/o Disposal Unit	0.20		per 1,000 SF	*	34
/ledical/Dental Office	0.40		per 1,000 SF	\$2,213,40 \$19,606.78	26
Office Building	0.20		per 1,000 SF	\$116,566.63	117
lace of Workship	0.20		per 1,000 SF		1,388
ublic Agency	0.60		per 1,000 SF	\$11,287.92 \$37,561.94	134
fortuary	1.30		per Slumber Room	\$5,460.00	149
lestaurant ' '	2.50		per 1,000 SF	\$85,508.85	10
estaurant, Take-out Only	2.00		per 1,000 SF		81
etail	0.20		per 1,000 SF	\$11,918.76	14
Varehouse Varehouse	0.10		per 1,000 SF	\$92,669.64	1,103
sed Car Lot	0.20		per 10 fixtures	\$6,163.84	147
ar Wash	0.70		per Stall	\$840.00	10
arber/Beauty Shop	0.20		per Chair	\$1,176.00	4
owling Alley	0.40		per Lane	\$15,456,00	184
otel, Motel	0.30		per Sleeping Room	\$0.00	0
elf Service Laundry	0.50		per Machine	\$17,514.00	139
arage	0.10		•	\$17,640.00	84
est Home/Boarding House	0.30		per Bay	\$12,012.00	286
chool	1.00	\$10.50		\$15,624.00	124
ervice Station	0.10		per 100 Students	\$14,389.20	34
neatre			per Pump	\$4,620.00	110
ewery	0.30		per 100 Seats	\$2,504.04	20
arrary .	4.80	\$768.UÜ	per 1,000 SF	\$0 .00	. 0
otal					
de la companya de la				\$2,830,729.73	

"existing_rates"

Attachment C

Appendix C

Projected Costs and Allocation Detail

 $\overline{\Sigma}^{\dagger}$

\$57,881 \$3,635,902 \$1,960,090 \$694,575 \$30,388 \$318,777 \$216,165 \$106,002 \$284,348 \$570,802 \$826,000 \$202,023 \$543,621 \$28,941 \$100,954 \$3,458,916 \$826,000 \$1,866,753 \$661,500 \$55,125 \$284,348 \$331,323 Projected 2009-10 \$27,563 \$96,147 \$826,000 \$335,190 \$1,777,860 \$630,000 \$3,290,609 \$52,500 \$284,348 \$176,455 \$91,568 \$284,348 \$1,693,200 \$50,000 \$3,130,553 \$493,080 \$600,000 \$26,250 \$826,000 \$310,252 2007-08 \$164,911 \$469,600 \$87,208 \$2,359,290 \$284,348 \$25,000 \$180,485 2006-07 \$1,612,571 Capital Projects/Facility Replacement Additional Costs due to Local Project Expenditure Reimburse (GF-Admin.) Capital Reserve for Regional Project Administrative Expenses Additional Debt Service Materials and Services Current Debt Service Contract Operations General Reserve Capital Outlay Subtotal

Budgeted

Summary of Projected Expenses

Fable C-1

\$111,302 \$60,775

\$284,348 \$826,000

\$3,822,021

(\$70,171)

(\$70,171)

(\$70,171)

(\$70,171)

Credit/Offset for Other Revenues (Fee Revenue)

Percent Increase **Adjusted Total**

\$4,830,416 \$4,994,856 \$5,179,850

\$4,665,976

\$4,480,982 58.7%

\$2,824,123

\$5,250,022

\$5,065,027

\$4,900,587

\$4,736,148 (\$70,171)

\$4,551,153

\$2,824,123

\$317,653

\$31,907

\$599,342

\$2,058,095 \$729,304

sared by ECO:LOGIC	bared by ECO:LOGIC	

Table C-2 Projected Costs and Distribution between Coll	ween Collection a	ection and Treatment System	stem			لشا	Scenario 1		2007-08	-08	
							ل		Local WWTP Project	P Project	
	Inflation Adjustment	Budget 2006-07	2007-08	Collection Treatment		S	ē		Trea	Treatment System	
				inear inear	NCALL.	COST	rixed Va	Variable	Cost	Fixed	Variable
Expenditures	*	Years Inflated:	-								
Administrative Expenses	7.00%	\$164,911	\$176.455	300 6	9	6					
Materials and Services Contract Onerations	5.00%	\$469,600	\$493,080		80%	\$33,231 \$98,616	\$35,291 \$98.616		\$141,164	\$141,164	į
Capital Projects/Facility Replacement	5.00%	\$1,612,571	\$1,693,200	20%	80%	\$338,640	\$338,640		\$1,354,560	\$315,571	\$78,893
Capital Outlay	5.00%	\$25,000	\$28,250		% 08 % 08 % 08	\$120,000	\$120,000		\$480,000	\$480,000	
Expenditure Kelmburse (GF-Admin.) Additional Costs due to 1 and Desiral	5.00%	\$87,208	\$91,568		80%	\$18,314	\$3,250		\$21,000	\$21,000	
Subtotal	5.00%	\$0 \$1 350 300	\$50,000		%00!	9	20		\$50,000	\$50,000	
		057'505'74	53,730,553			\$616,111	\$616,111		\$2,514,442	\$2,435,549	\$78,893
Current Debt Service	0.00%	\$284,348	\$284,348			\$56 A70	655 870				•
Additional Debt Service	%00'0	0\$	\$826,000		80%	\$185 200	£185.200		4727,478	\$227,478	
General Kaserve		\$180,485	\$310,252			\$62,050	562.050		Sadd, and	\$660,800	
Capital Reserve for Regional Project		05	S.	0%	%00	8	20		707'0476	202,0824	
Oreun Other Total		0.5	(\$70,171)			(\$14,034)	(\$14,034)	,	(\$56.137)	(\$56 437)	
CERT		\$2,824,123	\$4,480,982		••	\$886,196	\$886,196	\$	\$3,594,785	\$3,515,893	\$78,893

	ment System
	n Collection and Treat
	Distribution between
able C-3	rojected Costs-and

THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.		C The state of the				j			an-oney	P	
riojected costs-and Distribution between collection and Treatment System	ween collection at	id Treatment Sy	Stem						Local WWTP Project	P Project	
	Inflation	Budget		Allocation	n.	Colle	Collection System	E	Tres	Treatment System	
	Adjustment	2006-07	2008-09	Collection Treatment	eatment	Cost	Fixed	Variable	Cost	Fixed	Variable
		Years Inflated:	2		•						
Expenditures				•							
Administrative Expenses	7.00%	\$164,911	\$188,807	20%	%09	\$37.761	\$37.781		£451 045	£454 045	
Materials and Services	5.00%	\$469,600	\$517.734	20%	80%	5103 547	5103 547		Cax A ***	F224 252	
Contract Operations	5.00%	\$1,812,571	\$1,777,860	20%	% 50 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	625 673	£355 579		4414,101	Dec. 1 cet	404,53
Capital Projects/Facility Replacement	5.00%	05	\$630,000	20%	80%	£126,000	210,000		#1,422,200	91,422,200	
Capital Outlay	5,00%	\$25,000	\$27.563	20%	202	\$5,543	#1£0,000 \$5,542		DOD'SOCS	2504,000	
Expenditure Reimburse (GF-Admin.)	5.00%	\$87.208	596.147	20%	80.K	£19 929	410,010		676.030	44,030	
Additional Costs due to Local Project	5 00%	5	\$52,500	2 20	100%	1	27.51			118,074	
	2000		2001,200	2	2	200	2		00c,2c¢	252,500	
Subfora		\$2,359,290	\$3,290,609			\$647,622	\$647,622		\$2,642,988	\$2,550,150	\$82,837
Current Debt Service	0.00%	\$284,348	\$284,348	20%	80%	\$56,870	\$56,870		\$227.478	\$227.478	
Additional Debt Service	0.00%	05	\$826,000	20%	80%	\$165,200	\$165,200		\$660,800	\$660,800	
General Reserve		\$180,485	\$335,190	20%	80%	\$67,038	\$67,038		\$268,152	\$268,152	
Capital Reserve for Regional Project		0 5	S	%	100%	0\$	8		20		
Credit/Offset for Other Revenues		20	(\$70,171)	20%	80%	(\$14,034)	(\$14,034)		(\$56,137)	(\$56,137)	
Total		\$2,824,123	\$4,665,976			\$922,695	\$922,695	9	53.743.281	\$3.660.444	\$82.837

Projected Costs and Distribution between Collection and Treatment System	reen Collection a	nd Treatment Sy	stem			S	Scenario 1	200 Local WM	2009-10 Local WWTP Project	
	Inflation Adjustment	Budget 2006-07	2009-10	Allocation Collection Treatment		Collecti	Sten		Treatment System	E
Expenditures		Years Inflated:	3		1000		Fixed Variable	Cost	Fixed	Variable
Administrative Expenses Materials and Services Conitract Operations Capital Projects/Fadiity Replacement Capital Outlay Expenditure Reimburse (GF-Admin.) Additional Costs due to Local Project Subtotal	7.00% 5.00% 5.00% 5.00% 6.00% 5.00%	\$184,911 \$489,600 \$1,612,571 \$0 \$25,000 \$87,208 \$2,359,290	\$202,023 \$43,621 \$1,866,753 \$561,500 \$28,941 \$100,954 \$55,125 \$3,458,916	20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20%	80% \$40 80% \$108 80% \$373 80% \$133 80% \$13 80% \$13 80% \$28 80% \$28	\$40,405 \$108,724 \$ \$373,351 \$ \$132,300 \$ \$5,788 \$20,191 :	\$40,405 \$108,724 \$373,351 \$132,300 \$5,788 \$20,191 \$0	\$161,618 \$434,897 \$1,493,402 \$529,200 \$23,153 \$80,763 \$55,126	\$161,618 \$347,917 \$1,483,402 \$529,200 \$23,153 \$80,763 \$55,126	
Current Debt Service Additional Debt Service General Reserve Capital Reserve for Regional Project Credit/Offiset for Other Revenues Total	%00°0 %00°0	\$284,348 \$0 \$180,485 \$0 \$0 \$2,824,123	\$284,348 \$826,000 \$331,323 \$0 (\$70,171)	20% 20% 20% 20% 11	80% \$566 80% \$186 80% \$66 100% \$66 \$955			\$2,1(8,158 \$227,478 \$660,800 \$265,059 \$0 \$0 \$56,137; \$0	\$227,478 \$227,478 \$660,800 \$265,059 \$0 (\$56,137)	\$86,979

AUBR_Sewer_2007 mdi_2 v2/CostDist 4/16/"	

Table C-5 Projected Costs and Distribution between Collection and Treatment System	reen Collection as	nd Treatment Sys	item			123	Scenario 1	201 Local WW	2010-11 cosi WWTP Project	
	Inflation	Budget		Allocation	no	Coffe	Collection System	Tre	Treatment System	5
	Adjustment	2006-07	2010-11	Collection Treatment	eatment	Cost	Fixed Variable	Cost	Fixed	Variable
		Years Inflated:	4							
Expenditures		J								
Administrative Expenses	7.00%	\$164,911	\$216,165	20%	%08	\$43 233	543 933	4		
Materials and Services	5.00%	\$469,600	\$570.802	7000	7508	6114 450	201,510	756716	21/2,832	
Contract Operations	5.00%	\$1,612,571	\$1,960,090	20%	8/00 8/06	4114, 100 6207 048	4114,15U	\$458,641	\$365,313	\$91,328
Capital Projects/Facility Replacement	5.00%	G	SEG4 575	202	200	3332,010	\$10,28¢	\$1,568,072	\$1,568,072	
Canital Outlay	7800 2	200 303	20,000	* O * O	200	20,000	\$135,815	\$555,660	\$555,660	
Company Country	200	200,020	920,200	20%	80%	\$6,078	\$6,078	\$24,310	\$24,310	
Additional Reimburse (GF-Admin.)	4.00%	\$87,208	\$106,002	20%	80%	\$21,200	\$21,200	\$84,801	\$84,801	
Additional Costs due to Local Project	5.00%	<u>0</u>	\$57,881	%	100%	<u>0</u>	25	\$57.881	\$57,881	
i Elozono		\$2,359,290	\$3,635,902			\$715,604	\$715,604	\$2,920,298	\$2,828,970	\$91,328
Current Debt Service	%00'0	\$284,348	\$284,348	20%	80%	\$56,870	\$56,870	\$227.478	\$227.478	
Additional Debt Service	%00.0	S.	\$826,000	. 50%	80%	\$165,200	\$165,200	\$660,800	\$860,800	
General Reserve		\$180,485	\$318,777	20%	80%	\$63,755	\$63,755	\$255,021	\$255,021	
Capital Reserve for Regional Project		O S	₽	%0	100%	\$0	6	0\$	8	
Credit Offiset for Other Revenues			(\$70,171)	% %	80%	(\$14,034)	(\$14,034)	(\$56,137)	(\$56,137)	
i ofal		\$2,824,123	54,994,856			\$987,395	\$987,395	\$0 \$4,007,461	\$3,916,133	\$91,328

"prof_costs"

\$95,895

(\$56,137) \$4,060,141

ŝ

\$227,478 \$680,800 \$254,122

\$227,478 \$660,800 \$254,122 \$0 (\$56,137) \$4,156,835

\$56,870 \$56,870 \$165,200 \$165,200 \$63,631 \$63,531 \$0 \$0 (\$14,034) (\$14,034) \$1,023,815 \$1,023,815

80% 80% 80% 100% 80%

20% 20% 20% 20% 20%

\$284,348 \$826,000 \$317,653 \$0 (\$70,171) \$5,179,850

\$284,348 \$180,485

0.00%

\$0 \$0 \$2,824,123

Capital Reserve for Regional Project Credit/Offset for Other Revenues

Current Debt Service Additional Debt Service General Reserve

Projected Costs and Distribution between C	reen Collection a	Sollection and Treatment System	item		_	Scenario 1	2011-12	-12	
	Inflation						Local WWTP Project	P Project	
	Adjustment	2006-07	2011-12	Collection Treatment	Coll	3	Trea	Treatment System	
Expenditures		Years Inflated:	5		1800	rixed Variable	Cost	Fixed	Variable
Administrative Expenses Materials and Services Contract Operations Capital Projects/Facility Replacement Capital Outlay Expenditure Reimburse (GF-Admin.) Additional Costs due to Local Project Subtotal	7.00% 5.00% 5.00% 5.00% 5.00% 5.00%	\$164,911 \$469,600 \$1,612,571 \$0 \$25,000 \$87,208 \$2,359,290	\$231,296 \$599,342 \$2,058,095 \$729,304 \$31,807 \$111,302 \$60,775 \$3,822,021	20% 80% 20% 80% 20% 80% 20% 80% 20% 80% 20% 80% 0% 100%	\$46,259 \$119,668 \$411,619 \$145,861 \$6,381 \$22,260 \$752,240	\$46,259 \$119,868 \$411,619 . \$146,881 \$5,381 \$22,260 \$757,746	\$185,037 \$479,473 \$1,646,476 \$583,443 \$25,526 \$89,042	\$185,037 \$383,579 \$1,546,476 \$583,443 \$25,526 \$89,042	\$95,685
Current Debt Service							7//san'ce	\$2,973,877	\$95,895

			_
Attac	٠hm	ant	•
ALLIAL	-11111	CIL	•

Appendix D

Sewer Lift Station Cost Allocation

Table D-1
Estimated Cost of O&M for Sewer Lift Stations

Item	Cost
Misc. Repairs	\$20,000
Major Equipment Replacement	\$60,000
Odor Control Chemicals	\$5,000
Phone systems: (35/ mo x 12 PS*12 months)	\$4,000
Labor (25 hrs per week x \$65/ hr x 52 weeks)	\$84,500
Power (5,000 / per month x 12 mos)	\$60,000
Total	\$233,500

Table D-2 Allocated Cost to Sewer Lift Stations

448 511 61 3	448 1,022 183 3
61	183
3	3
	1,656
	\$233,500
:	\$19,458.33
	\$11.75

Table D-3
Calculated Lift Station Surcharge

Item	in Dollars	In EDU's
Charge Per EDU (from table 3-5)	\$54.50	
Charge per Lift Station		
1 Lift Surcharge	\$11.75	0.22
2 Lift Surcharge	\$23.50	0.43
3 Lift Surcharge	\$35.25	0,65

EXHIBIT "A" SCHEDULE OF SEWER RATE AND CHARGES APRIL 2007

I. USER FEES

Each user connected to the sewer system shall pay up to \$54.50 per sewer unit. The sewer units are listed on Attachment A. For purposes of collecting sewer charges, the following residential categories shall be defined as follows:

- Single Family Unit: A single family unit is defined generally as a single dwelling unit per parcel. However, if the parcel has a detached second unit ("granny unit"), the second unit would be charged at the duplex rate.
- Duplex, Triplex, Condo, or Townhome: These residential units would include 2 attached units or 3 attached or detached units per parcel.
- Multi-Family Unit or Apartment: This residential category would include 4 or more attached units per parcel.
- Mobile Home: This category would refer to a mobile home unit in a mobile home park.

II. CONNECTION AND PLANT EXPANSION FEES

For each connection to the city-owned public sewer a sewer connection and plant fee shall be paid at the time of building permit issuance. The connection fee is shall be determined based on the then current fee per sewer unit. The sewer units are listed on Attachment B. The sewer connection and plant expansion fee shall be as follows:

- July 1, 2007: \$3,500
- July 1, 2008: \$4,500
- July 1, 2009: \$5,500
- July 1, 2010: \$6,465

III. RATE AND FEE ADJUSTMENTS

The schedule of charges may be altered or adjusted by action of the Auburn City Council. Automatic yearly adjustments shall occur on July 1 as provided by the City Ordinance to reflect variation in the construction cost index (Engineering News Record – 20- City Cost Index)

Attachment A
Sewer Rates – Sewer Units and Basis of Charge

Customer	Sewer	Basis of
Category	Unit	Charge
Residential		
Single Family	1.00	per Unit
Single Family w/1 Sewer Lift Stat.	1.22	per Unit
Single Family w/2 Sewer Lift Stat.	1.43	per Unit
Single Family w/3 Sewer Lift Stat.	1,65	per Unit
Duplex/Triplex/Condo/Townhome	0.77	per Unit
Multi-Family	0.71	per Unit
Multi-Family w/1 Sewer Lift Station	0.99	per Unit
Mobile Home	0.71	per Space/Unit
Non-Residential		,
Auto Dealership	0.20	per 1,000 SF
Bank/Financial Inst.	0.30	per 1,000 SF
Bakery	1.70	per 1,000 SF
Bar	0.70	per 1,000 SF
Dry Cleaner	1.70	per 1,000 SF
Hall, Lodge, Auditorium	0.30	per 1,000 SF
Health Studio, Gym	0.30	per 1,000 SF
Market w/Disposal Unit	1.00	per 1,000 SF
Market w/o Disposal Unit	0.20	per 1,000 SF
Medical/Dental Office	0.40	per 1,000 SF
Business Office	0.20	per 1,000 SF
Place of Workship	0.20	per 1,000 SF
Public Agency	0.60	per 1,000 SF
Mortuary	1.30	per Slumber Room
Restaurant	2.50	per 1,000 SF
Restaurant, Take-out Only	2.00	per 1,000 SF
Retail	0.20	per 1,000 SF
Warehouse	0.10	per 1,000 SF
Used Car Lot	0.20	per 10 fixtures
Car Wash	0.70	per Stall
Barber/Beauty Shop	0.20	per Chair
Bowling Alley	0.40	per Lane
Hotel, Motel	0.30	per Sleeping Room
Self Service Laundry	0.50	per Machine
Garage	0.10	per Bay
Rest Home/Boarding House	0.30	per Bed
School	1.00	per 100 Students
Service Station	0.10	per Pump
Theatre	0.30	per 100 Seats
Brewery	4.80	per 1,000 SF

Attachment B
Sewer Connection Fee – Sewer Units and Basis of Charge

Customer Category	Sewer Unit	Basis of Charge
Residential		
Single Family	1.000	per Unit
Duplex/Triplex/Condo	0.771	per Unit
Multi-Family	0.714	per Unit
Mobile Home Park	0.714	per Space/Unit
I WOOD TO TO THE	0.7 14	per opaceronit
Non-Residential		4
Auto Dealership	0.200	per 1,000 SF
Bank/Financial Inst.	0.300	per 1,000 SF
Bakery	1.700	per 1,000 SF
Bar	0.700	per 1,000 SF
Dry Cleaner	1.700	per 1,000 SF
Hall, Lodge, Auditorium	0.300	per 1,000 SF
Health Studio, Gym	0.300	per 1,000 SF
Market w/Disposal Unit	1.000	per 1,000 SF
Market w/o Disposal Unit	0.200	per 1,000 SF
Medical/Dental Office	0.400	per 1,000 SF
Office Building	0.200	per 1,000 SF
Place of Workship	0.200	per 1,000 SF
Public Agency	0.600	per 1,000 SF
		per Slumber
Mortuary	1.300	Room
Restaurant	2.500	per 1,000 SF
Restaurant, Take-out Only	2.000	per 1,000 SF
Retail	0,200	per 1,000 SF
Warehouse	0.100	per 1,000 SF
Used Car Lot	0.200	per 10 fixtures
Car Wash	0.700	per Stall
Barber/Beauty Shop	0.200	per Chair
Bowling Alley	0.400	per Lane
11-1-1 50-4-1		per Sleeping
Hotel, Motel	0.300	Room
Self Service Laundry	0.500	per Machine
Garage	0.100	per Bay
Rest Home/Boarding House	0.300	per Bed
School	1.000	per 100 Students
Service Station	0.100	per Pump
Theatre	0.300	per 100 Seats
Brewery	4.800	per 1,000 SF
		. [



CITY OF AUBURN

Public Works Department
1225 LINCOLN WAY • AUBURN, CA 95603 • PHONE (530) 823-4211 • FAX (530) 885-5508

Exhibit A Schedule of Sewer Service Charges

A. The monthly Sewer Service Charge shall be as follows:

For Fiscal Year 2014-15: \$67.01 per Sewer Unit For Fiscal Year 2015-16: \$69.81 per Sewer Unit \$72.56 per Sewer Unit \$72.56 per Sewer Unit \$75.49 per Sewer Unit \$78.62 per Sewer Unit

- B. The number of Sewer Units allocable to a premises shall be calculated as set forth in Exhibit "A-1" which is incorporated herein by reference.
- C. The rate charged for premises granted a discount pursuant to the City's CDBG program shall be reduced by the amount of that discount (\$13.00). This discount is subsidized by Community Development Block Grant funds available to the City and does not result in any other customer paying an increased fee.
 - D. The City Council may increase the rates set forth above by an amount not to exceed the change in the San Francisco Bay Area Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers, as published by the US Department of Labor (but not more than 5% per year), without giving additional notice pursuant to Article XIIID, Section 6(B)(2) of the California Constitution. Such increase can be calculated by multiplying the rate that is set forth above for a fiscal year by the percentage change in the CPI between the date of the adoption of this schedule and the date on which the increase is adopted for that fiscal year.

Exhibit A-1
Sewer Unit Allocation—Sewer Service Charge

Reference is made to Exhibit C, which is incorporated herein by reference, for the interpretation of this chart.

Customer	Sewer	Basis of
Category	Unit	Charge
Residential		
Single Family	1.00	per Unit
Single Family w/1 Sewer Lift Stat.	1.22	per Unit
Single Family w/2 Sewer Lift Stat.	1.43	per Unit
Single Family w/3 Sewer Lift Stat.	1.65	per Unit
Duplex/Triplex/Condo/Townhome	0.77	per Unit
Duplex/Triplex/Condo/Townhome w/ 1 Sewer Lift Stat.	0.99	per Unit
Duplex/Triplex/Condo/Townhome w/ 2 Sewer Lift Stat.	1.20	per Unit
Multi-Family	0.71	per Unit
Multi-Family w/1 Sewer Lift Station	0.99	per Unit
Mobile Home	0.71	per Space/Unit
Non-Residential		
`Auto Dealership	0.20	per 1,000 SF
Bank/Financial Inst.	0.30	per 1,000 SF
Bakery	1.70	per 1,000 SF
Bar	0.70	per 1,000 SF
Dry Cleaner	1.70	per 1,000 SF
Hall, Lodge, Auditorium	0.30	per 1,000 SF
Health Studio, Gym	0.30	per 1,000 SF
Market w/Disposal Unit	1.00	per 1,000 SF
Market w/o Disposal Unit	0.20	per 1,000 SF
Medical/Dental Office	0.40	per 1,000 SF
Business Office	0.20	per 1,000 SF
Place of Worship	0.20	per 1,000 SF
Public Agency	0.60	per 1,000 SF
Mortuary	1.30	per Slumber Room
Restaurant	2.00	per 1,000 SF
Restaurant, Take-out Only	1.70	per 1,000 SF
Retail	0.20	per 1,000 SF
Warehouse	0.10	per 1,000 SF
Used Car Lot	0.20	per 10 fixtures
Car Wash	0.70	per Stall
Barber/Beauty Shop	0.20	per Chair
Bowling Alley	0.40	per Lane
Hotel, Motel	0.30	per Sleeping Room
Self Service Laundry	0.50	per Machine
Garage	0.10	per Bay
Rest Home/Boarding House	0.30	per Bed
School	1.00	per 100 Students
Service Station	0.10	per Pump
Theatre	0.30	per 100 Seats
Brewery	4.80	per 1,000 SF

Attachment E

ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUBURN,
CALIFORNIA ESTABLISHING SEWER SERVICE CHARGES AND REPEALING
ORDINANCE NO. _____-U

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUBURN DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

- Pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code Sections 5473 et seq., and as an alternate procedure for the collection of all sewer service charges, the city hereby continues its election to have such charges collected on the tax roll of the county in the same manner, by the same persons, and at the same time as, together with and not separately from, the city's general taxes.
- The city's Director of Administrative Services is hereby directed to prepare and file with the City Clerk, no later than required by law, a written report containing a description of each premises connected to or discharging any sewage into the sanitary sewer system of the city, and the amount of the sewer service charge for each premises for the forthcoming fiscal year, computed in conformity with the charges prescribed in Chapter 52 of the Auburn Municipal Code.
- 3. If any of the charges should be omitted from the county tax roll, or if the city's adoption of the method of collection specified in Section 1 of this Ordinance shall be held illegal for any reason, the charges may be collected in any other manner authorized by law.

129217.1

4. For purposes of Section 52.026(B) of the Auburn Municipal Code, the City Council hereby adopts the rates set forth in Exhibit A to this Ordinance, which exhibit is incorporated herein by this reference, as the schedule of the city's sewer service charge.

- 5. The report of G Aronow Consulting, entitled City of Auburn Sewer Rate Update and dated April 24, 2014, which is on file in the Office of the City Clerk, available for public inspection during normal business hours, and incorporated herein by reference, is hereby finally approved by the City Council.
- 6. With respect to the sewer service charge established by this Ordinance, the City Council hereby finds and determines that:
- (A) The purpose of the Sewer Service Charge is to fund the operation, maintenance and improvement of the City's sanity sewer system so the City can collect and treat sewage discharged into that system by its customers.
- (B) Revenues derived from the charge will not exceed the funds required to provide sewer service as demonstrated by the report referenced in section 5 of this Ordinance and the other materials that constitute the record of the City Council's adoption of this Ordinance.
- (C) The amount of the charge imposed upon any parcel or person as an incident of property ownership does not exceed the proportional cost of the service attributable to the parcel.
- (D) No majority protest exists with respect to the charge and all objections to the charge have been considered, following a full and fair public hearing, and are hereby overruled.

Attachment E

	i	
1	(E)	The City has complied with each of the requirements set forth in
2		Article XIIID, Section 6 of the California Constitution and other
3	-	applicable law with respect to the charge.
4	(F)	Notice of an indexed rate increase was given to affected property
5		owners, and such increases were considered at the public hearing
6		at which this Ordinance was adopted. Accordingly, the City Council
7		may adjust the rates for inflation in the manner specified in Section
8		52.026(B) of the Auburn Municipal Code. The City Council declares
9		that if it could not reserve the right to implement such inflation
10		adjustments without giving such additional notice, it would have
11		imposed such increases by this Ordinance.
12		
13	7.	The City Council declares that it would have adopted each and
14		every provision of this Ordinance notwithstanding the invalidity of
15		any other provision of this Ordinance and the provisions of this
16		Ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.
17		
18	8.	This Ordinance shall become effective on the thirtieth day following
19		its adoption as provided in Government Code Section 36937. The
20		City Clerk is hereby directed to give notice of the adoption of this
21		Ordinance in the manner required by law.
22		
23	9.	Ordinance NoU is hereby repealed.
24		
25	DATED:	July, 2014

Bridget Powers, Mayor

129217.1

26

27

28

	Attachment E
1	ATTEST:
2	Stephanie Snyder, City Clerk
4 5 6 7	I, Stephanie Snyder, City Clerk of the City of Auburn, hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was duly passed at a regular session meeting of the City Council of the City of Auburn held on the day of July, 2014 by the following vote on roll call:
8 9	Ayes: Noes: Absent:
.0	Stephanie Snyder, City Clerk
.2	
.3	
۱4	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
27	
28	

129217.1

Attachment F

1

ORDINANCE NO. ____-U

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUBURN,
ESTABLISHING SEWER SERVICE CHARGES AND DECLARING THE URGENCY
THEREOF

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUBURN DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code
 Sections 5473 et seq., and as an alternate procedure for the
 collection of all sewer service charges, the city hereby continues its
 election to have such charges collected on the tax roll of the county
 in the same manner, by the same persons, and at the same time
 as, together with and not separately from, the city's general taxes.
- 2. The city's Director of Administrative Services is hereby directed to prepare and file with the City Clerk, no later than required by law, a written report containing a description of each premises connected to or discharging any sewage into the sanitary sewer system of the city, and the amount of the sewer service charge for each premises for the forthcoming fiscal year, computed in conformity with the charges prescribed in Chapter 52 of the Auburn Municipal Code.
- 3. If any of the charges should be omitted from the county tax roll, or if the city's adoption of the method of collection specified in Section 1 of this Ordinance shall be held illegal for any reason, the charges may be collected in any other manner authorized by law.

129219.1

4. For purposes of Section 52.026(B) of the Auburn Municipal Code, the City Council hereby adopts the rates set forth in Exhibit A to this Ordinance, which exhibit is incorporated herein by this reference, as the schedule of the city's sewer service charge.

- 5. The report of G Aronow Consulting, entitled City of Auburn Sewer Rate Update and dated April 24, 2014, which is on file in the Office of the City Clerk, available for public inspection during normal business hours, and incorporated herein by reference, is hereby finally approved by the City Council.
- 6. With respect to the sewer service charge established by this Ordinance, the City Council hereby finds and determines that:
- (A) The purpose of the Sewer Service Charge is to fund the operation, maintenance and improvement of the City's sanity sewer system so the City can collect and treat sewage discharged into that system by its customers.
- (B) Revenues derived from the charge will not exceed the funds required to provide sewer service as demonstrated by the report referenced in section 5 of this Ordinance and the other materials that constitute the record of the City Council's adoption of this Ordinance.
- (C) The amount of the charge imposed upon any parcel or person as an incident of property ownership does not exceed the proportional cost of the service attributable to the parcel.
- (D) No majority protest exists with respect to the charge and all objections to the charge have been considered, following a full and fair public hearing, and are hereby overruled.

 (E) The City has complied with each of the requirements set forth in Article XIIID, Section 6 of the California Constitution and other applicable law with respect to the charge.

- (F) Notice of an indexed rate increase was given to affected property owners, and such increases were considered at the public hearing at which this Ordinance was adopted. Accordingly, the City Council may adjust the rates for inflation in the manner specified in Section 52.026(B) of the Auburn Municipal Code. The City Council declares that if it could not reserve the right to implement such inflation adjustments without giving such additional notice, it would have imposed such increases by this Ordinance.
- 7. The City Council declares that it would have adopted each and every provision of this Ordinance notwithstanding the invalidity of any other provision of this Ordinance and the provisions of this Ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.
- 8. Pursuant to Section 36937(B) of the California Government Code, this Ordinance shall take effect immediately because its passage is required for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety. The facts constituting this urgency are that: (i) the revenues generated by the charges set forth herein are needed to pay the usual and current expenses of the City's sewer enterprise and to ensure the provision of sewer services, (ii) in order to ensure that the ratepayers of the City's sewer enterprise pay the full cost of providing services to such customers, it is necessary that this Ordinance be effective immediately, and (iii) in order to ensure proper calculation of the sewer rate revision (and avoid potentially setting a rate that either would not generate sufficient

Attachment F

1	revenues or would result in an overcharge to ratepayers), the City
2	was unable to adopt these charges earlier.
3	
4	
5	DATED: June 23, 2014
6	Bridget Powers, Mayor
7	Bridget Fowers, Flayer
8	
9	ATTEST:
LO	
11	Stephanie Snyder, City Clerk
12	
13	I, Stephanie Snyder, City Clerk of the City of Auburn, hereby certify that
14	the foregoing ordinance was duly passed at a regular session meeting of the City Council of the City of Auburn held on the 23rd day of June, 2014 by the
15	following vote on roll call:
16	Ayes:
17	Noes: Absent:
18	
19	Stephanie Snyder, City Clerk
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

129219.1

Pizzinelli, Frank	052-410-018-000		Oppose sewer rate increases, snould be based on water useage
Alfke, Doris	054-450-002-000		Oppose sewer rate increase
Ross, Rosemary			Oppose sewer rate increase
Perrin, James & Carolyn	054-500-005-000	6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase
Henry, Patrick John		6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase (Not Connected to City Sewer)
Rickett-Murphy, Cara Jean	003-172-031	6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase
Pegram, Sandra J	004-290-020-000	6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase
Beattie, Tom	002-203-003-000	6/12/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase; unreasonable price discrimination against single family homes
Nowlin, Jeanne		6/12/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase; retired & fixed income
Reeves, Beverly	001-062-004-000	6/11/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase
Powell. Betty	003-211-044-000	6/11/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase (wrote twice, same parcel)
Bonilla, Eita	051-310-024-000	6/11/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase; senior citizen
Cook, Douglas & Mona	055-200-028-000	6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase; senior citizen
Hansen, Lucille	004-133-001-000	6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase 2014-2019
Classon, Thomas & Phyllis	055-200-030-000	6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase 2014-2019
Parks, William & Sandra	055-200-012-000	6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase; senior citizens
Kauffman, Daivd & Cathleen	055-140-004-000	6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase 2014-2019
Nordstrom, James & Ellen	004-062-022-000	6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase 2014-2019
Petri, Ralph & Katherine	001-053-005-000	6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase; included spreadsheet showing 28% increase in 5 years
Bezepez, Jerry & Christie	003-102-016-000	6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase 2014-2019
Nordstrom, James & Ellen	002-240-008-000	6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase 2014-2019
Borg, Robert & Elizabeth	003-211-042-000	6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase
Johnsen, Christopher and Caryl	051-390-001-000	6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase; use combo fixed fee and water useage
Jones, Willard & Deanna	076-480-042-000	6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase (Not Connected to City Sewer)
Stevens, Janice	003-061-016-000	6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase (wrote twice, same parcel)
Williams, Rob	003-031-001-000	6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase; tax has doubled since 2003.
Whaley, Sydney	040-550-009-000	6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase; retired & fixed income
Munoz, Manuel	002-025-018-000	6/16/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase
Schneider, Linda	055-050-014-000	6/12/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase
Basque, Evon	055-150-049-000	6/12/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase
Hurling-Humiston, Laurie	052-370-026-000	6/12/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase (Not Connected to City Sewer)
Krasner, Ruth	076-350-013-000	6/12/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase (Not Connected to City Sewer)
Basque, Keith & Carolynn	002-240-043-000	6/12/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase
Craig, Dale & Kellie	001-160-002-000	6/12/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase
Dasaro, Lynda & Bob	001-051-023-000	6/12/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase; wasted money
Moore, Edward	055-310-008-000	6/12/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase
Osborn, Thomas	054-440-011-000	6/11/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase
Little, William	055-200-068-000	6/11/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase, no justification for increase
Storrs, Anita	055-130-006-000	6/11/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase; fixed income, find another solution
Basque, Keith & Carolynn	055-150-047-000	6/11/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase
Bennett, Donald	055-140-017-000	6/11/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase 2014-2019
Laumann, Mary Joan	004-072-012-000	6/11/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase; retired & fixed income
Classon, Eugene & Gloria	055-140-003-000	6/10/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase 2014-2019
Hart, Refina	055-140-031-000	6/10/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase 2014-2019
Fulweiler, Albert & Ann	002-210-012-000	6/9/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase; combo fixed rate and useage concept; problems with regional treatment plant
Thompson, Ray	001-082-010-000	6/9/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase
Schlueter, Meredith	003-041-006-000	6/6/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase; make do without it
Wisber, William & Ruth	055-280-005-000	6/3/2014	Oppose sewer rate increase; economic hardship
Crogan, lydell	002-013-006-000	6/18/2014	. Oppose sewer rate increase

Summary	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase; retired & fixed income	Oppose sewer rate increase; fixed income	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase; common pipeline not best interest, untair protest process	Oppose sewer rate increase; no evidence this is needed	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase; burden to rate payers	Oppose sewer rate increase; no reports of how money is being used	Oppose sewer rate increase 2019	Oppose sewer rate increase 2014-2019	Oppose Swer rate increase Zuly Constitution of the Constitution of	Oppuse sewel rate increases, taken income some	Oppose sewer rate increase; no evidence this is needed	Onnes course;	Onnose sewer rate increase: no evidence this is needed (wrote 2 letters for same parcel)	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase; no evidence this is needed	Oppose sewer rate increase: rate already tripled in 8 years; new increase of 28%	Oppose sewer rate increase; no evidence this is needed	Oppose sewer rate increase; no evidence this is needed	Oppose sewer rate increase; proposal is extreme and open ended	Oppose sewer rate increase; effect on rental properties; leaves no disposable income for many	Concerns for seniors on fixed incomes									Oppose sewer rate increase; need to see accounting of funds	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase; cost too high	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase; senior citizen on fixed income; runaway costs at all levels of government	Oppose sewer rate increase; senior, unfair due to low useage	Oppose sewer rate increase; retired & tixed income	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase; home decreased in value	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase
Received	5/16/2014	5/14/2014	5/14/2014	6/2/2014	6/2/2014	6/2/2014	6/2/2014	6/2/2014	6/2/2014	6/2/2014	5/30/2014	6/2/2014	6/2/2014	6/2/2014	6/2/2014	5/22/2014	5/22/2014	5/22/2014	5/22/2014	5/21/2014	5/21/2014	5/21/2014	5/21/2014	5/20/2014	5/20/2014	5/19/2014	5/19/2014	5/19/2014	5/19/2014	5/19/2014	5/19/2014	5/19/2014	5/19/2014	5/19/2014	5/19/2014	5/19/2014	5/16/2014	5/16/2014	5/14/2014	5/13/2014	5/13/2014	5/12/2014	5/12/2014	5/12/2014	5/12/2014	5/12/2014	5/12/2014	5/12/2014
Parcel #	040-410-066-000	055-130-010-000	001-062-030-000	004-096-016-000	004-061-012-000	004-082-008-000	054-510-016-000	040-410-070-0000	002-260-004-000	054-231-038-000		003-200-028-000	003-200-029-000	003-200-030-000	040-410-066-000	040-410-055-000	040-410-040-000	000-072-011-000	040-410-029-000	000-230-035-000	040-410-062-000	050-020-030-050	040-410-061-000	040-410-028-000	040-545-004-000	001-073-007-000	001-103-028-000	001-082-001-000	001-103-019-000	024-060-008-000	003-031-004-000	054-034-019-000	003-193-008-000	001-081-07/-000	002-210-029-000		0440-410-071-000	054-390-050-000	002-154-020-000	054-022-009-000	055-230-003-000	054-102-027-000	040-410-023-000	004-042-007-000	055-300-014-000	003-191-006-000	003-104-006-000	003-211-016-000
Name	Webb, Natalie	Edmonds, Naomi	Hutchinson, Thomas	McAfee, Lucille	McAfee, Lucille	McAfee, James	Kugelman, Raymond & Marian	Henry, Irene	Portillo, Maria & Aguilar, Melvin	Edmonds, Karen	Ford, Larry & Sandra	Roumage, Victor & Cecil	Roumage, Victor & Cecil	Roumage, Victor & Cecil	Utzig, Thomas & Sharon	Sampson, Richard & Joann	Mitchell, Ann	Kamirez, Bertna	Skinner, Warren	Roberto, Diane	Only James 9. Elimbath	Withouthy Dolores	Salora, Colora	Skinner Warren	Smith. Susan	Beckley, Paul & Suzy	Beckley, Paul & Suzy	Beckley, Paul & Suzy	Beckley, Paul & Suzy	Beckley, Paul & Suzy	Beckley, Paul & Suzy	Beckley, Paul & Suzy	Beckley, Paul & Suzy	Beckley, Paul & Suzy	Beckley, Paul & Suzy	Dive Mary Anne	Hooton, George & Sharon	Pond, Robert	Harris, Edward & Jan	Lossing, Anne	Mattern, John & Frances	Sharp, Kay & Larry	Renner, Joan	Knox, John & Marlene	Buttke, Helen	Sheets, Kathleen	Meeth, Glenn & Tanya	Meeth, Glenn & Tanya

Attachment G

Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase 2014-2019	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase 2014-2019; should be based on useage	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase; continuous increases since 2007	Oppose sewer rate increase; too many increases; try other ways other then increased tax	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase	Oppose sewer rate increase; strict budget economic hard times
5/12/2014	6/17/2014	6/17/2014	6/17/2014	6/17/2014	6/17/2014	6/17/2014	6/17/2014	6/18/2014	6/18/2014	6/18/2014	6/18/2014	6/18/2014	6/18/2014
003-092-014-000	055-140-009-000	001-150-061-000	004-350-012-000	003-02-030-000	042-120-009-000	054-510-002-000	055-260-016-000	055-060-018-000	004-350-028-000	051-260-067-540	051-270-005-540	004-072-027-000	002-021-008-000
Meeth, Glenn & Tanya	Nicosia, Joseph & Georgie	Vessely, James	Wilson, Maureen	Maneter, Richard & Nancy	Gullett, Thomas & Cristina	Catalano, Dorothy	Allen, Charlie Mae	Mark, Joan	Danforth, Gayle	Teffeteller, L	Easton, J	Marshall, Raymond	Hill, Margaret
	003-092-014-000 5/12/2014	003-092-014-000 5/12/2014 6/17/2014	e 003-092-014-000 5/12/2014 055-140-009-000 6/17/2014 001-150-061-000 6/17/2014	003-092-014-000 5/12/2014 055-140-009-000 6/17/2014 001-150-061-000 6/17/2014 004-350-012-000 6/17/2014 Oppose sewer	003-092-014-000 5/12/2014 055-140-009-000 6/17/2014 001-150-061-000 6/17/2014 004-350-012-000 6/17/2014 003-076-030-000 6/17/2014	003-092-014-000 5/12/2014 055-140-009-000 6/17/2014 001-150-061-000 6/17/2014 004-350-012-000 6/17/2014 003-076-030-000 6/17/2014 042-120-009-000 6/17/2014	003-092-014-000 5/12/2014 055-140-009-000 6/17/2014 001-150-061-000 6/17/2014 004-350-012-000 6/17/2014 042-120-009-000 6/17/2014 054-510-002-000 6/17/2014 054-510-002-000 6/17/2014	003-092-014-000 5/12/2014 055-140-009-000 6/17/2014 001-150-061-000 6/17/2014 004-350-012-000 6/17/2014 003-076-030-000 6/17/2014 042-120-009-000 6/17/2014 054-510-002-000 6/17/2014 055-260-016-000 6/17/2014	003-092-014-000 5/12/2014 055-140-009-000 6/17/2014 001-150-061-000 6/17/2014 004-350-012-000 6/17/2014 003-076-030-000 6/17/2014 042-120-009-000 6/17/2014 055-260-016-000 6/17/2014 055-260-018-000 6/18/2014	003-092-014-000 5/12/2014 055-140-009-000 6/17/2014 001-150-061-000 6/17/2014 004-350-012-000 6/17/2014 003-076-030-000 6/17/2014 042-120-009-000 6/17/2014 055-260-016-000 6/17/2014 055-260-018-000 6/18/2014 004-350-028-000 6/18/2014	003-092-014-000 5/12/2014 055-140-009-000 6/17/2014 001-150-061-000 6/17/2014 004-350-012-000 6/17/2014 003-076-030-000 6/17/2014 042-120-009-000 6/17/2014 054-510-002-000 6/17/2014 055-260-016-000 6/17/2014 055-260-018-000 6/18/2014 004-350-028-000 6/18/2014 051-260-067-540 6/18/2014	003-092-014-000 5/12/2014 055-140-009-000 6/17/2014 001-150-061-000 6/17/2014 004-350-012-000 6/17/2014 003-076-030-000 6/17/2014 042-120-009-000 6/17/2014 055-260-016-000 6/17/2014 055-260-018-000 6/18/2014 051-260-05-540 6/18/2014 051-270-005-540 6/18/2014	003-092-014-000 5/12/2014 055-140-009-000 6/17/2014 001-150-061-000 6/17/2014 004-350-012-000 6/17/2014 003-076-030-000 6/17/2014 042-120-009-000 6/17/2014 055-260-016-000 6/17/2014 055-260-018-000 6/18/2014 055-060-018-000 6/18/2014 051-260-067-540 6/18/2014 051-270-005-540 6/18/2014 051-270-005-540 6/18/2014